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#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the class of ideals with $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m}\right)$-linear quotients generalizing the class of ideals with linear quotients. Under suitable conditions, we control the numerical invariants of a minimal free resolution of ideals with $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m}\right)$ linear quotients. In particular, we show that their first module of syzygies is a componentwise linear module.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbf{k}$ be a field, and $R=\mathbf{k}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be the polynomial ring in $n$ variables. In this paper, we introduce and study a class of ideals in $R$ which can be considered as a generalization of the class of ideals with linear quotients (see, $[8,10]$ ).

Let $I$ be a graded ideal, $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right\}$ be a homogeneous system of generators of $I$ and $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m}\right)$ be an $m$-tuple of positive integers supposing $d_{1}=1$. We say that $I$ has $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m}\right)$-linear quotients with respect to the elements $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$ if the ideal $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{j-1}\right): f_{j}$ has $d_{j}$-linear resolution for all $j=2, \ldots, m$. Notice that, this property depends on the order of the generators. If $d_{2}=\cdots=d_{m}=d$, we simply say that $I$ has $d$-linear quotients with respect to the elements $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$ and if $d=1$, we get the usual class of ideals with linear quotients.

Monomial ideals with linear quotients were introduced in [8] and have strong combinatorial implication (see for example, [11]). A very

[^0]important property of ideals with linear quotients is that they are componentwise linear (see, [10, Corollary 2.4]).

Recall that componentwise linear modules over a polynomial ring has been introduced by Herzog and Hibi, enlarging the class of the graded modules with a $d$-linear resolution (see [6]). Interesting results concerning their graded Betti numbers has been proved by Aramova, Conca, Herzog and Hibi (see [1, 2, 3, 6, 7]). Later, Römer (see [12]) studied more homological properties of componentwise linear modules in the general setting of finitely generated modules over Koszul algebras (instead of polynomial rings).
In this paper, we assume that $I=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)$ has $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m}\right)$ linear quotients with respect to $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right)+d_{1} \leq \cdots \leq$ $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{m}\right)+d_{m}$. In Theorem 4.2, we study the case of ideals with $2-$ linear quotients and we prove a property of these ideals which is close to the componentwise linear property. In Theorem 4.7, we study the minimal free resolution of $R / I$ by iterated mapping cone and precisely we compute the regularity of $R / I$. Finally, in Theorem 4.9, we show that $S y z_{1}(I)$ is a componentwise linear module.

We organize the paper as it follows: In Section 2, we review some basic definitions, notations and results that we need in subsequent sections. In Section 3, we give a sufficient condition for minimality of a resolution obtained by the mapping cone (see Theorem 3.1). Next, we give some easy and technical lemmas that we need for studying $S y z_{1}(I)$. Section 4 is devoted to the main results about ideals with $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m}\right)$-linear quotients.

Furthermore, the paper includes several examples to illustrate and delimite the results. Definitely, via these examples, we examine some ideals with $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m}\right)$-linear quotients to see if they have nice properties of ideals with linear quotients or not (see [10, 11]).

## 2. Preliminaries

The Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity (or briefly regularity) of a graded finitely generated $R$-module $M$, is defined as

$$
\operatorname{reg}(M)=\max \left\{j-i ; \beta_{i, j}(M) \neq 0\right\}
$$

and the projective dimension of $M$ is defined as

$$
p d(M)=\max \left\{i ; \beta_{i, j}(M) \neq 0 \text { for some } j\right\},
$$

where $\beta_{i, j}(M)$ is the $(i, j)$ th graded Betti number of $M$.
Let

$$
\ldots \xrightarrow{\delta_{2}} F_{1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{1}} F_{0} \xrightarrow{\delta_{0}} M
$$

be the graded minimal free resolution of $M$. Then, the $p-$ th syzygy module of $M$, denoted by $S y z_{p}(M)$, is defined as $S y z_{p}(M)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\delta_{p-1}\right)=$ $\operatorname{Im}\left(\delta_{p}\right)$. Recall that for each $j$, the differential $\delta_{j}$ is given by a matrix $\mathcal{M}_{j}$ (which depends on the chosen basis of $F_{j} \mathrm{~s}$ ). So $S y z_{p}(M)$ is generated by the columns of $\mathcal{M}_{p}$.

Let $M$ be a graded $R$-module. The initial degree of $M$ is defined as

$$
\operatorname{indeg}(M)=\min \left\{d \in \mathbf{Z} ; \quad M_{d} \neq 0\right\}
$$

For $d \in \mathbf{Z}$, we write $M_{\langle d\rangle}$ for the submodule of $M$ which is generated by all homogeneous elements of $M$ with degree $d$. Moreover, we write $M_{\leq d}$ for the module generated by all homogeneous elements in $M$ whose degrees are less than or equal to $d$.

If $N$ is a graded submodule of $M$, then

$$
(M / N)_{<a\rangle} \cong\left(M_{<a\rangle}+N\right) / N .
$$

For a module $M$ minimally generated in degrees $i_{1}<\cdots<i_{\ell}$, we define $M_{\{1\}}=M$ and for every $j=2, \ldots, \ell$,

$$
M_{\{j\}}:=M_{\{j-1\}} /\left(M_{\{j-1\}}\right)_{<i n d e g}\left(M_{\{j-1\}}\right) \gg=M_{\{j-1\}} /\left(M_{\{j-1\}}\right)_{<i_{j-1}>} .
$$

Lemma 2.1. If $M$ is a module minimally generated in degrees $i_{1}<$ $\cdots<i_{\ell}$, then for each $2 \leq r \leq \ell$,

$$
\left.\left(M_{\{r\}}\right)_{\left.<i_{r}\right\rangle} \cong\left(M_{\left.<i_{1}\right\rangle}+\cdots+M_{\left.<i_{r}\right\rangle}\right) / M_{\left.<i_{1}\right\rangle}+\cdots+M_{\left.<i_{r-1}\right\rangle}\right) .
$$

Proof. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(M_{\{r\}}\right)_{<i_{r}>} & =\left(M_{\{r-1\}} /\left(M_{\{r-1\}}\right)_{<i_{r-1}>}\right)_{<i_{r}>} \\
& =\left(\left(M_{\{r-1\}}\right)_{<i_{r}>}+\left(M_{\{r-1\}}\right)_{<i_{r-1}>}\right) /\left(M_{\{r-1\}}\right)_{<i_{r-1}>}>
\end{aligned}
$$

and if we continue in this way, we get the desired result.
Let $d \in \mathbf{Z}$. We say that $M$ has a $d$-linear resolution if $\beta_{i, j}(M)=0$ for $j \neq d+i$, and we say $M$ is componentwise linear if for all integers $d$ the module $M_{<d\rangle}$ has a $d$-linear resolution.

For more information concerning the componentwise linear modules, see $[2,3,6,12]$. We select here some good properties of their graded minimal free resolutions.

Lemma 2.2. If $M$ is a graded $R$-module and it has an $i$-linear resolution, then $\mathfrak{m} M$ has an $i+1$-linear resolution, where $\mathfrak{m}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is the homogeneous maximal ideal of $R$.

Lemma 2.3. (see [12, Lemma 3.2.2]) Let $M$ be a graded $R$-module. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i): $M$ is componentwise linear;
(ii): $M / M_{<\text {indeg }(M)>}$ is componentwise linear and $M_{<\text {indeg }(M)>}$ has an indeg $(M)$ - linear resolution.

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of the above lemma.

Corollary 2.4. Let $M$ be a graded module minimally generated in degrees $i_{1}<\cdots<i_{\ell}$. Then $M$ is a componentwise linear module if and only if for each $1 \leq j \leq \ell,\left(M_{\{j\}}\right)_{<i_{j}>}$ has an $i_{j}$-linear resolution.

Following Römer, we define a special subcomplex of the minimal graded free resolution of a module.

Definition 2.5. Let $M$ be a graded $R$-module and (G., d.) be the minimal graded free resolution of $M$. We define the subcomplex $(\widetilde{\mathbf{G}} ., \widetilde{d}$.) of (G., d.) to be

$$
\widetilde{G_{i}}=R(-(i+\operatorname{indeg}(M)))^{\beta_{i, i+i n d e g}(M)} \subseteq G_{i} \text { and } \widetilde{d} .=d . \mid \widetilde{\mathbf{G}} .
$$

Lemma 2.6. (see [12, Lemma 3.2.4]) Let $M$ be a graded $R$-module such that $M_{<i n d e g(M)>}$ has a linear resolution, and let (G., d.) be the minimal graded free resolution of $M$. Then:
(i): $\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}$. is the minimal graded free resolution of $M_{\langle i n d e g(M)\rangle}$.
(ii): G. $/ \widetilde{\mathbf{G}}$. is the minimal graded free resolution of $M / M_{<i n d e g(M)\rangle}$.

Proposition 2.7. (see [13, Proposition 2.2]) Let $M$ be a componentwise linear $R$-module minimally generated in degrees $i_{1}<\cdots<i_{\ell}$. Then for each $1 \leq i \leq p d(M)$, we have

$$
\beta_{i, j}(M)=0 \text { for } j \neq i+i_{1}, \ldots, i_{\ell}+i .
$$

Next, we review spme basic properties of ideals with linear quotients.
Let $I$ be a graded ideal and $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right\}$ be a homogeneous system of generators of $I$ and $I_{j}=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{j}\right)$ for $j=1, \ldots, m$. We say that $I$ has linear quotients with respect to the elements $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$, if the ideal $I_{j-1}: f_{j}$ is generated by linear forms for all $j=2, \ldots, m$. Notice that this property depends on the order of the generators. Any order of the generators for which we have linear quotients will be called an admissible order. If $I$ has linear quotients with respect to an admissible order of a homogeneous system of generators, we simply say $I$ has linear quotients. Ideals with linear quotients have the following properties:

Proposition 2.8. (see [10, Corollary 2.4]) If the graded ideal I has linear quotients with respect to the elements $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$, then I is componentwise linear provided that $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right\}$ is a minimal system of generators.

For a monomial ideal $I$, we denote by $G(I)$ the unique minimal system of monomial generators of $I$. In this case, when we say $I$ has linear quotients, we mean $I$ has linear quotients with respect to an admissible order of $G(I)$

Proposition 2.9. (see [11, Lemma 2.1]) If a monomial ideal I has linear quotients, then there exists a degree increasing admissible order of $G(I)$.

## 3. Mapping cone technique

One of the fundamental tools for computing free resolutions is mapping cone technique. Many well-known free resolutions arise as iterated mapping cones. For example, the Taylor resolution of monomial ideals.

The idea of the iterated mapping cone construction is the following: Let $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right\}$ be a homogeneous system of generators for $I$, and $I_{j}=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{j}\right)$. Then, for $j=2, \ldots, m$, there are exact sequences

$$
0 \rightarrow R /\left(I_{j-1}: f_{j}\right) \rightarrow R / I_{j-1} \rightarrow R / I_{j} \rightarrow 0
$$

assuming that a free $R$-resolution ( $\mathbf{F} ., \delta$.) of $R / I_{j-1}$ and a free $R$ resolution (G., d.) of $R /\left(I_{j-1}: f_{j}\right)$ are known, we can obtain a resolution ( $\mathbf{M}(\psi), \gamma$.) of $R / I_{j}$ as a mapping cone of a complex homomorphism $\psi: \mathbf{G} . \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$. , which is a lifting of the map $R /\left(I_{j-1}: f_{j}\right) \rightarrow R / I_{j-1}$. The mapping cone $\mathbf{M}(\psi)$ is the complex such that

$$
(M(\psi))_{i}=F_{i} \oplus G_{i-1},
$$

with the differential maps

$$
\gamma_{i}(x, y)=\left(\psi_{i-1}(y)+\delta_{i}(x),-d_{i-1}(y)\right),
$$

where $x \in F_{i}$ and $y \in G_{i-1}$. This complex is exact (see [4, Page 650 and Proposition A3.19.]), so, it is a free resolution for $R / I_{j}$.

It is clear that in this way, we get a free resolution of $R / I$. Of course, in general, such a resolution may be non-minimal. For example if $I=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ where $f_{1}=x_{1}^{2}, f_{2}=x_{2}^{3}, f_{3}=x_{1} x_{2}$, the result of the iterated mapping cone is not a minimal free resolution. But, there are some important classes of ideals for which the minimal free resolution obtained by iterated mapping cone. For example, the EliahouKervaire resolution of stable monomial ideals (as noted by Evans and Charalambous[5]). More in general, if $I$ has linear quotients with respect to a minimal homogeneous system of generators, then its minimal free resolution can be obtained by iterated mapping cone. This is an immediate consequence of [10, Corollary 2.7].

Here, we give a sufficient condition to check the minimality of a resolution obtained by the mapping cone technique.

Theorem 3.1. Let $I$ be a graded ideal of $R$ and $f$ be a homogeneous form of degree d which does not belong to I. Then, we have the following graded short exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow R /(I: f)(-d) \rightarrow R / I \rightarrow R / I+(f) \rightarrow 0
$$

Assuming that the minimal free resolution of the modules $R /(I: f)$ and $R / I$ are already known. Then, the minimal free resolution of $R / I+$ $(f)$ is obtained by the mapping cone provided that for each $1 \leq i \leq$ $\operatorname{pd}(R /(I: f))$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{j ; \beta_{i, j}(R /(I: f)) \neq 0\right\} \cap\left\{j-d ; \beta_{i, j}(R / I) \neq 0\right\}=\emptyset \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in this case
(a):

$$
\beta_{i, j}(R / I+(f))=\beta_{i, j}(R / I)+\beta_{i-1, j-d}(R /(I: f))
$$

(b):

$$
\operatorname{reg}(R /(I+(f))=\max \{\operatorname{reg}(R / I), \operatorname{reg}(R /(I: f))+d-1\}
$$

(c):

$$
p d(R /(I+(f))=\max \{p d(R / I), p d(R /(I: f))+1\}
$$

Proof. Let (F., $\delta$.) be the minimal free resolution of $R / I,(\mathbf{G} ., d$.$) be$ the minimal free resolution of $R /(I: f)$ shifted by $d$ and $\psi: \mathbf{G} . \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$. be the complex graded homomorphism which is a lifting of the map $R /(I: f)(-d) \rightarrow R / I$. It is enough to show that the mapping cone complex is the minimal free resolution of $R /(I+(f))$.

Let for each $r, \mathcal{M}_{r}$ (resp., $\mathcal{N}_{r}$ ) be the matrix of $\delta_{r}\left(\right.$ resp., $\left.d_{r}\right)$ with respect to the canonical basis of $F_{r}$ and $F_{r-1}$ (resp., $G_{r}$ and $G_{r-1}$ ). Also, assume that for each $r, O_{r}$ be the matrix of $\psi_{r}: G_{r} \rightarrow F_{r}$. Then, by the mapping cone construction, the matrix of $\gamma_{r}$, with respect to the canonical basis of $F_{r} \oplus G_{r-1}$ and $F_{r-1} \oplus G_{r-2}$, is denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{r}^{\prime}$ has the following shape;

$$
\mathcal{M}_{r}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
\mathcal{M}_{r} & O_{r-1} \\
\hline 0 & -\mathcal{N}_{r-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

So, the result of the mapping cone is the minimal free resolution if and only if $\operatorname{Im}(\psi) \subset \mathfrak{m} \mathbf{F}$..

Let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{\beta_{i}(R /(I: f))}$ be the basis of $\mathbf{G}$. in the homological degree $i$, and $\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{\beta_{i}(R / I)}$ be the basis of $\mathbf{F}$. in the homological degree $i$. Then, by the hypothesis $\psi_{i}: G_{i} \rightarrow F_{i}$ is given by $\psi_{i}\left(e_{j}\right)=\sum_{t=1}^{\beta_{i}(R / I)} a_{i t} \eta_{t}$, where for each $1 \leq t \leq \beta_{i}(R / I)$ if $a_{i t} \neq 0$ then $\operatorname{deg}\left(e_{j}\right)>\operatorname{deg}\left(\eta_{t}\right)$. So, $\operatorname{deg}\left(a_{i t}\right)>0$ for each $i$ and $t$ when $a_{i t} \neq 0$. So, the conclusion follows.

The parts (a), (b), (c) are directly followed by the minimality of the obtained resolution.

Remark 3.2. If $I=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)$ and $I+(f)$ is minimally generated by $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}, f\right\}$, then $\operatorname{Im}\left(\psi_{1}\right) \subseteq \mathfrak{m} F_{1}$ and we just need to check Equation 3.1 for $2 \leq j \leq p d(R /(I: f))$.

Next, we give an example in which the minimal free resolution is computed by iterated mapping cone by successive using Theorem 3.1. We first recall the definition of lex-segment ideals.

A monomial ideal $I \subset R$ is called a lex-segment ideal if for all monomials $u \in I$ and all monomials $v \in R$ with $\operatorname{deg}(u)=\operatorname{deg}(v)$ and $v>_{\text {lex }} u$, one has $v \in I$.

Example 3.3. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
I=\quad & \left(x_{1}^{2}, x_{1} x_{2}, \ldots, x_{1} x_{n}, x_{2}^{m}, x_{2}^{m-1} x_{3}, \ldots, x_{2}^{m-1} x_{i},\right. \\
& \left.x_{2}^{m-1} x_{i+1}^{3}, x_{2}^{m-1} x_{i+1}^{2} x_{i+2}, \ldots, x_{2}^{m-1} x_{i+1}^{2} x_{n-1}, x_{2} x_{n}\right) \subseteq R
\end{aligned}
$$

where $m>1$. Then the minimal free resolution of $R / I$ is given by the iterated mapping cone. It is easy to see that in each step, Equation 3.1 holds. Let us just check the final step. Notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J=\quad & \left(x_{1}^{2}, x_{1} x_{2}, \ldots, x_{1} x_{n}, x_{2}^{m}, x_{2}^{m-1} x_{3}, \ldots, x_{2}^{m-1} x_{i}, x_{2}^{m-1} x_{i+1}^{3},\right. \\
& \left.x_{2}^{m-1} x_{i+1}^{2} x_{i+2}, \ldots, x_{2}^{m-1} x_{i+1}^{2} x_{n-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is a Lex-segment ideal. So, $J$ has linear quotients with respect to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}^{2}, x_{1} x_{2}, \ldots, x_{1} x_{n}, x_{2}^{m}, x_{2}^{m-1} x_{3}, \ldots, x_{2}^{m-1} x_{i}, x_{2}^{m-1} x_{i+1}^{3}, \\
& x_{2}^{m-1} x_{i+1}^{2} x_{i+2}, \ldots, x_{2}^{m-1} x_{i+1}^{2} x_{n-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $J$ is a componentwise linear ideal and by Proposition 2.7,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{j-2 ; \beta_{i, j}(R / J) \neq 0\right\} \subseteq\{i+m-3, i+m-1, i-1\} . \\
J: x_{2} x_{n}=\quad\left(x_{1}, x_{2}^{m-1}, x_{2}^{m-2} x_{3}, \ldots, x_{2}^{m-2} x_{i}, x_{2}^{m-2} x_{i+1}^{3},\right. \\
\left.x_{2}^{m-2} x_{i+1}^{2} x_{i+2}, \ldots, x_{2}^{m-2} x_{i+1}^{2} x_{n-1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

is again a lex-segment ideal and it has linear quotients with respect to
$x_{1}, x_{2}^{m-1}, x_{2}^{m-2} x_{3}, \ldots, x_{2}^{m-2} x_{i}, x_{2}^{m-2} x_{i+1}^{3}, x_{2}^{m-2} x_{i+1}^{2} x_{i+2}, \ldots, x_{2}^{m-2} x_{i+1}^{2} x_{n-1}$.
Thus, $J: x_{2} x_{n}$ is componentwise linear and by Proposition 2.7, we have

$$
\left\{j ; \beta_{i, j}\left(R /\left(J: x_{2} x_{n}\right)\right) \neq 0\right\} \subseteq\{i+m-2, i+m\} .
$$

So, the result follows by Theorem 3.1 and Remark 3.2.

In the following easy and technical lemma, $I$ is a graded ideal generated by homogeneous forms $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$. For each $1 \leq j \leq m$, let $I_{j}=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{j}\right)$ and suppose that the ideal $L_{j}=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{j-1}\right): f_{j}$ has initial degree $d_{j}$.

Lemma 3.4. If the minimal free resolution of $R / I$ is computed by iterated mapping cone and $j_{\ell}=\max \left\{i ; \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{i}\right)+d_{i} \leq \ell\right\}$, then for each $p \geq 1$,

$$
\left(S y z_{p}(I)\right)_{<\ell+p-1>} \cong\left(S y z_{p}\left(I_{j_{\ell}}\right)\right)_{<\ell+p-1>} .
$$

Proof. Let (F., $\delta$. ) be the minimal free resolution of $R / I_{j_{\ell}},(\mathbf{G} ., d$.$) be$ the minimal free resolution of $R /\left(I_{j_{\ell}}: f_{j_{\ell}+1}\right)$ shifted by $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{j_{\ell}+1}\right)$ and $\psi: \mathbf{G} . \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$. be the graded complex homomorphism which is a lifting of the map $R /\left(I_{j_{\ell}}: f_{j_{\ell}+1}\right)\left(-\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{j_{\ell}+1}\right)\right) \rightarrow R / I_{j_{\ell}}$. Also, assume that $\mathcal{M}_{p+1}, \mathcal{N}_{p}$ and $O_{p}$, similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1, are the matrices of $\delta_{p+1}, d_{p}$ and $\psi_{p}$, respectively. Then, the matrix of $\gamma_{p+1}$ has the following shape:

$$
\mathcal{M}_{p+1}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
\mathcal{M}_{p+1} & O_{p} \\
\hline 0 & -\mathcal{N}_{p}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Note that $S y z_{p}\left(I_{j_{\ell}+1}\right)$ is generated by the columns of $\mathcal{M}_{p+1}^{\prime}$ and $S y z_{p}\left(I_{j_{\ell}}\right)$ is generated by the columns of $\mathcal{M}_{p+1}$. Also, note that each columns of

$$
\left(\frac{O_{p}}{-\mathcal{N}_{p}}\right)
$$

as elements of $S y z_{p}\left(I_{j_{\ell}+1}\right)$ has degree at least $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{j_{\ell}+1}\right)+d_{j_{\ell}+1}+p-1 \geq$ $\ell+p$. So, it is clear that

$$
\left(S y z_{p}\left(I_{j_{\ell}+1}\right)\right)_{\leq \ell+p-1} \cong\left(S y z_{p}\left(I_{j_{\ell}}\right)\right)_{\leq \ell+p-1} .
$$

Therefore, $\left(S y z_{p}\left(I_{j_{\ell}+1}\right)\right)_{<\ell+p-1>} \cong\left(S y z_{p}\left(I_{j_{\ell}}\right)\right)_{<\ell+p-1>}$. Continuing in this way, we conclude that

$$
\left(S y z_{p}\left(I_{j_{\ell}}\right)\right)_{<\ell+p-1>} \cong\left(S y z_{p}(I)\right)_{<\ell+p-1>} .
$$

For a graded ideal $I$, assume that $S y z_{1}(I)$ is minimally generated in the degrees $i_{1}<\cdots<i_{\ell}$ and for each $1 \leq r \leq \ell$, let $N_{r, I}=\left(S y z_{1}(I)\right)_{\{r\}}$.

Lemma 3.5. If the minimal free resolution of $R / I$ is computed by iterated mapping cone, then for each $1 \leq r \leq \ell$, we have:

$$
\left(N_{r, I}\right)_{<i_{r}>} \cong\left(N_{r, I_{j_{i}}}\right)_{<i_{r}>} .
$$

Proof. Note that by Lemma 2.1, for each $r \geq 2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(N_{r, I}\right)_{<i_{r}>} \cong \\
& \left(\left(N_{1, I}\right)_{<i_{1}>}+\cdots+\left(N_{1, I}\right)_{<i_{r}>}\right) /\left(\left(N_{1, I}\right)_{<i_{1}>}+\cdots+\left(N_{1, I}\right)_{<i_{r-1}>}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\left(N_{r, I_{j_{i}}}\right)_{<i_{r}>}$ is isomorphic to

$$
\left(\left(N_{1, I_{j_{i}}}\right)_{<i_{1}>}+\cdots+\left(N_{1, I_{j_{i_{r}}}}\right)_{<i_{r}>}\right) /\left(\left(N_{1, I_{j_{i_{r}}}}\right)_{<i_{1}>}+\cdots+\left(N_{1, I_{j_{i_{r}}}}\right)_{<i_{r-1}>}\right) .
$$

Now, by Lemma 3.4 it is clear that for each $s \leq r$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(N_{1, I}\right)_{<i_{s}>}=\left(S y z_{1}(I)\right)_{<i_{s}>} \\
& \cong\left(S y z_{1}\left(I_{j_{i_{s}}}\right)\right)_{<i_{s}>} \cong\left(S y z_{1}\left(I_{j_{i_{r}}}\right)\right)_{<i_{s}>} \\
& =\left(N_{1, I_{j_{i_{r}}}}\right)_{<i_{s}>}
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the result follows.

## 4. Ideals with $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m}\right)$-Linear quotients

Definition 4.1. Let $I$ be a graded ideal, $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right\}$ be a homogeneous system of generators of $I$ and $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m}\right)$ be an $m$-tuple of positive integers with $d_{1}=1$. We say that $I$ has $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m}\right)$ linear quotients with respect to the elements $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$ if the ideal $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{j-1}\right): f_{j}$ has $d_{j}$-linear resolution for all $j=2, \ldots, m$. If $d_{2}=\cdots=d_{m}=d$, then we simply say that $I$ has $d$-linear quotients with respect to the elements $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$.

Notice that this property depends on the order of the generators. Any order of the generators for which we have $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m}\right)$-linear quotients will be called an admissible order of generators.

An admissible order of generators, say $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$, is called degree increasing if $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right)+d_{1} \leq \cdots \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{m}\right)+d_{m}$.

In this section, we study the class of ideals with $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m}\right)$-linear quotients and the particular case of ideals with 2-linear quotients. In the following, we assume that $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right\}$ is a homogeneous system of generators for the graded ideal $I$ and $I_{j}=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{j}\right)$ for all $j=$ $1, \ldots, m$.

Theorem 4.2. If I has 2-linear quotients with respect to the elements $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right) \leq \cdots \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{m}\right)$, then for each $i \geq \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right)$, we have

$$
\operatorname{reg}\left(I_{<i>}\right)= \begin{cases}i+1 & \text { if } i \in\left\{\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{i}\right) ; 1 \leq i \leq m\right\} \text { and } m>1 \\ i & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. We prove the assertion by induction on $m$. For $m=1$, it is obvious that the result is true. Assume that the result is true for $m \geq 1, I$ is a graded ideal which has 2-linear quotients with respect
to $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m+1}$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right) \leq \cdots \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{m+1}\right)$. Let $J=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)$ and $j=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{m+1}\right)$. Then, $I=J+\left(f_{m+1}\right)$. For each $i<j$, since $I_{<i\rangle}=J_{\langle i\rangle}$, by induction hypothesis the result is true.

Note that $I_{<j>}=J_{<j>}+\left(f_{m+1}\right)$. By hypothesis, $J: f_{m+1}$ is an ideal with 2-linear resolution. So, it is generated by elements of degree 2 . We will show that

$$
J_{<j>}: f_{m+1}=J: f_{m+1} .
$$

To see it, we prove that each homogeneous generator of degree 2 of $J: f_{m+1}$ belongs to $J_{<j>}: f_{m+1}$. Let $g$ be such a generator. So, $g f_{m+1} \in J_{<\ell>}$ where $\ell=\operatorname{deg}(g)+\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{m+1}\right)>j$. Since $J$ is generated by elements of degrees at most $j, J_{\langle\ell\rangle}=\mathfrak{m}^{\ell-j} J_{\langle j\rangle}$. So, $g f_{m+1} \in J_{\langle j\rangle}$ and the conclusion follows.

Now, consider the following short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow R /\left(J: f_{m+1}\right)(-j) \rightarrow R / J_{<j>} \rightarrow R / I_{<j>} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

By hypothesis, $\operatorname{reg}\left(R /\left(J: f_{m+1}\right)(-j)\right)=j+1$ and

$$
\operatorname{reg}\left(R / J_{<j>}\right)=\operatorname{reg}\left(J_{<j>}\right)-1= \begin{cases}j, & \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{m}\right)=j \text { and } m>1 ; \\ j-1, & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

By applying the reg formula (see [9, Corollary 18.7]) to the above short exact sequence, we have

$$
\operatorname{reg}\left(I_{<j>}\right)=\operatorname{reg}\left(R / I_{<j>}\right)+1=j+1 .
$$

So, the assertion follows for $i=j$.
If $i=j+1$, consider the following short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow I_{<j+1>} \rightarrow I_{<j>} \rightarrow I_{<j>} / I_{<j+1>} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Since $I_{<j+1\rangle}=\mathfrak{m} I_{<j>}$,

$$
I_{<j>} / I_{<j+1>}=\bigoplus \mathbf{k}(-j)
$$

So, $\operatorname{reg}\left(I_{<j>} / I_{<j+1>}\right)=j$. Again, by applying the reg formula we have $r e g\left(I_{<j+1>}\right)=j+1$.

Assume that $i>j+1$. Since $I$ is generated by elements of degrees at most $j, I_{<i>}=\mathfrak{m}^{i-j+1} I_{<j+1>}$ and by Lemma 2.2, we have $\operatorname{reg}\left(I_{<i>}\right)=$ $i$.

Next, we present some examples of ideals which satisfies Theorem 4.2.

Example 4.3. Let

$$
I=\left(x_{1}^{2} x_{2}, x_{2} x_{3}^{2}, x_{1} x_{3} x_{4}, x_{2}^{2} x_{4}^{2}\right) \subset \mathbf{k}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right] .
$$

Then $I$ has 2 -linear quotients with respect to $x_{1}^{2} x_{2}, x_{2} x_{3}^{2}, x_{1} x_{3} x_{4}, x_{2}^{2} x_{4}^{2}$ and satisfies Theorem 4.2.

Example 4.4. Let

$$
I=\left(x_{1} x_{2} x_{5}, x_{2} x_{3} x_{6}, x_{1} x_{3} x_{7}, x_{1} x_{4} x_{6}, x_{2} x_{4} x_{7}, x_{3} x_{4} x_{5}\right) \subset \mathbf{k}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{7}\right] .
$$

Then $I$ has 2-linear quotients with respect to

$$
x_{1} x_{2} x_{5}, x_{2} x_{3} x_{6}, x_{1} x_{3} x_{7}, x_{1} x_{4} x_{6}, x_{2} x_{4} x_{7}, x_{3} x_{4} x_{5}
$$

and satisfies Theorem 4.2.
In the next two examples, we have ideals with 2-linear quotients but the given admissible order of the generators is not degree increasing.

Example 4.5. Let

$$
I=\left(x_{1} x_{2} x_{5} x_{6}, x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}, x_{3} x_{4}, x_{2} x_{5} x_{7}\right) \subset \mathbf{k}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{7}\right] .
$$

Then $I$ has 2-linear quotients with respect to

$$
x_{1} x_{2} x_{5} x_{6}, x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}, x_{3} x_{4}, x_{2} x_{5} x_{7}
$$

But this ordering of generators is not degree increasing. If we reorder the generators as $x_{3} x_{4}, x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}, x_{2} x_{5} x_{7}, x_{1} x_{2} x_{5} x_{6}$ then we have a degree increasing admissible order for ( $1,1,2,1$ )-linear quotients property.

Example 4.6. Let

$$
I=\left(x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{7}, x_{1} x_{2} x_{5} x_{6}, x_{4} x_{5} x_{6}\right) \subset \mathbf{k}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{7}\right] .
$$

Then $I$ has 2-linear quotients with respect to

$$
x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{7}, x_{1} x_{2} x_{5} x_{6}, x_{4} x_{5} x_{6}
$$

This ordering of generators is not degree increasing and there is no degree increasing admissible order of generators for having some $\left(1, d_{1}, d_{2}\right)$ linear quotients property.

The above example shows that if a monomial ideal $I$ has $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m}\right)$ linear quotients, then in general we can not conclude that $G(I)$ has a degree increasing admissible order. This is an important difference with the case of monomial ideals with linear quotients.

Theorem 4.7. If I has $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m}\right)$-linear quotients with respect to $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right)+d_{1} \leq \cdots \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{m}\right)+d_{m}$, then the minimal free resolution of $R / I$ is given by the iterated mapping cone.

Moreover,

- $\forall i \geq 2$ and $\forall j \notin\left\{\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{\ell}\right)+d_{\ell}+i-2 ; 1 \leq \ell \leq m\right\}, \beta_{i, j}(R / I)=0$.
- $\operatorname{reg}(R / I)=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{m}\right)+d_{m}-2$.

Proof. Let $t \geq 1$ and assume that the minimal free resolution of $R / I_{t}$ is already known by the iterated mapping cone (for the case $t=1$ we just consider the obvious minimal free resolution of $R / I_{1}$ ). We can easily see that $I_{t}$ is minimally generated by $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{t}$ and for each $i \geq 2$ and $j \notin\left\{\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{\ell}\right)+d_{\ell}+i-2 ; 1 \leq \ell \leq t\right\}, \beta_{i, j}\left(R / I_{t}\right)=0$. Since, by the assumption, $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right)+d_{1} \leq \cdots \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{m}\right)+d_{m}$, for each $i \geq 1$,

$$
\max \left\{j ; \beta_{i, j}\left(R / I_{t}\right) \neq 0\right\} \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{t}\right)+d_{t}+i-2 .
$$

On the other hand, since $L_{t+1}=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{t}\right): f_{t+1}$ has $d_{t+1}$-linear resolution, for each $1 \leq i \leq p d\left(R / L_{t+1}\right)$, we have

$$
\min \left\{j ; \beta_{i, j}\left(R / L_{t+1}\right) \neq 0\right\}=d_{t+1}+i-1
$$

It is clear that $d_{t+1}+i-1>\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{t}\right)+d_{t}+i-2-\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{t+1}\right)$. So, Equation (3.1) holds and by Theorem 3.1, the mapping cone arising from the short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow R / L_{t+1}\left(-\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{t+1}\right)\right) \rightarrow R / I_{t} \rightarrow R / I_{t+1} \rightarrow 0,
$$

is the minimal free resolution of $R / I_{t+1}$ and the conclusion follows.
Example 4.8. Let $I=\left(x_{1} x_{2}, x_{2} x_{3}, x_{4} x_{5}, x_{1} x_{3} x_{4}\right) \subset \mathbf{k}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right]$. Then $I$ has ( $1,1,2,1$ )-linear quotients and $I$ satisfies in Theorem 4.7.

In the following, we show that if $I$ has $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m}\right)$-linear quotients with respect to $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right)+d_{1} \leq \cdots \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{m}\right)+d_{m}$, then $S y z_{1}(I)$ is a componentwise linear module.

Theorem 4.9. If I has $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m}\right)$-linear quotients with respect to $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right)+d_{1} \leq \cdots \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{m}\right)+d_{m}$, then $\operatorname{Syz}(I)$ is a componentwise linear module.

Proof. Suppose that $S y z_{1}(I)$ is minimally generated in degrees $i_{1}<$ $\cdots<i_{\ell}$. For each $1 \leq t \leq \ell$, let

$$
j_{i_{t}}=\max \left\{i ; \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{i}\right)+d_{i} \leq i_{t}\right\}, \quad I_{j_{i_{t}}}=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{j_{i_{t}}}\right)
$$

and

$$
N_{r, I}=\left(S y z_{1}(I)\right)_{\{r\}}, \quad N_{r, I_{j_{i_{t}}}}=\left(S y z_{1}\left(I_{j_{i_{t}}}\right)_{\{r\}} .\right.
$$

By induction on $r$, we show that for each $1 \leq r \leq \ell$ the module $N_{r, I}$ (resp. $N_{r, I_{j_{i}}}$ for each $t \geq r$ ) has the following properties:
(1) $\beta_{i, j}\left(N_{r, I}\right)=0 \quad \forall j \neq i_{r}+i, \cdots, i_{\ell}+i$ (resp. $\beta_{i, j}\left(N_{r}, I_{j_{i_{t}}}\right)=$ $\left.0 \forall j \neq i_{r}+i, \cdots, i_{t}+i\right)$.
(2) $\left(N_{r, I}\right)_{<i_{r}>}$ has $i_{r}$-linear resolution (resp. $\left(N_{r, I_{j_{i_{t}}}}\right)_{<i_{r}>}$ has $i_{r^{-}}$ linear resolution).

If $r=1$, then $N_{1, I}=\operatorname{Sy} z_{1}(I)$ (resp., $N_{1, I_{j_{i_{t}}}}=\operatorname{Sy} z_{1}\left(I_{j_{i_{t}}}\right)$ for each $t \geq 1$ ). Since by Theorem 4.7, the minimal free resolution of $R / I$ (resp., $R / I_{j_{i_{t}}}$ ) is given by the iterated mapping cone, it is clear that $\beta_{i, j}\left(N_{1, I}\right)=0$ for each $j \neq i_{1}+i, \cdots, i_{\ell}+i$ (resp., $\beta_{i, j}\left(N_{1, I_{j_{i_{t}}}}\right)=0$ for each $j \neq i_{1}+i, \cdots, i_{t}+i$ ). So (1) follows for $r=1$.

By Lemma 3.5, $\left(N_{1, I}\right)_{<i_{1}>} \cong\left(N_{1, I_{j_{i_{1}}}}\right)_{<i_{1}>} \cong\left(N_{1, I_{j_{i_{t}}}}\right)_{<i_{1}>}$ for each $t \geq 1$. Moreover, the ideal $I_{j_{i_{1}}}$ is generated by $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{j_{i_{1}}}$. By Theorem 4.7, the minimal free resolution of $R / I_{j_{i_{1}}}$ is computed by the iterated mapping cone and we have $i_{1}=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right)+d_{1}=\cdots=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{j_{i_{1}}}\right)+d_{j_{i_{1}}}$. So, again by Theorem 4.7, $\operatorname{Syz} z_{1}\left(I_{j_{i_{1}}}\right)$ is generated in degree $i_{1}$ and has $i_{1}$-linear resolution. So (2) follows for $r=1$.

Now, assume that (1), (2) is true for $N_{r-1, I}$ (resp., $N_{r-1, I_{j_{i}}}$ for each $t \geq r-1$ ) where $1 \leq r-1<\ell$. We prove that $N_{r, I}$ (resp., $N_{r, I_{j_{i_{t}}}}$ for each $t \geq r$ ) satisfies (1), (2).

By definition,
$N_{r, I}=N_{r-1, I} /\left(N_{r-1, I}\right)_{<i_{r-1}>}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.N_{r, I_{j_{i}}}=N_{r-1, I_{j_{i}}} /\left(N_{r-1, I_{j_{i_{t}}}}\right)_{<i_{r-1}>}\right)$.
By the induction hypothesis, $\left(N_{r-1, I}\right)_{<i_{r-1}>}$ (resp., $\left.\left(N_{r-1, I_{j_{i_{t}}}}\right)_{<i_{r-1}>}\right)$ has $i_{r-1}$-linear resolution and $\beta_{i, j}\left(N_{r-1, I}\right)=0 \quad \forall j \neq i_{r-1}+i, \cdots, i_{\ell}+i$ (resp., $\beta_{i, j}\left(N_{r-1, I_{j_{i_{t}}}}\right)=0 \quad \forall j \neq i_{r-1}+i, \cdots, i_{t}+i$ ).

Since $\left(N_{r-1, I}\right)_{<i_{r-1}>}$ (resp., $\left.\left(N_{r-1, I_{j_{i}}}\right)_{<i_{r-1}>}\right)$ has $i_{r-1}$-linear resolution, by Lemma 2.6, it is clear that $\beta_{i, j}\left(N_{r, I}\right)=0 \forall j \neq i_{r}+i, \ldots, i_{\ell}+i$ (resp., $\left.\beta_{i, j}\left(N_{r, I_{j_{i}}}\right)=0 \forall j \neq i_{r}+i, \ldots, i_{t}+i\right)$. So (1) follows.

Now, by Lemma 3.5,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(N_{r, I}\right)_{<i_{r}>} & \cong\left(N_{r, I_{j_{i}}}\right)_{<i_{r}>} \\
& \cong\left(\left(N_{r-1, J_{j_{i_{r}}}} /\left(N_{r-1, I_{j_{i_{r}}}}\right)_{<i_{r-1}>}\right)_{<i_{r}>}\right. \\
& \cong\left(N_{r, I_{j_{i_{t}}}}\right)_{<i_{r}>}
\end{aligned}
$$

where by the induction hypothesis, $\left(N_{r-1, I_{j_{i}}}\right)_{<i_{r-1}>}$ has $i_{r-1}$-linear resolution and $\beta_{i, j}\left(N_{r-1, I_{i_{r}}}\right)=0$, for each $j \neq i+i_{r-1}, i+i_{r}$. So, by Lemma 2.6, $\left(N_{r-1, I_{j_{i_{r}}}}\right) /\left(N_{r-1, I_{j_{i_{r}}}}\right)_{<i_{r-1}>}$ is generated in degree $i_{r}$ and has $i_{r}$-linear resolution. This means that

$$
\left(N_{r, I}\right)_{\left.<i_{r}\right\rangle} \cong\left(N_{r, I_{j_{i_{t}}}}\right)_{\left.<i_{r}\right\rangle} \cong N_{r-1, I_{j_{i_{r}}}} /\left(N_{r-1, I_{j_{i_{r}}}}\right)_{<i_{r-1}>}
$$

has $i_{r}$-linear resolutio. So (2) follows for $r$.
Now, since (2) holds for each $1 \leq r \leq \ell$, by Corollary 2.4, $S y z_{1}(I)$ is a componentwise linear module.
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