Journal of Algebraic Systems Vol. 9, No 1, (2021), pp 107-118

# SOME RESULTS ON $\phi$ -(k,n)-CLOSED SUBMODULES

M. H. MOSLEMI KOOPAEI\*

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative ring with identity and M be a unitary R -module. Let S(M) be the set of all submodules of M and  $\phi: S(M) \to S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be a function. A proper submodule N of M is called  $\phi$  -semi-n-absorbing if  $r^n m \in N \setminus \phi(N)$  where  $r \in R, m \in M$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , then  $r^n \in (N : M)$  or  $r^{n-1}m \in N$ . Let k and n are positive integers where k > n. A proper submodule N of M is called  $\phi$ -(k, n)- closed submodule, if  $r^k m \in N \setminus \phi(N)$  where  $r \in R, m \in M$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , then  $r^n \in (N : M)$  or  $r^{n-1}m \in N$ . In this work, firstly, we will study some general results when we use the definition  $\phi$ -(k, n)- closed submodule. Moreover, we prove main results of the  $\phi$ -(k, n)- closed submodule for various modules.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

In this work all rings are commutative with identity and all modules are unitary. Let M be an R-module and N be a submodule of M. The ideal  $\{r \in R \mid rM \subseteq N\}$  will be denoted by (N : M) and ideal (0 : M) will be denoted by Ann(M). A proper ideal I of R is a (m, n)- closed ideal if  $a^m \in I$  for  $a \in R$  implies  $a^n \in I$  (see [4]). Let  $\psi : \mathcal{I}(R) \to \mathcal{I}(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be a function where  $\mathcal{I}(R)$  is the set of all ideals of R. A proper ideal I of R is called  $\psi - (m, n)$ - closed ideal of R if whenever  $a \in R$  with  $a^m \in I \setminus \psi(I)$ , then  $a^n \in I(m > n)$  and a proper ideal I of R is said to be  $\psi$ -prime if for  $a, b \in R$  with  $ab \in I \setminus \psi(I)$ , then  $a \in I$  or  $b \in I$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that

DOI: 10.22044/jas.2020.8817.1426.

MSC(2010): Primary: 13C05; Secondary: 13C13.

Keywords:  $\phi$  -(k,n)-Closed submodule,  $\phi$  -Semi-n-absorbing submodule,

<sup>(</sup>m, n)-Closed ideal,  $\psi$ -(m, n)-Closed ideal,  $\phi$ -Prime submodule.

Received: 17 August 2020, Accepted: 24 September 2020.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

 $\psi(I) \subset I$ . In this work, we write  $\psi(N:M)$  instead of  $\psi((N:M))$ . The generalization of prime ideals play an essential role in the ring theory. This concept has been used by D. Anderson and M. Bataineh (see [5]). Some authors extended various generalized prime ideals and prime submodules (for example see [2], [3], [4], [6], [8] and [9]). N. Zamani defined the concept of  $\phi$ -prime submodule (see [22]). Let M be a unitary R-module, S(M) be the set of all submodules of M and  $\phi: S(M) \to S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be a function. A proper submodule N of M is called  $\phi$ -prime if  $a \in R, x \in M$  with  $ax \in N \setminus \phi(N)$ , then  $a \in (N : M)$ or  $x \in N$ . Some properties of this concept have been investigated in [22]. Suppose k and n are two positive integers with k > n, S(M) be the set of all submodules of M and  $\phi: S(M) \to S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be a function. A proper submodule N of M is called  $\phi$  -(k, n)-closed submodule, if whenever  $r \in R, m \in M$  with  $r^k m \in N \setminus \phi(N)$ , then  $r^n \in (N : M)$ or  $r^{n-1}m \in N$ . Some results of (k, n)-closed submodules have been studied in [21].

We use some concepts of (k, n)-closed submodules for  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed submodules. Moreover, we recall the concepts of compactly packed submodules and finitely compactly packed modules (see [18], [7], [1]) and we state Corollaries 2.21, 2.22, and Theorems 2.23, 2.24 in connection with these concepts.

# 2. Main results of $\phi$ -(k, n)-Closed submodules

In this section, we have proved some results of  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed submodules.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let M be an R-module and N be a proper submodule of M. Let  $\phi : S(M) \to S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}, \ \psi : \mathcal{I}(R) \to \mathcal{I}(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  are two functions where S(M) is the set of all submodules of M and  $\mathcal{I}(R)$  is the set of all ideals of R with  $\psi(N : M) \subseteq (\phi(N) : m)$ , for every  $m \in M$ such that (N : M) be a  $\psi$ -(k, n)-closed ideal of R. If N is a  $\phi$ -prime submodule of M, then N is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)- closed submodule of M(k > n).

Proof. Let N be a proper submodule of M and  $r^k m \in N \setminus \phi(N)$  where  $r \in R$  and  $m \in M$ . Since N is a  $\phi$ - prime submodule of M, then  $r^k \in (N:M)$  or  $m \in N$ . If  $m \in N$ , then  $r^{n-1}m \in N$ . From  $r^k \in (N:M)$ , it follows that  $r^k \in (N:M) \setminus \psi(N:M)$ , because  $r^k m \notin \phi(N)$  and  $\psi(N:M) \subseteq (\phi(N):m)$  for all  $m \in M$ . Since (N:M) is a  $\psi$ -(k, n)-closed ideal of R, then  $r^n \in (N:M)$ , as required.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 2.2.** Let M be a unitary R -module and  $\phi_1, \phi_2 : S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be two functions, where S(M) is the set of all submodules of M with  $\phi_1 \leq \phi_2$  (i.e., for every submodule N of M,  $\phi_1(N) \subseteq \phi_2(N)$ ).

If N is a  $\phi_1$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of M, then N is a  $\phi_2$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of M.

*Proof.* The proof is evident.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let N be a  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of M. Then N is a  $\phi$ -(k + 1, n + 1)-closed submodule of M.

Proof. Let  $r \in R$  and  $m \in M$  with  $r^{k+1}m \in N \setminus \phi(N)$ . Then  $r^k(rm) \in N \setminus \phi(N)$ . Since N is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of M, then  $r^n \in (N : M)$  or  $r^{n-1}(rm) \in N$ . Thus  $r^{n+1} \in (N : M)$  or  $r^nm \in N$ .  $\Box$ 

**Example 2.4.** Suppose that  $\phi(N) = \emptyset$ , we know that if N is a (k, n)closed submodule of M, then N is a (k + 1, n + 1)-closed submodule of M. But the converse of Proposition 2.3 is not true in general. For example, let  $M = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module and  $N = \langle (3, 0) \rangle$  be a submodule of  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ . We have  $(\langle (3, 0) \rangle : \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  and (18, 0) = $3^2(2, 0) \in \langle (3, 0) \rangle$ , but  $3 \notin (\langle (3, 0) \rangle : \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  and  $3^0(2, 0) \notin \langle (3, 0) \rangle$ . Therefore  $\langle (3, 0) \rangle$  is not a (2, 1)-closed submodule. Now, we show that  $\langle (3, 0) \rangle$  is a (3, 2-closed submodule of  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose that  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  with  $r^3(m, n) \in \langle (3, 0) \rangle$ . If r = 0, then  $0 = r^2 \in (\langle (3, 0) \rangle : \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  or  $r^{2-1}(m, n) \in \langle (3, 0) \rangle$ . So  $\langle (3, 0) \rangle$  is a (3, 2)-closed submodule. Now, let  $r \neq 0$ , so  $0 \neq r^2 \notin (\langle (3, 0) \rangle : \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . We have  $(r^3m, r^3n) = (3k, 0)$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , hence n = 0 and  $3 \mid r^3m$ . If  $3 \mid m$ , then  $r^{2-1}(m, 0) \in \langle (3, 0) \rangle$ . If  $3 \nmid m$ , then  $3 \mid r^3$ . So  $3 \mid r$ , therefore  $r^{2-1}(m, 0) \in \langle (3, 0) \rangle$ . Thus  $\langle (3, 0) \rangle$  is a (3, 2)-closed submodule of  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ .

Remark 2.5. Let  $\varphi : R \to S$  be a ring homomorphism and M be a S-module. It is easy to show that if N is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of S-module M, then N is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of R-module M.

**Proposition 2.6.** Let  $\phi : S(M) \to S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be a function where S(M) is the set of all submodules of M and  $N_i$  be a proper submodule of M for  $i \in \Lambda$ , such that  $\phi(\bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} N_i) \subseteq \phi(\cap_{i \in \Lambda} N_i)$ . If  $N_i$  is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of M for each  $i \in \Lambda$ , then  $\cap_{i \in \Lambda} N_i$  is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of M.

Proof. Let  $r^k m \in \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} N_i \setminus \phi(\bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} N_i)$  where  $r \in R$  and  $m \in M$ . Then  $r^k m \in \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} N_i$  and  $r^k m \notin \phi(\bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} N_i)$ . By our assumption  $\phi(\bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} N_i) \subseteq \phi(\bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} N_i)$ , so  $r^k m \in N_i \setminus \phi(N_i)$  for each  $i \in \Lambda$ . Since  $N_i$  is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of M, then  $r^n \in (N_i, :M)$  or  $r^{n-1}m \in N_i$  for every  $i \in \Lambda$ . Since  $(\bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} N_i : M) = \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} (N_i : M)$ , then  $r^n \in (\bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} N_i : M)$  or  $r^{n-1}m \in \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} N_i$ . This means that  $\bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} N_i$  is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of M.

The next theorem is a generalization of Theorem 2.3 in [21].

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $\phi : S(M) \to S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}, \psi : \mathcal{I}(R) \to \mathcal{I}(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be two functions where S(M) is the set of all submodules of M and  $\mathcal{I}(R)$ is the set of all ideals of R. Let N be a proper submodule of R-module M.

(1) If N is a  $\phi$ -(k,n)-closed submodule of M with  $(\phi(N) : m) \subseteq \psi(N : m)$  for each  $m \in M \setminus N$ , then (N : m) is a  $\psi$ -(k,n)-closed ideal of R (k > n).

(2) If (N:m) is a  $\psi$ -(k, n)-closed ideal of R with  $\psi(N:m) \subseteq (\phi(N):m)$  for each  $m \in M \setminus N$ , then N is a  $\phi$ -(k, n + 1)-closed submodule of M (k > n + 1).

(3) If N is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of M with  $(\phi(N) : m) \subseteq \psi(N : M)$  for all  $m \in M$ , then (N : M) is a  $\psi$ -(k, n)-closed ideal of R (k > n).

Proof. (1) Assume that  $r^k \in (N:m) \setminus \psi(N:m)$ . We have  $r^k \in (N:m)$  and  $r^k \notin \psi(N:m)$ . Since  $(\phi(N):m) \subseteq \psi(N:m)$  for every  $m \in M \setminus N$ , then  $r^k m \in N \setminus \phi(N)$ . Thus  $r^n \in (N:M)$  or  $r^{n-1}m \in N$ . Since  $(N:M) \subseteq (N:m)$ , then  $r^n \in (N:m)$ . From  $r^{n-1}m \in N$ , we get  $r^n m \in N$ . This means that (N:m) is a  $\psi$ -(k, n)-closed ideal of R.

(2) Let  $r^k m \in N \setminus \phi(N)$  where  $r \in R$  and  $m \in M \setminus N$ . Then  $r^k m \in N$ and  $r^k m \notin \phi(N)$ . Since  $\psi(N : m) \subseteq (\phi(N) : m)$ , then  $r^k \in (N : m) \setminus \psi(N : m)$ . Therefore  $r^n \in (N : m)$  and hence  $r^n m = r^{(n+1)-1}m \in N$ . Thus N is a  $\phi$ -(k, n + 1)-closed submodule of M.

(3) Assume that  $r \in R$  with  $r^k \in (N : M) \setminus \psi(N : M)$  but  $r^n \notin (N : M)$ . Then there is an element  $m' \in M$  such that  $r^n m' \notin N$  which means that  $r^{n-1}m' \notin N$ . On the other hand, since  $r^k \notin \psi(N : M)$ , then  $r^k \notin \phi(N : m)$ , for all  $m \in M$ . Hence  $r^k \notin (\phi(N) : m')$ . Therefore  $r^k m' \in N \setminus \phi(N)$  and so  $r^n \in (N : M)$  or  $r^{n-1}m' \in N$ , this is a contradiction. Thus (N : M) is a  $\psi$ -(k, n)-closed ideal of R.

We recall that an R-module M is called a multiplication module if for every submdule N of M, we have N = IM, where I is an ideal of R. We say that I is a presentation ideal of N or, for short, a presentation of N and we denote the set of all presentation ideals of N by  $\mathcal{P}r(N)$ . Clearly (N:M) is a presentation ideal of N.

**Corollary 2.8.** Let the situation be as described in Theorem 2.7 and M be a multiplication R-module such that  $(\phi(N) : m) \subseteq \psi(N : M)$  for every  $m \in M$ . If N is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of M, then (N : M) is a  $\psi$ -(k, n)-closed ideal of R.

*Proof.* Since (N : M) is a presentation ideal of N and  $(\phi(N) : m) \subseteq \psi(N : M)$ , by Theorem 2.7 (3), then (N : M) is a  $\psi$ -(k, n)-closed ideal of R.

Now, let F be a free R-module and  $\{m_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  be a basis for F, then it is clear that submodule IF is of the form  $IF = \{\sum_{f.s} e_i m_{\alpha_i} | e_i \in I, m_{\alpha_i} \in \{m_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}\}$ , where I is an ideal of R. Also, if  $a \in F$  so a has a unique representation in the form  $a = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} r_{\alpha} m_{\alpha}$  where  $r_{\alpha} \in R$  and  $r_{\alpha} = 0$  for almost all  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . Hence we can write  $a = \sum_{f.s} r_{\alpha} m_{\alpha}$  where  $r_{\alpha} \in R$  and by the way IF is defined, we have (IF : F) = I. In light of above explanation, we state the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.9.** Let F be a free R-module,  $\phi : S(F) \to S(F) \cup \{\emptyset\}, \psi : \mathcal{I}(R) \to \mathcal{I}(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be two functions where S(F) is the set of all submodules of F and  $\mathcal{I}(R)$  is the set of all ideals of R. If I is a  $\psi$ -prime ideal of R with  $\psi(I)F \subseteq \phi(IF)$  and  $\sqrt{I} = I$ , then IF is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of F

Proof. Let  $r^k m \in IF \setminus \phi(IF)$  where  $r \in R$  and  $m \in F$ . Suppose that  $\{m_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  be a basis for F. We have  $r^k m \in IF$  and  $r^k m \notin \phi(IF)$ . Since  $m \in F$ , then  $m = \sum_{f,s} r_\alpha m_\alpha$  where  $r_\alpha \in R$  and hence  $r^k m = \sum_{f,s} (r^k r_\alpha)m_\alpha$ . But  $r^k m \in IF$  implies that  $r^k m = \sum_{f,s} s_\alpha m_\alpha$  where  $s_\alpha \in I$ . Then  $\sum_{f,s} (r^k r_\alpha)m_\alpha = \sum_{f,s} s_\alpha m_\alpha$  and since  $\{m_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  is a basis for F, we must have  $r^k r_\alpha = s_\alpha$  and hence  $r^k r_\alpha \in I$ . On the other hand  $r^k m \notin \phi(IF)$ , since  $\psi(I)F \subseteq \phi(IF)$ , then  $r^k m \notin \psi(I)F$ . It follows that  $r^k r_\alpha \notin \psi(I)$ . Thus  $r^k r_\alpha \in I \setminus \psi(I)$ . Because I is an ideal  $\psi$ -prime of R, so  $r^k \in I$  or  $r_\alpha \in I$  for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . Since  $r^k \in I$  and  $\sqrt{I} = I$ , then  $r \in I$  implies  $r^n \in I = (IF : F)$ . If  $r_\alpha \in I$  for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , we have  $\sum_{f,s} r_\alpha m_\alpha \in IF$ , so  $m \in IF$  implies  $r^{n-1}m \in IF$ . Thus IF is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of F.

For a submodule L of M, let  $\phi_L : S(\frac{M}{L}) \to S(\frac{M}{L}) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be defined by  $\phi_L(\frac{N}{L}) = \frac{\phi(N)+L}{L}$  with  $L \subseteq N$  (and  $\phi_L(\frac{N}{L}) = \emptyset$  if  $\phi(N) = \emptyset$ ) where  $\phi : S(M) \to S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  is a function and  $S(\frac{M}{L})$  is the set of all submodules of  $\frac{M}{L}$ . Now, we state the generalization of Corollary 2.34 in [21].

**Theorem 2.10.** Let M be an R-module and  $L \subseteq N$  be a proper submodule of M. Suppose that  $\phi : S(M) \to S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  and  $\phi_L : S(\frac{M}{L}) \to S(\frac{M}{L}) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be two functions. Then the following statements hold. (1) If N is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of M, then  $\frac{N}{L}$  is a  $\phi_L$ -(k, n)closed submodule of  $\frac{M}{L}$ .

(2) If  $L \subseteq \phi(N)$  and  $\frac{N}{L}$  is a  $\phi_L$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of  $\frac{M}{L}$ , then N is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of M.

*Proof.* (1) Let  $r \in R$  and  $m + L \in \frac{M}{L}$  with  $r^k(m + L) \in \frac{N}{L} \setminus \phi_L(\frac{N}{L})$ . It follows that  $r^k m \in N \setminus \phi(N)$ . Since N is a  $\phi(k, n)$ -closed submodule

of M, then  $r^n \in (N : M)$  or  $r^{n-1}m \in N$ . Thus  $r^n \in (\frac{N}{L} : \frac{M}{L})$  or  $r^{n-1}(m+L) \in \frac{N}{L}$ , as required.

(2) Let  $r \in R$  and  $m \in M$  with  $r^k m \in N \setminus \phi(N)$ . Since  $L \subseteq \phi(N)$ , then  $r^k m + L \notin \frac{\phi(N) + L}{L}$ . So  $r^k(m + L) \in \frac{N}{L} \setminus \phi_L(\frac{N}{L})$ . Since  $\frac{N}{L}$  is a  $\phi_L(k, n)$ -closed submodule of  $\frac{M}{L}$ , then  $r^n \in (\frac{N}{L} : \frac{M}{L})$  or  $r^{n-1}(m + L) \in \frac{N}{L}$ . It follows that  $r^n \in (N : M)$  or  $r^{n-1}m \in N$ .

We recall that a proper submodule N of M is called weakly-(k, n)closed submodule if  $0 \neq r^k m \in N$  where  $r \in R$  and  $m \in M$ , then  $r^n \in (N : M)$  or  $r^{n-1}m \in M$  (k > n). Ebrahimpour and Mirzaee use the following proposition for  $\phi$ -semiprime submodules and weakly semiprime submodules (see [10, Proposition 2.15]).

**Proposition 2.11.** Let  $\phi : S(M) \to S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be a function and N be a proper submodule of M. Then, N is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of M if and only if  $\frac{N}{\phi(N)}$  is a weakly-(k, n)-closed submodule of  $\frac{M}{\phi(N)}$ .

*Proof.* The proof of this proposition is straightforward.

The next proposition is a generalization of Lemma 2.4 in [21].

**Proposition 2.12.** Let M be a finitely generated R-module such that  $M = Rm_1 + ... + Rm_t$ , N be a proper submodule of M and  $\psi : \mathcal{I}(R) \to \mathcal{I}(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be a function where  $\mathcal{I}(R)$  is the set of all ideals of R. Then (1) If  $(N : m_i)$  is a  $\psi$ -(k, n)-closed ideal of R with  $\psi(N : m_i) \subseteq \psi(N : M)$  for each i = 1, ..., t, then (N : M) is a  $\psi$ -(k, n)-closed ideal of R, then  $(N : m_i)$  is a  $\psi$ -(k, n)-closed ideal of R, then  $(N : m_i)$  is a  $\psi$ -(k, n)-closed ideal of R, then  $(N : m_i)$  is a  $\psi$ -(k, n)-closed ideal of R, then  $(N : m_i)$  is a  $\psi$ -(k, n)-closed ideal of R, then  $(N : m_i)$  is a  $\psi$ -(k, n)-closed ideal of R, then  $(N : m_i)$  is a  $\psi$ -(k, n)-closed ideal of R.

Proof. (1) Let  $r \in R$  with  $r^k \in (N:M) \setminus \psi(N:M)$  and  $r^n \notin (N:M)$ . So  $r^n \notin (N:m_j)$  for some  $j \in \{1,...,t\}$ , because  $(N:\sum_{i=1}^t Rm_i) = \bigcap_{i=1}^t (N:Rm_i) = \bigcap_{i=1}^t (N:m_i)$ . Since  $r^k \notin \psi(N:M)$ , then  $r^k \notin \psi(N:m_i)$  for all  $i \in \{1,...,t\}$ . It follows that  $r^k \in (N:m_j) \setminus \psi(N:m_j)$  for some  $j \in \{1,...,t\}$ . Since  $(N:m_j)$  is a  $\psi$ -(k,n)-closed ideal of R, then  $r^n \in (N:m_j)$  which contradicts with our assumption. Thus (N:M) is a  $\psi$ -(k,n)-closed ideal of R.

(2) Assume that (N : M) is a  $\psi$ - (k, n)-closed ideal of R. Let  $r \in R$  with  $r^k \in (N : m_i) \setminus \psi(N : m_i)$  for all  $i \in \{1, ..., t\}$ . We have  $r^k \in \cap_{i=1}^t (N : m_i) = (N : \sum_{i=1}^t Rm_i) = (N : M)$  and because of  $\psi(\cap_{i=1}^t (N : m_i)) \subseteq \cap_{i=1}^t \psi(N : m_i) \subseteq \psi(N : m_i)$ , for all  $i \in \{1, ..., t\}$ ,  $r^k \notin \psi(N : m_i)$  implies that  $r^k \notin \psi(\cap_{i=1}^t (N : m_i)) = \psi(N : M)$ . It follows that  $r^k \in (N : M) \setminus \psi(N : M)$ . Thus  $r^n \in (N : M)$  and so  $r^n \in \cap_{i=1}^t (N : m_i)$ , therefore  $r^n \in (N : m_i)$  for all  $i \in \{1, ..., t\}$ .  $\Box$ 

Now, let  $M_i$  be an  $R_i$ -module for i = 1, 2, where  $R_i$  is a commutative ring. We know that  $M_1 \times M_2$  be an  $R_1 \times R_2$ -module. Assume that  $N_1 \times N_2$  be a proper submodule of  $M_1 \times M_2$ , where  $N_i$  is a proper submodule of  $M_i$  for i = 1, 2. Let  $\phi : S(M_1 \times M_2) \to S(M_1 \times M_2) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ ,  $\phi_i : S(M_i) \to S(M_i) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be functions with  $\phi(N_1 \times N_2) = \phi_1(N_1) \times \phi_2(N_2)$  for i = 1, 2. Now, we state two following theorems.

**Theorem 2.13.** Let  $M_1 \times M_2$  be an  $R_1 \times R_2$ -module and  $N_i$  be a proper submodule of  $M_i$  for i = 1, 2. If  $N_1 \times N_2$  is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of  $M_1 \times M_2$ , then  $N_i$  is a  $\phi_i$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of  $M_i$  for i = 1, 2(k > n)

Proof. Let  $i = 1, N_1 \neq M_1, r_1 \in R_1$  with  $r_1^k m_1 \in N_1 \setminus \phi_1(N_1)$ . So  $(r_1^k m_1, 0) \in N_1 \times N_2$ . Since  $r_1^k m_1 \notin \phi_1(N_1)$ , then  $(r_1^k m_1, 0) \notin \phi_1(N_1) \times \phi_2(N_2)$ . Thus  $(r_1^k m_1, 0) \in N_1 \times N_2 \setminus \phi_1(N_1) \times \phi_2(N_2)$ .Since  $(r_1, 1)^k (m_1, 0) = (r_1^k m_1, 0)$  and  $N_1 \times N_2$  is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of  $M_1 \times M_2$ , then  $(r_1, 1)^n \in (N_1 \times N_2 : M_1 \times M_2)$  or  $(r_1, 1)^{n-1} (m_1, 0) \in N_1 \times N_2$ . It follows that  $r_1^n \in (N_1 : M_1)$  or  $r_1^{n-1} m_1 \in N_1$ , as required.

**Theorem 2.14.** Let  $M_1 \times M_2$  be an  $R_1 \times R_2$ -module and  $\phi : S(M_1 \times M_2) \to S(M_1 \times M_2) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be a function with  $\phi(N_1 \times N_2) = \phi_1(N_1) \times \phi_2(N_2)$  where  $\phi_i : S(M_i) \to S(M_i) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  is a function such that  $(\phi_i(M_i) : M_i) = R_i$  for i = 1, 2. If  $N_i$  is a  $\phi_i$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of  $M_i$  for i = 1, 2, then  $N_1 \times M_2$  and  $M_1 \times N_2$  are  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed submodules of  $M_1 \times M_2$  (k > n).

Proof. Let  $(r_1, r_2)^k(m_1, m_2) \in N_1 \times M_2 \setminus \phi(N_1 \times M_2)$  where  $(r_1, r_2) \in R_1 \times R_2$  and  $(m_1, m_2) \in M_1 \times M_2$ . We have  $r_1^k m_1 \in N_1$ ,  $r_2^k m_2 \in M_2$  and  $(r_1^k m_1, r_2^k m_2) \notin \phi_1(N_1) \times \phi_2(M_2)$ . Since  $R_2 = (\phi_2(M_2) : M_2)$ , then  $r_2^k m_2 \in \phi_2(M_2)$  and hence  $r_1^k m_1 \notin \phi_1(N_1)$ . Therefore  $r_1^k m_1 \in N_1 \setminus \phi_1(N_1)$ . So  $r_1^n \in (N_1, M_1)$  or  $r_1^{n-1} m_1 \in N_1$ . Thus  $(r_1^n, r_2^n) \in (N_1 \times M_2 : M_1 \times M_2)$  or  $(r_1^{n-1} m_1, r_2^{n-1} m_2) \in N_1 \times M_2$ , as required. □

The following theorem is the generalization of Theorem 2.33 in [21].

**Theorem 2.15.** Let  $f : M \to M'$  be an epimorphism *R*-module,  $\phi : S(M) \to S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  and  $\phi' : S(M') \to S(M') \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be two functions. Then the following conditions hold:

(1) If N is a  $\phi$ -(k,n)-closed submodule of M with kerf  $\subseteq$  N and  $f(\phi(N)) \subseteq \phi'(f(N))$ , then f(N) is a  $\phi'$ -(k,n)-closed submodule of M' (k > n).

(2) If L is a  $\phi'$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of M' and  $f^{-1}(\phi'(L)) \subseteq \phi(f^{-1}(L))$ , then  $f^{-1}(L)$  is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of M (k > n).

Proof. (1) Let  $r \in R$  and  $m' \in M'$  with  $r^k m' \in f(N) \setminus \phi'(f(N))$ . There exists  $m \in M$  such that f(m) = m'. Hence  $r^k f(m) \in f(N)$ and  $r^k f(m) \notin \phi'(f(N))$ . It follows that  $r^k m \in N$  and  $r^k m \notin \phi(N)$ , because  $r^k f(m) \notin f(\phi(N))$ . Thus  $r^k m \in N \setminus \phi(N)$ , so  $r^n \in (N : M)$ or  $r^{n-1}m \in N$ . Therefore  $r^n \in (f(N) : M')$  or  $r^{n-1}f(m) \in f(N)$ . (2) Let  $r^k m \in f^{-1}(L) \setminus \phi(f^{-1}(L))$  where  $m \in M$  and  $r \in R$ . So  $r^k m \in$  $f^{-1}(L)$  and  $r^k m \notin \phi(f^{-1}(L))$ , thus  $r^k f(m) \in L \setminus \phi'(L)$ . Therefore  $r^n \in (L : M')$  or  $r^{n-1}f(m) \in L$ , since L is a  $\phi'$ -(k, n)-closed submodule

of M'. Thus  $r^n \in (f^{-1}(L): M)$  or  $r^{n-1}m \in f^{-1}(L)$ , as required.  $\square$ Let S be a multiplicatively closed subset of R. We know that every submodule of  $S^{-1}M$  is of the form  $S^{-1}N$  for some submodule N of M. Let  $\phi: S(M) \to S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be a function and define  $\phi_S: S(S^{-1}M) \to S(S^{-1}M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  by  $\phi_S(S^{-1}N) = S^{-1}\phi(N)$  and  $\phi_S(S^{-1}N) = \emptyset$  if  $\phi(N) = \emptyset$  where N is a submodule of M.

The following theorem has been proved for (k, n)-closed submodules and semi *n*-absorbing submodules (see [21, Theorem 2.30]).

**Theorem 2.16.** Let M be an R-module and S be a multiplicatively closed subset of R such that  $S^{-1}N \neq S^{-1}M$  and  $S^{-1}(\phi(N)) \subseteq \phi_S(S^{-1}N)$ . If N is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of M with  $(N : M) \cap S = \emptyset$ , then  $S^{-1}N$  is a  $\phi_S$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of  $S^{-1}M$ .

Proof. Let  $\frac{r}{s} \in S^{-1}R$  and  $\frac{m}{t} \in S^{-1}M$  with  $(\frac{r}{s})^k \frac{m}{t} \in S^{-1}N \setminus \phi_S(S^{-1}N)$ . We have  $\frac{r^k m}{s^k t} \in S^{-1}N$  and  $\frac{r^k m}{s^k t} \notin \phi_S(S^{-1}N)$ . Hence, there exists  $u \in S$  such that  $ur^k m \in N$  and  $ur^k m \notin \phi(N)$ . Therefore  $\frac{r^n}{s^n} \in S^{-1}(N:M) \subseteq (S^{-1}N:S^{-1}M)$  or  $\frac{r^{n-1}}{s^{n-1}} \frac{m}{t} \in S^{-1}N$ .

Now, we consider  $S^{-1}M$  as an *R*-module. Let  $\pi : M \to S^{-1}M$  be given by  $m \mapsto \frac{m}{1}$ . Then  $\pi$  is *R*-homomorphism. We show that if *T* is a  $\phi_{S}(k, n)$ -closed submodule of  $S^{-1}M$ , then  $\pi^{-1}(T)$  is a  $\phi(k, n)$ -closed submodule of *M*.

**Proposition 2.17.** Let M be an R-module and S be a multiplicatively closed subset in R. Let  $\phi : S(M) \to S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be a function and define  $\phi_S : S(S^{-1}M) \to S(S^{-1}M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  by  $\phi_S(T) = S^{-1}\phi(\pi^{-1}(T))$  (and  $\phi_S(T) = \emptyset$  when  $\phi(\pi^{-1}(T)) = \emptyset$ ) for every submodule T of  $S^{-1}M$ . If T is a  $\phi_S$ -(k, n)- closed submodule of  $S^{-1}M$  such that  $\frac{m}{1} \notin T$  for some  $m \in M$ , then  $\pi^{-1}(T)$  is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of M.

Proof. Since  $\frac{m}{1} \notin T$  for some  $m \in M$ , then  $\pi^{-1}(T) \neq M$ . Let  $r \in R$ ,  $m \in M$  with  $r^k m \in \pi^{-1}(T) \setminus \phi(\pi^{-1}(T))$ . Then  $r^k m \in \pi^{-1}(T)$ and  $r^k m \notin \phi(\pi^{-1}(T))$ . Thus  $\frac{r^k m}{1} \in T$  and  $\frac{r^k m}{1} \notin S^{-1}\phi(\pi^{-1}(T))$ . So  $\frac{r^k m}{1} \in T \setminus \phi_S(T)$ . Since T is a  $\phi_{S^-}(k, n)$ -closed submodule of  $S^{-1}M$ , then  $\frac{r^n}{1} \in (T : S^{-1}M)$  or  $\frac{r^{n-1}m}{1} \in T$ . Thus  $r^n \in (\pi^{-1}(T) : M)$  or  $\pi(r^{n-1}m) \in T$ , hence  $\pi^{-1}(T)$  is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of M.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 2.18.** Let M be an R-module and N be a submodule of M. Then N is called relatively divisible submodule denoted by RD-submodule, if  $rN = N \cap rM$  for each  $r \in R$ . M as an R-module is said to be prime if rm = 0 where  $r \in R$  and  $m \in M$ , then  $r \in Ann(M)$  or m = 0. Now, we give the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.19.** Let M be a prime R-module and N be a proper submodule of M. If N is a RD-submodule of M with  $Ann(M) \subseteq (\phi(N): M)$ , then N is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of M.

Proof. Let  $r \in R$  and  $m \in M$  with  $r^k m \in N \setminus \phi(N)$ . Since N is a RD-submodule, then  $r^k M \cap N = r^k N$ . So  $r^k m \in r^k M \cap N = r^k N$ , hence  $r^k m = r^k s$ , for some  $s \in N$ . Thus  $r^k (m - s) = 0$ . Since M is prime, then  $r^k \in Ann(M)$  or m - s = 0. But if  $r^k \in Ann(M)$ , then  $r^k \in (\phi(N) : M)$ . So  $r^k m \in \phi(N)$  which contradicts with our assumption. Thus m - s = 0, hence  $m \in N$  and so  $r^{n-1}m \in N$ , as required.

**Definition 2.20.** A proper submodule N of M is called *finitely compactly packed* if for each family  $\{N_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  of prime submodules of M with  $N \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} N_{\alpha}$ , there exist  $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n \in \Lambda$  such that  $N \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n N_{\alpha_i}$ . If  $N \subseteq N_{\beta}$  for some  $\beta \in \Lambda$ , then N is called *compactly packed*. A module M is said to be *finitely compactly packed* (*compactly packed*), if every proper submodule N of M is finitely compactly packed ( compactly packed ) packed) submodule (see [1]).

We will call a proper submodule N of M as  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed finitely compactly packed if for each family  $\{P_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  of  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed submodules of M with  $N \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} P_{\alpha}$ , there exist  $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n \in \Lambda$  such that  $N \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n P_{\alpha_i}$ . If  $N \subseteq N_{\beta}$  for some  $\beta \in \Lambda$ , then N is called  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed compactly packed. A module M is said to be  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed finitely compactly packed (compactly packed) if every proper submodule is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)closed finitely compactly packed (compactly packed).

For more details concerning finitely compactly packed ( compactly packed) submodule of a module refer to [1], [7] and [18].

**Corollary 2.21.** Let M be an R-module and  $\phi_1, \phi_2 : S(M) \to S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be two functions where S(M) is the set of all submodules of M with  $\phi_1 \leq \phi_2$ . If M is a  $\phi_2$ -(k, n)-closed finitely compactly packed (compactly packed) module, then M is a  $\phi_1$ -(k, n)-closed finitely compactly packed (compactly packed) module.

*Proof.* Clear by Proposition 2.2.

**Corollary 2.22.** Every  $\phi$ -(k+1, n+1)-closed finitely compactly packed (compactly packed) module is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed finitely compactly packed (compactly packed) module.

*Proof.* Apply Proposition 2.3.

**Theorem 2.23.** Let  $f : M \to M'$  be an epimorphism *R*-module,  $\phi : S(M) \to S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$  and  $\phi' : S(M') \to S(M') \cup \{\emptyset\}$  be two functions. Then the following conditions hold:

(1) If M is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed finitely compactly packed module such that for every  $\phi'$ -(k, n)-closed submodule L of M' we have  $f^{-1}(\phi'(L)) \subseteq \phi(f^{-1}(L))$ , then M' is a  $\phi'$ -(k, n)-closed finitely compactly packed module.

(2) If M' is a  $\phi'$ -(k, n)-closed finitely compactly packed module such that for every  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed submodule P of M we have kerf  $\subseteq P$  and  $f(\phi(P)) \subseteq \phi'(f(P))$ , then M is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed finitely compactly packed module.

Proof. (1) Let N' be a proper submodule of M'. Suppose that  $N' \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} P'_{\alpha}$ , where  $P'_{\alpha}$  is a  $\phi'$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of M' for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . We have  $f^{-1}(N') \subseteq f^{-1}(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} P'_{\alpha})$ , so  $f^{-1}(N') \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} f^{-1}(P'_{\alpha})$ . Since  $P'_{\alpha}$  is a  $\phi'$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of M' and  $f^{-1}(\phi'(P'_{\alpha})) \subseteq \phi(f^{-1}(P'_{\alpha}))$  for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , by Theorem 2.15, we get  $f^{-1}(P'_{\alpha})$  is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of M is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed finitely compactly packed module, thus there exist  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \Lambda$  such that  $f^{-1}(N') \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(P'_{\alpha_i})$ , hence  $f^{-1}(N') \subseteq f^{-1}(\bigcup_{i=1}^n P'_{\alpha_i})$ . Since f is an epimorphism R-module, then  $N' \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n P'_{\alpha_i}$ . Thus N' is a  $\phi'$ -(k, n)-closed finitely compactly packed submodule of M' and hence M' is a  $\phi'$ -(k, n)-closed finitely compactly packed submodule of M' and hence M' is a  $\phi'$ -(k, n)-closed finitely compactly packed submodule of M' and hence M' is a  $\phi'$ -(k, n)-closed finitely compactly packed submodule of M' and hence M' is a  $\phi'$ -(k, n)-closed finitely compactly packed module.

(2) Suppose that N is a proper submodule of M with  $N \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} P_{\alpha}$ where  $P_{\alpha}$  is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of M for every  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . We have  $f(N) \subseteq f(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} P_{\alpha})$ . Since  $P_{\alpha}$  is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)- closed submodule of  $M, f(\phi(P_{\alpha})) \subseteq \phi'(f(P_{\alpha}))$  and  $kerf \subseteq P_{\alpha}$  for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , by Theorem 2.15, we get  $f(P_{\alpha})$  is a  $\phi'$ -(k, n)-closed submodule of M'. Since M' is a  $\phi'$ -(k, n)-closed finitely compactly packed module, then there exist  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Lambda$  such that  $f(N) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n f(P_{\alpha_i})$ . Now, assume that  $n \in N$ , therefore  $f(n) \in f(\bigcup_{i=1}^n P_{\alpha_i})$ , so f(n) = f(m) for some  $m \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n P_{\alpha_i}$ . Thus  $n - m \in kerf \subseteq P_{\alpha_j}$  and  $m \in P_{\alpha_j}$  for some  $\alpha_j \in \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ . Thus  $n \in P_{\alpha_j}$  and hence  $n \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n P_{\alpha_i}$ . It follows that  $N \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n P_{\alpha_i}$ . So N is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed finitely compactly packed submodule of M and hence M is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed finitely compactly packed module.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.24.** Let M be an R-module, S be a multiplicatively closed set in R and  $\phi : S(M) \to S(M) \cup \{\emptyset\}, \phi_S : S(S^{-1}M) \to S(S^{-1}M) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ 

116

be two functions such that  $\phi_S(T) = S^{-1}(\phi(\pi^{-1}(T)))$  for every submodule T of  $S^{-1}M$  where  $\pi: M \to S^{-1}M$  by  $\pi(m) = \frac{m}{1}$  for each  $m \in M$  and  $\frac{x}{1} \notin T$  for some  $x \in M$ . If M is a  $\phi$ -(k, n)-closed compactly packed module, then  $S^{-1}M$  is a  $\phi_S$ -(k, n)-closed compactly packed module.

*Proof.* Let *T* be a proper submodule of *S*<sup>-1</sup>*M*. Suppose that *T* ⊆ ∪<sub>α∈Λ</sub>*P*<sub>α</sub> where *P*<sub>α</sub> is a  $\phi_{S^-}(k, n)$ -closed submodule of *S*<sup>-1</sup>*M* for each α ∈ Λ. We have  $\pi^{-1}(T) \subseteq \pi^{-1}(\cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} P_\alpha) = \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \pi^{-1}(P_\alpha)$ . Since  $\pi^{-1}(T)$ is a proper submodule of *M* and  $\pi^{-1}(P_\alpha)$  is a  $\phi$ -(*k*, *n*)-closed submodule of *M* for each α ∈ Λ , by Proposition 2.16., we get  $\pi^{-1}(T) \subseteq \pi^{-1}(P_\beta)$ for some  $\beta \in \Lambda$ , because *M* is a  $\phi$ -(*k*, *n*)-closed compactly packed module. On the other hand, we write  $S^{-1}(\pi^{-1}(T)) = T$  because  $S^{-1}(\pi^{-1}(T)) = \{\frac{m}{s} \mid m \in \pi^{-1}(T), s \in S\} = \{\frac{m}{1}\frac{1}{s} \mid \frac{m}{1} \in T, s \in S\} = T$ (so that we can consider submodule *T* as  $S^{-1}R$ -module  $S^{-1}M$ ). Therefore  $S^{-1}(\pi^{-1}(T)) \subseteq S^{-1}(\pi^{-1}(P_\beta))$  implies that  $T \subseteq P_\beta$  for some  $\beta \in \Lambda$ . So  $S^{-1}M$  is a  $\phi_{S^-}(k, n)$ - closed compactly packed module.  $\Box$ 

## Acknowledgments

The author wish to thank the refree for his/her valuable comments and suggestions which improve the quality of this paper.

### References

- Al-Ani Z, Compactly packed modules and comprimely packed modules, M.sc. Theses, College of Science, University of Baghdad, 1998.
- R. Ameri, On the prime submodules of multiplication modules, *Inter. J. Math. Sci.*, 27 (2003), 1715–1724.
- D. F. Anderson and A.Badawi, On n-absorbing ideals of commutative rings, Comm. Algebra, 39 (2011), 1646–1672.
- D. F. Anderson and A. Badawi, On (m, n)-closed ideals of commutative rings, J. Algebra, (in press).
- D. D. Anderson and E. Batanieh, Generalizations of prime ideals, Comm. Algebra, 36 (2008), 686–696.
- D. D. Anderson and E. Smith, Weakly prime ideals, *Houston J. Math.*, 29 (2003), 831–840.
- A. Ashour, Primary finitely compactly packed modules and s-avoidance theorem for modules, *Turk J Math.*, **32** (2008), 315–324.
- A. Y. Darani and F. Soheilnia, On n-absorbing submdules, Math. Commun., 17 (2012), 547–557.
- 9. J. Dauns, Prime submodules, J. Reine Angew. Math., 298 (1978) 156-181.
- M. Ebrahimpour and F. Mirzaee, On φ-semiprime submodules, J. Korean Math. Soc., 54(4) (2017) 1099–1108.

- M. Ebrahimpour and R. Nekooei, On generalizations of prime submodules, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc., 39(5) (2013), 919–939.
- Z. A. El-Bast and P. F. Smith, Multiplication modules, Comm. Algebra, 16 (1988), 766–779.
- A. K. Jabbar, A generalization of prime and weakly prime submodules, *Pure Math. Sci.*, 2 (2013), 1–11.
- C. P. Lu, Prime submodules of modules, Comm. Math. Univ. Sancti Pauli, 33 (1984), 61–69.
- 15. C. P. Lu, Spectra of modules, Comm. Algebra., 23 (1995), 3741–3752.
- R. L. McCasland and M. E. Moore, Prime submodules, Comm. Algebra, 20 (1992), 1803–1817.
- 17. H. Mostafanasab and A. Y. Darani, On *n*-absorbing ideals and two generalizations of semiprime ideals, *Thai J. Math*, (in press).
- J. V. Pakala and T. S. Shores, On compactly packed rings, *Pacific J. Math.*, 97(1) (1981), 197–201.
- 19. R. Y. Sharp, *Steps in commutative algebra*, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- P. F. Smith, Some remarks on multiplication modules, Arch. Math., 50 (1988), 223–235.
- E. Yetkin Celikel, On (k, n)-closed submodules, arXiv:1604.07656v1 [math.AC] 26 Apr, (2016).
- 22. N. Zamani, φ-prime submodules, *Glasgow Math. J.*, **52**(2) (2010), 253–259.

#### Mohammad Hosein Moslemi Koopaei

Department of Mathematics, Roudehen Branch, Islamic Azad University , Roudehen, Iran.

Email: koupaei@riau.ac.ir

Journal of Algebraic Systems

# Some Results on $\phi$ –(k,n)–Closed Submodules

# M. H. MOSLEMI KOOPAEI

برخی نتایج در مورد زیر مدول های بسته ---(k, n)-محمد حسین مسلمی کوپایی

گروه ریاضی وآمار، دانشکده علوم پایه، دانشگاه آزاد اسلامی واحد رودهن، ایران

کلمات کلیدی: زیرمدول –  $\phi$  – (k, n) – (k, n) –  $\phi$  – نیم جاذب، ایده آل – (m, n) – (m, n) –  $\psi$  – نیم بانده آل –  $\psi$  – (m, n) –  $\psi$  – اول.