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#### Abstract

Let $G=(V(G), E(G))$ be a simple graph. A set $D$ of vertices $G$ is an identifying code of $G$, if for every two vertices $x$ and $y$ the sets $N_{G}[x] \cap D$ and $N_{G}[y] \cap D$ are non-empty and different. The minimum cardinality of an identifying code in graph $G$ is the identifying code number of $G$ and it is denoted by $\gamma^{I D}(G)$. Two vertices $x$ and $y$ are twin, when $N_{G}[x]=N_{G}[y]$. Graphs with at least two twin vertices are not identifiable graphs. In this paper, we deal with identifying code number of functigraph of $G$. Two upper bounds on identifying code number of functigraph are given. Also, we present some graph $G$ with identifying code number $|V(G)|-2$.


## 1. Introduction

All graphs throughout this paper considered simple, finite and undirected. The open neighborhood of a vertex $v \in V(G)$, denoted by $N_{G}(v)$, is the set of vertices adjacent to $v$ in $G$. If two vertices $x$ and $y$ are adjacent, then it denoted by $x \sim y$, otherwise, $x \nsim y$. The closed neighborhood of a vertex $v$ in graph $G$ is $N_{G}[v]=N_{G}(v) \cup\{v\}$. The degree of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)=\left|N_{G}(v)\right|$. We denote the maximum degree of $G$ with $\Delta(G)$ and its minimum degree with $\delta(G)$. A vertex is called universal if it is adjacent to all of the vertices of graph.

[^0]The complement of graph $G$ is denoted by $\bar{G}$ and defineded as a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ which $e \in E(\bar{G})$ if and only if $e \notin E(G)$. For any $S \subseteq V(G)$, the induced subgraph on $S$, denoted by $G[S]$.

Given two graphs $G_{1}=\left(V_{1}, E_{1}\right)$ and $G_{2}=\left(V_{2}, E_{2}\right)$, we define the union $G_{1} \cup G_{2}=\left(V_{1} \cup V_{2}, E_{1} \cup E_{2}\right)$.

Given two graphs $G_{1}=\left(V_{1}, E_{1}\right)$ and $G_{2}=\left(V_{2}, E_{2}\right)$, we define their join $G_{1} \bowtie G_{2}=\left(V_{1} \cup V_{2}, E_{1} \cup E_{2} \cup K\right)$, where

$$
K=\left\{u \sim v \mid u \in V_{1}, v \in V_{2}\right\} .
$$

Let $G$ be a graph with $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}, G^{\prime}$ be a copy of $G$ with $V\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\left\{v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ and $E\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\left\{v_{i}^{\prime} \sim v_{j}^{\prime} \mid v_{i} \sim v_{j}\right\}$, where $v_{i}^{\prime} \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ is corresponding to $v_{i} \in V(G)$. Then a functigraph $G$ with function $\sigma: V(G) \rightarrow V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, ( $\sigma$ is not necesserily bijective) is denoted by $C(G, \sigma)$, its vertices and edges are

$$
V(C(G, \sigma))=V(G) \cup V\left(G^{\prime}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(C(G, \sigma))= & E(G) \cup E\left(G^{\prime}\right) \cup \\
& \left\{v_{i} \sim v_{j}^{\prime} \mid v_{i} \in V(G), v_{j}^{\prime} \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right), \sigma\left(v_{i}\right)=v_{j}^{\prime}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively. For $v_{i}^{\prime} \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$,

$$
R_{v_{i}^{\prime}}=\sigma^{-1}\left(\left\{v_{i}^{\prime}\right\}\right)=\left\{v_{j} \in V(G) \mid \sigma\left(v_{j}\right)=v_{i}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

and for $\ell \in\{0,1,2, \cdots, n=|V(G)|\}$, we define

$$
B_{\ell}=\left\{v_{i}^{\prime} \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)| | R_{v_{i}^{\prime}} \mid=\ell\right\} .
$$

For simplicity, the open neighborhood of $x$ in $C(G, \sigma)$ is denoted by $N_{C}(x)$.

A set of vertices $G$ such as $D$ is a dominating set of graph $G$ if for every vertex $x$ of $V(G)$, is either in $D$ or is adjacent to a vertex in $D$. It is clear that every isolated vertex is in every dominating set of $G$. Also a set $D$ is called a separating set of $G$ if for each pair $u, v$ of vertices of $G, N_{G}[u] \cap D \neq N_{G}[v] \cap D$ (equivalently, $\left(N_{G}[u] \triangle N_{G}[v]\right) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ ). If a dominating set $D$ in graph $G$ is a separating set of $G$, then we say that $D$ is an identifying code of graph $G$ and if $G$ has an identifying code, then we say that $G$ is an identifiable graph. Given a graph $G$, the smallest size of an identifying code of $G$ is called identifying code number of $G$ and denoted by $\gamma^{I D}(G)$. A vertex $x$ is a twin of another vertex $y$ if $N_{G}[x]=N_{G}[y]$. A graph $G$ is called twin free if no vertex has a twin. The first observation regarding the concept of identifying codes is that a graph is identifiable if and only if it is twin free [2].

Karpovsky et al [9] have shown that for every identifiable graph $G$ of order $n, \gamma^{I D}(G) \geq\left\lceil\log _{2}(n+1)\right\rceil$. Also, they proved that

$$
\gamma^{I D}(G) \geq \frac{2 n}{\Delta(G)+2}
$$

For every identifiable graph $G$ of order $n$ with at least one edge, there exists a famous bound as $\gamma^{I D}(G) \leq n-1$ (see [3]). In 2012, Foucaud et al [4], had a conjecture that for every connected identifiable graph $G$, there exist a constant $c$ such that $\gamma^{I D}(G) \geq n-\frac{n}{\Delta(G)}+c$. It is noteworthy that in 2006 Gravier et al [6] investigated the identifying code number of cycles. According to their theorems, this conjecture holds for graphs of maximum degree 2 .

Nowadays, identifying codes are an actively studied topic of its own like: the location of threats in facilities using sensors [12], error-detection schemes [9] and routing [10] in networks, terrorist network monitoring [13], as well as the structural analysis of RNA proteins [7]. For more details we refer reader to $[5,8,11]$.

This concept was studied in a large number of various papers, investigating particular graphs or families of graphs. This paper deals with the study of functigraph of some graphs. Section 2 , the identifying code number of of some special graphs are considered. Two upper bounds are presented. We prove that if $G$ is an identifiable graph and $\delta(G) \geq 1$, then for every function $\sigma: V(G) \rightarrow V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, graph $C(G, \sigma)$ is an identifiable graph and the upper bound $\gamma^{I D}(C(G, \sigma)) \leq n$ is achieved for $\sigma$ as a permutation. Also, we show that for every identifiable graph $G$ of order $n$, with $\delta(G) \geq 1, \gamma^{I D}(C(G, \sigma)) \leq 2 \gamma^{I D}(G)$, where $\sigma: V(G) \rightarrow V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ is a function and this bound is sharp. Section 3 , we introduce some graphs with identifying code number $|V(G)|-2$. Section 4, we discuss identifying code number of some graphs, which are not identifiable.

## 2. IDENTIFYING CODE NUMBER OF SOME GRAPHS WHICH ARE IDENTIFIABLE

In this section, the identifiability of functigraph, is investigated.
Lemma 2.1. Let $G$ be a graph. Then $\gamma^{I D}(G)=2$ if and only if $G \in\left\{\overline{K_{2}}, P_{3}\right\}$.

Proof. By $\gamma^{I D}(G) \geq\left\lceil\log _{2}(n+1)\right\rceil$, the proof is straightforward.
Lemma 2.2. If $\sigma: V\left(P_{3}\right) \rightarrow V\left(P_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ is a permutation, then

$$
\gamma^{I D}\left(C\left(P_{3}, \sigma\right)\right)=3
$$

Proof. For every permutation $\sigma: V\left(P_{3}\right) \rightarrow V\left(P_{3}^{\prime}\right), C\left(P_{3}, \sigma\right)$ is isomorphic to $H_{i}(i \in\{1,2,3,4\})$ (see Figure 1 ). In $H_{1}, D_{1}=\left\{v_{2}, v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{3}^{\prime}\right\}$ is an
identifying code of $C\left(P_{3}, \sigma\right)$. In $H_{2}, D_{2}=\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{1}^{\prime}\right\}$ is an identifying code of $C\left(P_{3}, \sigma\right)$. In $H_{3}$ and $H_{4}, D_{3}=\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$ and $D_{4}=\left\{v_{2}, v_{2}^{\prime}, v_{3}^{\prime}\right\}$ are identifying codes of $C\left(P_{3}, \sigma\right)$, respectively. So $\gamma^{I D}\left(C\left(P_{3}, \sigma\right)\right) \leq 3$. By Lemma 2.1, $\gamma^{I D}\left(C\left(P_{3}, \sigma\right)\right)=3$.


Figure 1

Lemma 2.3. Let $G$ be a graph and $D$ be an identifying code of $G$.

1) If $N_{G}(x)=N_{G}(y)$, then $x \in D$ or $y \in D$.
2) If $N_{G}[x] \triangle N_{G}[y]=\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}$, then $y_{1} \in D$ or $y_{2} \in D$.

Proof. Let $\{x, y\} \cap D=\emptyset$ or $\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}\right\} \cap D=\emptyset$. Then

$$
N_{G}[x] \cap D=N_{G}[y] \cap D,
$$

which is not true.
It is clear that if $x \in V(G)$ and $\sigma(x) \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ are isolated vertices, then $C(G, \sigma)$ is not an identifiable graph.

Theorem 2.4. Let $G$ be an identifiable graph of order $n$. If $\delta(G) \geq 1$, then for every function $\sigma: V(G) \rightarrow V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, graph $C(G, \sigma)$ is an identifiable graph. If $\sigma$ is a permutation, then $\gamma^{I D}(C(G, \sigma)) \leq n$. Furthermore, this bound is sharp.

Proof. For each pair $x, y$ of vertices of $C(G, \sigma)$, if $\{x, y\} \subseteq V(G)$, then since $G$ is an identifilable graph, so $N_{G}[x] \neq N_{G}[y]$. Hence, $N_{C}[x] \neq N_{C}[y]$. Similarly, if $\{x, y\} \subseteq V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, then $N_{C}[x] \neq N_{C}[y]$. Now, let $x \in V(G)$ and $y \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. If $\sigma(x) \neq y$, then $x$ is not adjacent to $y$ in $C(G, \sigma)$. Hence, $N_{C}[x] \neq N_{C}[y]$. If $\sigma(x)=y$, then since $G$ does not have any isolated vertex, so there exist $z \in N_{C}[y]$ such that $z \notin N_{C}[x]$. So $N_{C}[x] \neq N_{C}[y]$. Therefore, $C(G, \sigma)$ is an identifiable graph.

Now, let $\sigma$ be a permutation and $D=V(G)$. For each pair $x, y$ of vertices of $C(G, \sigma)$, if $\{x, y\} \subseteq V(G)$, then $N_{C}[x] \cap D=N_{G}[x]$ and $N_{C}[y] \cap D=N_{G}[y]$. So $N_{C}[x] \cap D \neq N_{C}[y] \cap D$.

If $\{x, y\} \subseteq V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, then $N_{C}[x] \cap D=R_{x}$ and $N_{C}[y] \cap D=R_{y}$. Hence, $N_{C}[x] \cap D \neq N_{C}[y] \cap D$.

Finally, if $x \in V(G)$ and $y \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, then $N_{C}[x] \cap D=N_{G}[x]$ and $N_{C}[y] \cap D=R_{y}$. Since $\delta(G) \geq 1$ and $\sigma$ is a permutation, so $N_{C}[x] \cap D \neq N_{C}[y] \cap D$.

However, $N_{C}[x] \cap D \neq N_{C}[y] \cap D$. Hence, $V(G)$ is an identifying code $C(G, \sigma)$. Therefore, $\gamma^{I D}(C(G, \sigma)) \leq|V(G)|=n$. By Lemma 2.2, this bound is Sharp.
Corollary 2.5. Let $G \cong K_{1, n-1}, n \geq 3$ and $\sigma: V(G) \rightarrow V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ be a permutation such that $\sigma(a)=a^{\prime}$, where $a$ is the universal vertex of $G$ and $a^{\prime} \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ is corresponding to $a$. Then $\gamma^{I D}(C(G, \sigma))=n$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.4, $C(G, \sigma)$ is an identifiable graph and $\gamma^{I D}(C(G, \sigma)) \leq n$.
Now, let $\gamma^{I D}(C(G, \sigma)) \leq n-1$ and $D$ be an identifying code of $C(G, \sigma)$, where $\gamma^{I D}(C(G, \sigma))=|D|$. Since for each $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, we have $N_{C}\left[v_{1}\right]=\left\{a, v_{1}, \sigma\left(v_{1}\right)\right\}$ and $N_{C}\left[v_{i}\right]=\left\{a, v_{i}, \sigma\left(v_{i}\right)\right\}$, so

$$
\left|\left\{v_{1}, v_{i}, \sigma\left(v_{1}\right), \sigma\left(v_{i}\right)\right\} \cap D\right| \geq 1
$$

Hence, there is $A \subseteq V(X) \cup V\left(X^{\prime}\right)$, such that $|A| \geq n-2$ and $A \subseteq D$, where $X=V(G) \backslash\{a\}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right\}$. Since

$$
N_{C}\left[v_{1}\right] \triangle N_{C}\left[\sigma\left(v_{1}\right)\right]=\left\{a, a^{\prime}\right\},
$$

by Lemma 2.3, (2), $a \in D$ or $a^{\prime} \in D$. So $|D| \geq n-1$. Thus $|D|=n-1$. There is no loss of generality in assuming that $a \in D$ and $a^{\prime} \notin D$. Hence, there exists some $v_{i} \in V(G)$, such that $\sigma\left(v_{i}\right)$ is not dominated by $D$. It is a contradiction.

Theorem 2.6. Let $G$ be an identifiable graph of order $n$, with $\delta(G) \geq 1$ and $\sigma: V(G) \rightarrow V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ be a function. Then $\gamma^{I D}(C(G, \sigma)) \leq 2 \gamma^{I D}(G)$. Furthermore, this bound is sharp.
Proof. By Theorem 2.4, $C(G, \sigma)$ is an identifiable graph. Let $D_{1}$ be an identifying code of $G$ such that $\gamma^{I D}(G)=\left|D_{1}\right|$ and $D_{1}^{\prime} \subseteq V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ be corresponding to $D_{1}$. Let $X=\left\{v \in D_{1} \mid N_{G}(v) \cap D_{1}=\{v\}\right\}$ and $X^{\prime} \subseteq V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ be the corresponding to $X$. Also, let

$$
Y^{\prime}=\left\{v^{\prime} \in X^{\prime} \mid R_{v^{\prime}} \cap D_{1}=\{x\} \subseteq X\right\}
$$

If $Y^{\prime}=\emptyset$, then $D=D_{1} \cup D_{1}^{\prime}$ is an identifying code of $C(G, \sigma)$ and so $\gamma^{I D}(C(G, \sigma)) \leq 2 \gamma^{I D}(G)$.

So suppose that $Y^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ and $Y^{\prime}=\left\{v_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, v_{t}^{\prime}\right\}$. Since $\delta(G) \geq 1$, for $1 \leq i \leq t, N_{G^{\prime}}\left(v_{i}^{\prime}\right) \neq \emptyset$, we set $Y_{1}^{\prime}=\left\{u_{i 1}^{\prime} \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right) \mid u_{i 1}^{\prime} \in N_{G^{\prime}}\left(v_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$. Then $D=D_{1} \cup Y_{1}^{\prime} \cup D_{1}^{\prime} \backslash \sigma\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$ is an identifying code of $C(G, \sigma)$. Thus $\gamma^{I D}(C(G, \sigma)) \leq|D|=\gamma^{I D}(G)+t+\gamma^{I D}(G)-t=2 \gamma^{I D}(G)$.
It is clear that $\gamma^{I D}\left(P_{3}\right)=2$. Let $\sigma: V\left(P_{3}\right) \rightarrow V\left(P_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ be a function, such that $\sigma(a)=\sigma(b)=\sigma(c)=b^{\prime}$, where $\operatorname{deg}_{P_{3}}(b)=2$. Then $\gamma^{I D}\left(C\left(P_{3}, \sigma\right)\right)=4$. This show that this bound is sharp.
Theorem 2.7. Let $G$ be a graph with $\delta(G) \geq 1$ such that $G$ is not an identifiable graph and $\sigma: V(G) \rightarrow V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ be a function. Then $C(G, \sigma)$ is an identifiable graph if and only if two following conditions are hold.

1) If $N_{G}[x]=N_{G}[y]$, then $\sigma(x) \neq \sigma(y)$.
2) If $N_{G^{\prime}}[x]=N_{G^{\prime}}[y]$, then $x \notin B_{0}$ or $y \notin B_{0}$.

Proof. Let conditions (1) and (2) are holding and $x$ and $y$ be two vertices of $C(G, \sigma)$. Let $\{x, y\} \subseteq V(G)$. If $N_{G}[x]=N_{G}[y]$, then $\sigma(x) \neq \sigma(y)$. So $\sigma(x) \in N_{C}[x]$ and $\sigma(x) \notin N_{C}[y]$. If $N_{G}[x] \neq N_{G}[y]$, then $N_{C}[x] \neq N_{C}[y]$. Suppose that $\{x, y\} \subseteq V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. If $N_{G^{\prime}}[x] \neq N_{G^{\prime}}[y]$, then $N_{C}[x] \neq N_{C}[y]$. If $N_{G^{\prime}}[x]=N_{G^{\prime}}[y]$ and $x \notin B_{0}$, then there exists $z \in V(G)$ such that $\sigma(z)=x$. So $z \in N_{C}[x]$ and $z \notin N_{C}[y]$. Now, assume that $x \in V(G), y \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ and $N_{C}[x]=N_{C}[y]$. Then $\sigma(x)=y$ and $y$ is an isolated vertex in $G^{\prime}$, which is contradiction with this fact that $\delta(G) \geq 1$.

Conversely, let $C(G, \sigma)$ be an identifiable graph. If $N_{G}[x]=N_{G}[y]$ and $\sigma(x)=\sigma(y)$. Then $N_{C}[x]=N_{G}[x] \cup\{\sigma(x)\}$ and

$$
N_{C}[y]=N_{G}[y] \cup\{\sigma(y)\} .
$$

Hence, $N_{C}[x]=N_{C}[y]$. Which is not true. If $N_{G^{\prime}}[x]=N_{G^{\prime}}[y]$ and $\{x, y\} \subseteq B_{0}$, then $N_{C}[x]=N_{G^{\prime}}[x]$ and $N_{C}[y]=N_{G^{\prime}}[y]$. Which is a contradiction.

Let us mention two consequences of the Theorem 2.7.
Corollary 2.8. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ with $\delta(G) \geq 1$. If $G$ is not an identifiable graph, then for every permutation $\sigma: V(G) \rightarrow V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, $C(G, \sigma)$ is an identifiable graph.
Proof. By Theorem 2.7, the proof is straightforward.
Corollary 2.9. Let $G \cong K_{n}$ and $n \geq 2$. Then $C(G, \sigma)$ is an identifiable graph if and only if $\sigma: V(G) \rightarrow V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ be a permutation.
Proof. If $\sigma$ is a permutation, then by Corollary $2.8, C(G, \sigma)$ is an identifiable graph.

Conversely, let $C(G, \sigma)$ be an identifiable graph. On the contrary, let $\sigma$ not be a permutation. Then $B_{0} \neq \emptyset$. If $\{x, y\} \subseteq B_{0}$, then

$$
N_{C}[x]=N_{C}[y]=V\left(K_{n}\right) .
$$

Which is contradiction. If $\left|B_{0}\right|=1$, then $\left|B_{2}\right|=1$. Let $y \in B_{2}$ and $\sigma(t)=\sigma(z)=y$. Then $N_{C}[t]=V(G) \cup\{y\}=N_{C}[z]$. So $C(G, \sigma)$ is not an identifiable graph. That is not true.
3. Graphs $G=(V(G), E(G))$ with identifying Code number

$$
|V(G)|-2
$$

Foucaud et al.[3], in 2011 classified all graphs with identifying code number $|V(G)|-1$. In this section, we intruduce some graphs with identifying code number $|V(G)|-2$.
For an integer $k \geq 1$, let $A_{k}=\left(V_{k}, E_{k}\right)$ be the graph with vertex set $V_{k}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 k}\right\}$ and edge set $E_{k}=\left\{x_{i} \sim x_{j}| | i-j \mid \leq k-1\right\}$. Also, let $\mathscr{A}$ be the closure of $\left\{A_{i} \mid i=1,2, \cdots\right\}$ with respect to operation $\bowtie$. In the next theorem, Foucaud et al. showed that for any twin free graph $G \notin\left\{K_{1, n-1}\right\} \cup(\mathscr{A}, \bowtie) \cup(\mathscr{A}, \bowtie) \bowtie K_{1}, \gamma^{I D}(G) \leq|V(G)|-2$.

Theorem 3.1. [3] Let $G$ be an identifiable graph of order $n$. Then $\gamma^{I D}(G)=|V(G)|-1$ if and only if $G \not \approx \overline{K_{2}}$ and

$$
G \in\left\{K_{1, n-1}\right\} \cup(A, \bowtie) \cup(A, \bowtie) \bowtie K_{1} .
$$

Theorem 3.2. Let $G \cong K_{m, n}, m, n \geq 2$ and $G \nsubseteq C_{4}$. Then

$$
\gamma^{I D}(G)=|V(G)|-2 .
$$

Proof. Let the bipartition of $K_{m, n}$ be $X$ and $Y$ with $|X|=n$ and $|Y|=m$. Also, let $D$ be an identifying code of $K_{m, n}$. By Lemma 2.3, (1), we have $|X \cap D| \geq n-1$ and $|Y \cap D| \geq m-1$. So $|D| \geq m+n-2$. By Theorem 3.1, $\gamma^{I D}(G)=m+n-2$.

Observation 3.3. If $\sigma: V\left(K_{2}\right) \rightarrow V\left(K_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ is a permutation, then $\gamma^{I D}\left(C\left(K_{2}, \sigma\right)\right)=3$.

Proof. It is clear that $C\left(K_{2}, \sigma\right) \cong C_{4}$. Since $\gamma^{I D}\left(C_{4}\right)=3$, so $\gamma^{I D}\left(C\left(K_{2}, \sigma\right)\right)=3$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $G \cong K_{n}, n \geq 3$ and $\sigma: V(G) \rightarrow V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ be a permutation. Then $\gamma^{I D}(C(G, \sigma))=|V(C(G, \sigma))|-2$.

Proof. By Corollary 2.9, $C(G, \sigma)$ is an identifiable graph. Let

$$
X=V(G) \backslash\left\{v_{1}\right\} \cup V\left(G^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left\{\sigma\left(v_{1}\right)\right\} .
$$

Then for $2 \leq i \leq n$, we have $N_{C}\left[v_{i}\right] \cap X=V(G) \backslash\left\{v_{1}\right\} \cup\left\{\sigma\left(v_{i}\right)\right\}$, $N_{C}\left[v_{1}\right] \cap X=V(G) \backslash\left\{v_{1}\right\}$. If $v_{i}^{\prime} \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ and $v_{i}^{\prime} \neq \sigma\left(v_{1}\right)$, then

$$
N_{C}\left[v_{i}^{\prime}\right] \cap X=V\left(G^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left\{\sigma\left(v_{1}\right)\right\} \cup \sigma^{-1}\left(v_{i}^{\prime}\right)
$$

and $N_{C}\left[\sigma\left(v_{1}\right)\right] \cap X=V\left(G^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left\{\sigma\left(v_{1}\right)\right\}$. So for each pair $x, y$ in $C(G, \sigma)$, we have $N_{C}[x] \cap X \neq N_{C}[y] \cap X$. Hence, $X$ is an identifying code of $C(G, \sigma)$ and so $\gamma^{I D}(C(G, \sigma)) \leq|X|=2 n-2$.

Now, let $D$ be an identifying code of graph $C(G, \sigma)$ and $\gamma^{I D}(C(G, \sigma))=|D|$. Since $N_{C}\left[v_{1}\right] \triangle N_{C}\left[v_{2}\right]=\left\{\sigma\left(v_{1}\right), \sigma\left(v_{2}\right)\right\}$, so by Lemma 2.3, (2), we have $\sigma\left(v_{1}\right) \in D$ or $\sigma\left(v_{2}\right) \in D$. Let $\sigma\left(v_{1}\right) \notin D$. Then $\sigma\left(v_{2}\right) \in D$. Now, let $3 \leq i \leq n$. Since $N_{C}\left[v_{1}\right] \triangle N_{C}\left[v_{i}\right]=\left\{\sigma\left(v_{1}\right), \sigma\left(v_{i}\right)\right\}$, by Lemma 2.3, (2), $\sigma\left(v_{i}\right) \in D$. So there is $A \subseteq V(G)$, such that $A \subseteq D$ and $|A| \geq n-1$. Similarly, There is $A^{\prime} \subseteq V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, such that $A^{\prime} \subseteq D$ and $\left|A^{\prime}\right| \geq n-1$. Hence, $|D| \geq 2 n-2$. Therefore, $\gamma^{I D}(C(G, \sigma))=2 n-2$.

Following Ashrafi et. al [1], a link of graphs $G$ and $H$ by vertices $y \in V(G)$ and $z \in V(H)$ is defined as the graph $(G \sim H)(y, z)$ obtained by joining $y$ and $z$ by an edge in the union of these graphs.

Theorem 3.5. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a family of graphs of order $n$, with identifying code number $n-1$. Also, let $G \in \mathcal{B}, u \in V(G)$ and $v \in V\left(K_{1}\right)$, such that $\left(G \sim K_{1}\right)(u, v) \notin \mathcal{B}$. Then $\gamma^{I D}\left(\left(G \sim K_{1}\right)(u, v)\right)=n-1$.
Proof. Since $\left(G \sim K_{1}\right)(u, v) \notin \mathcal{B}$, so

$$
\gamma^{I D}\left(\left(G \sim K_{1}\right)(u, v)\right) \leq\left|\left(G \sim K_{1}\right)(u, v)\right|-2=n+1-2=n-1 .
$$

Let $D$ be an identifying code of $\left(G \sim K_{1}\right)(u, v)$ and

$$
\gamma^{I D}\left(\left(G \sim K_{1}\right)(u, v)\right)=|D| .
$$

Then $|D| \leq n-1$. If $v \notin D$, then $D$ is an identifying code of $G$. Hence, $\gamma^{I D}(G) \leq|D|$. Thus $n-1 \leq|D|$ and so $|D|=n-1$. Now, let $v \in D$. Then there exists some $x \in V(G)$, such that $x \in N_{G}(u) \cap D$. Since $G$ is an identifiable graph, so there exists $z \in V(G)$, such that $z \sim x$ and $z \nsim u$ or $z \sim u$ and $z \nsim x$. It is easy to see that $D \backslash\{v\} \cup\{z\}=D_{1}$ is an identifying code of $G$. So $\left|D_{1}\right| \geq n-1$. Hence, $|D| \geq n-1$ and so $|D|=n-1$. Therefore,

$$
\left.\gamma^{I D}\left(\left(G \sim K_{1}\right)(u, v)\right)=\mid V\left(G \sim K_{1}\right)(u, v)\right) \mid-2
$$

Theorem 3.6. Let $G \cong\left(K_{1, r} \sim K_{1, s}\right)(a, b)$, where $a$ and $b$ be the universal vertices of $K_{1, r}$ and $K_{1, s}$, respectively. Then $\gamma^{I D}(G)=|V(G)|-2$.
Proof. Let $V\left(K_{1, r}\right)=\left\{a, v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots, v_{r}\right\}$ and

$$
V\left(K_{1, s}\right)=\left\{b, u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{s}\right\}
$$

such that $a$ and $b$ be the universal vertices of $K_{1, r}$ and $K_{1, s}$, respectively. Then $D_{1}=V\left(K_{1, r}\right) \backslash\{a\} \cup V\left(K_{1, s}\right) \backslash\{b\}$ is an identifying code of $G$. So $\gamma^{I D}(G) \leq\left|D_{1}\right|=s+r$.

Now, let $D$ be an identifying code of $G$, where $\gamma^{I D}(G)=|D|$. For each $1 \leq i \leq r$, we have $N_{G}\left[v_{1}\right] \triangle N_{G}\left[v_{i}\right]=\left\{v_{1}, v_{i}\right\}$, by Lemma 2.3, (2), $v_{1} \in D$ or $v_{i} \in D$. Hence, there is $A \subseteq V\left(K_{1, r}\right) \backslash\{a\}$, such that $|A \cap D| \geq r-1$. Similarly, there is $F \subseteq V\left(K_{1, s}\right) \backslash\{b\}$, such that $|F \cap D| \geq s-1$. So $|D| \geq r+s-2$. Since $D$ is a dominating set of $G$, so $|A|=r$ or $|A|=r-1$ and $a \in D$. Similarly, $|F|=s$ or $|F|=s-1$ and $b \in D$. However, $|D| \geq s+r$. Therefore, $\gamma^{I D}(G)=s+r$.
4. Identifying code number of $C(G, \sigma)$, where $G$ is not an IDENTIFIABLE GRAPH

In this section, we consider the identifying code number of $C(G, \sigma)$, where $\sigma: V(G) \rightarrow V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ is a function and $G$ is not an identifiable graph.

Theorem 4.1. Let $H$ be an empty graph of order s and $G \cong H \bowtie K_{r}$, where $(s, r) \notin\{(0,2),(1,1)\}$. Also, let $\sigma: V(G) \rightarrow V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ be a permutation, such that $\sigma(V(H))=V\left(H^{\prime}\right)$. Then

$$
\gamma^{I D}\left(C\left(\left(H \bowtie K_{r}\right), \sigma\right)\right)= \begin{cases}2 r-2, & s=0 \\ 2 r, & s=1 \\ s+1, & r=1 \\ 2 r+s-3, & o . w .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. By Corollary 2.8, $C(G, \sigma)$ is an identifiable graph. If $s \in\{0,1\}$, then by Theorem 3.4, the proof is straightforward. If $r=1$, then by Theorem 2.5, $\gamma^{I D}(C(G, \sigma))=s+1$.

Let $r, s \geq 2, V(H)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots, v_{s}\right\}$ and $V\left(K_{r}\right)=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{r}\right\}$. Then $D_{1}=V\left(K_{r}\right) \backslash\left\{u_{1}\right\} \cup V\left(K_{r}^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left\{\sigma\left(u_{1}\right)\right\} \cup\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots, v_{s-1}\right\}$ is an identifying code of $C(G, \sigma)$. So $\gamma^{I D}(C(G, \sigma)) \leq 2 r+s-3$.

Now, let $D$ be an identifying code of $C(G, \sigma)$ and

$$
\gamma^{I D}(C(G, \sigma))=|D|
$$

For every $i, j \in\{1, \cdots, r\}$, we have $N_{C}\left[u_{i}\right] \triangle N_{C}\left[u_{j}\right]=\left\{\sigma\left(u_{i}\right), \sigma\left(u_{j}\right)\right\}$. By Lemma 2.3, (2), $\sigma\left(u_{i}\right) \in D$ or $\sigma\left(u_{j}\right) \in D$. So there is $A^{\prime} \subseteq V\left(K_{r}^{\prime}\right)$, such that $\left|A^{\prime}\right| \geq r-1$ and $A^{\prime} \subseteq D$. Similarly, there is $A \subseteq V\left(K_{r}\right)$, such that $|A| \geq r-1$ and $A \subseteq D$. Hence, $|D| \geq 2 r-2$.

Now, let $|D| \leq 2 r+s-4$ and $F \subseteq\left(V(H) \cup V\left(H^{\prime}\right)\right) \cap D$. Then $|F| \leq s-2$. Let $|F \cap V(H)|=\ell \leq s-2$ and $\{x, y\} \subseteq V(H) \backslash F$. Since $N_{C}[x] \triangle N_{C}[y]=\{\sigma(x), \sigma(y)\}$, by Lemma 2.3, (2), $\sigma(x) \in D$ or $\sigma(y) \in D$. Thus there is $X \subseteq V\left(H^{\prime}\right)$, such that $|X| \geq(s-\ell)-1$ and $X \subseteq D$. Hence, $|F| \geq \ell+s-\ell-1=s-1$, which is not true. So $|D| \geq 2 r+s-3$. Therefore, $\gamma^{I D}(C(G, \sigma))=2 r+s-3$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ and $a$ be an universal vertex of $G$. Also, let $G \backslash\{a\}=\overline{K_{s}} \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} K_{n_{i}}, r \geq 2,2 \leq n_{1} \leq n_{2} \leq \cdots \leq n_{r}$ and

$$
\sigma: V(G) \rightarrow V\left(G^{\prime}\right)
$$

be a permutation, such that $\sigma\left(V\left(K_{n_{i}}\right)\right)=V\left(K_{n_{i}}^{\prime}\right)$, for each $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $\sigma(a)=a^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\gamma^{I D}(C(G, \sigma))=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
2 n-2 r-1, & s=0, n_{1}=2 \\
2 n-2 r-2, & s=0, n_{1} \geq 3 \\
2 n-2 r-s-1, & s \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. By Corollary 2.8, $C(G, \sigma)$ is an identifiable graph. Let

$$
V\left(K_{n_{i}}\right)=\left\{v_{i 1}, v_{i 2}, \cdots, v_{i n_{i}}\right\}
$$

and $V(G)=V\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} K_{n_{i}}\right) \cup\left\{v_{j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq s\right\} \cup\{a\}$.
Let $s=0, n_{1}=2$ and

$$
X_{1}=V(G) \backslash\left\{v_{i 1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq r\right\} \cup V\left(G^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left\{\sigma\left(v_{i 1}\right), a^{\prime} \mid 1 \leq i \leq r\right\}
$$

Then $X_{1}$ is an identifying code of $C(G, \sigma)$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{I D}(C(G, \sigma)) \leq\left|X_{1}\right|=2 n-2 r-1 . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $s=0, n_{1} \geq 3$ and

$$
X_{2}=V(G) \backslash\left\{a, v_{i 1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq r\right\} \cup V\left(G^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left\{a^{\prime}, \sigma\left(v_{i 1}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq r\right\}
$$

Then $X_{2}$ is an identifying code of $C(G, \sigma)$ and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{I D}(C(G, \sigma)) \leq\left|X_{2}\right|=2 n-2 r-2 . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, let $s \geq 1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{3}=V(G) \backslash\left\{v_{i 1}, v_{s} \mid 1 \leq i \leq r\right\} \\
& \quad \cup V\left(G^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left(\left\{\sigma\left(v_{i 1}\right), v_{j}^{\prime} \mid 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s\right\}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $X_{3}$ is an identifying code of $C(G, \sigma)$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{I D}(C(G, \sigma)) \leq\left|X_{3}\right|=2 n-2 r-s-1 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let $D$ be an identifying code of $C(G, \sigma)$ with

$$
\gamma^{I D}(C(G, \sigma))=|D|
$$

Since $N_{C}\left[v_{i 1}\right] \triangle N_{C}\left[v_{i j}\right]=\left\{\sigma\left(v_{i 1}\right), \sigma\left(v_{i j}\right)\right\}$, so by Lemma 2.3, (2), $\sigma\left(v_{i 1}\right) \in D$ or $\sigma\left(v_{i j}\right) \in D$. Thus there is $A^{\prime} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} V^{\prime}\left(K_{n_{i}}\right)$, such that $\left|A^{\prime} \cap D\right| \geq \sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(n_{i}-1\right)$. Also, we have

$$
N_{C}\left[\sigma\left(v_{i 1}\right)\right] \triangle N_{C}\left[\sigma\left(v_{i j}\right)\right]=\left\{v_{i 1}, v_{i j}\right\},
$$

so by Lemma 2.3, (2), we have $v_{i 1} \in D$ and $v_{i j} \in D$. So there is $A \subseteq V\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} K_{n_{i}}\right)$, such that $|A \cap D| \geq \sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(n_{i}-1\right)$. Thus

$$
|D| \geq 2\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(n_{i}-1\right)\right)=2 \sum_{i=1}^{r} n_{i}-2 r
$$

Case 1: Let $s=0, n_{1}=2$ and $\left\{v_{11}, \sigma\left(v_{11}\right)\right\} \cap D=\emptyset$. If

$$
|D|=2 \sum_{i=1}^{r} n_{i}-2 r
$$

then $D \cap\left\{a, a^{\prime}\right\}=\emptyset$ and so $N_{C}\left[v_{12}\right] \cap D=N_{C}\left[\sigma\left(v_{12}\right)\right] \cap D$, which is not true. So $D \cap\left\{a, a^{\prime}\right\} \neq \emptyset$. Hence, $|D| \geq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{r} n_{i}-2 r+1$. By (1), $\gamma^{I D}(C(G, \sigma))=2 n-2 r-1$.
Case 2: Let $s=0$ and $n_{1} \geq 3$. We have $|D| \geq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{r} n_{i}-2 r$. By (2), $\gamma^{I D}(C(G, \sigma))=2 n-2 r-2$.
Case 3: Let $s \geq 1$. For $1 \leq i \leq s$, we have $N_{C}\left[v_{1}\right]=\left\{a, v_{1}, \sigma\left(v_{1}\right)\right\}$ and $N_{C}\left[v_{i}\right]=\left\{a, v_{i}, \sigma\left(v_{i}\right)\right\}$. So $\left|\left\{v_{1}, v_{i}, \sigma\left(v_{1}\right), \sigma\left(v_{i}\right)\right\} \cap D\right| \geq 1$. Thus there is $F \subseteq\left\{v_{i}, \sigma\left(v_{i}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\right\}$, such that $|F \cap D| \geq s-1$. Hence $|D| \geq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{r} n_{i}-2 r+s-1=2 n-2 r-s-3$. Now, if $|D|=2 n-2 r-s-3$, then $\left\{a, a^{\prime}\right\} \cap D=\emptyset$. It is clear that $N_{C}\left[v_{i}\right] \cap D=N_{C}\left[\sigma\left(v_{i}\right)\right] \cap D$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $D \cap\left\{a, a^{\prime}\right\} \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left|D \cap\left\{a, a^{\prime}\right\}\right|=1$. Then $|D| \geq 2 n-2 r-s-2$. If $|D|=2 n-2 r-s-2$ and $a \in D$, then $a^{\prime} \notin D$ (or if $a^{\prime} \in D$, then $a \notin D$ ). Thus there is an $x$ in $\left\{v_{i}^{\prime} \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\right\}$ such that $x$ is not dominated by $D$. It is impossible. Hence, $\left\{a, a^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq D$ and so $|D| \geq 2 n-2 r-s-1$. By (3), we have $\gamma^{I D}(C(G, \sigma))=2 n-2 r-s-1$.

Corollary 4.3. Let $G \cong K_{3}^{r}$ be a graph, $r \geq 2$ and $\sigma: V(G) \rightarrow V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ be a permutation. Then $\gamma^{I D}(C(G, \sigma))=2 r+1$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.2, the proof is straigtforward.
Conjecture 4.4. [4] There exists a constant $c$ such that for any nontrivial connected twin-free graph $G$ of maximum degree $\Delta(G)$,

$$
\gamma^{I D}(G) \leq n-\frac{n}{\Delta(G)}+c
$$

Note: The conjecture 4.4, holds for graphs which are presented in Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 with $c=0$.
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## THE IDENTIFYING CODE NUMBER AND FUNCTIGRAPHS

A. SHAMINEZHD AND E. VATANDOOST

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { عدد كد شناساگر و گرافهاى تابعى } \\
& \text { آتنا شامىنزاد’ و ابراهيم وطندوست「 }
\end{aligned}
$$

ז,اگروه رياضى محض، دانشكده علوم پايه، دانشگاه بين المللى امام خمينى (ره)، قزوين، ايران
فرض كنيد (G)
 متمايز باشند. تعداد اعضاى يك كد شناساگر گراف $G$ و با كمترين عضو، عدد كد شناساگر $G$ ناميده شده و با نماد ( و


 شناساگر ץ - | $\mid$ | $\mid$ مى باشند را ا ارائه مىكنيم.

كلمات كليدى: كد شناساگر، گراف كدپذير، گراف تابعى.
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