

UNIFORMLY N-IDEALS OF COMMUTATIVE RINGS

M. BAZIAR*, A. JAFARI AND E. YETKIN CELIKEL

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the concept of uniformly n -ideal of commutative rings which is a special type of n -ideal. We call a proper ideal I of R a uniformly n -ideal if there exists a positive integer k for $a, b \in R$ whenever $ab \in I$ and $a \notin I$ implies that $b^k = 0$. The basic properties of uniformly n -ideals are investigated in detail. Moreover, some characterizations of uniformly n -ideals are obtained for some special rings.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, R denotes a commutative ring with $1 \neq 0$. The radical of R is given by $\sqrt{I} = \{a \in R : a^n \in I \text{ for some positive integer } n\}$. In particular, the nilradical of R is denoted by $\sqrt{0}$ which is the set of all nilpotent elements. Let A be a nonempty subset of a ring R . By $(I : A)$, we mean the ideal $\{r \in R : rA \subseteq I\}$ containing I . Since prime ideals appear in many ring theoretical situations, many authors generalize this concept, see [1] and [5]. It is well-known that a proper ideal I of R is called *primary* if $a, b \in R$ and $ab \in I$, then $a \in I$ or $b \in \sqrt{I}$. In [3], a proper ideal I of R is called *2-absorbing primary* if $a, b, c \in R$ with $abc \in I$, then either $ab \in I$ or $bc \in \sqrt{I}$ or $ac \in \sqrt{I}$. Recall that from [4] a proper ideal I of R is said to be *uniformly primary*, if there exists a positive integer n such that whenever $r, s \in R$ satisfying $rs \in I$ and $r \notin I$, then $s^n \in I$. We say that a uniformly primary ideal I has order N and write $ord(I) = N$,

DOI: 10.22044/JAS.2022.12319.1658.

MSC(2010): Primary: 13A15, 13F05; Secondary: 05A15, 13G05.

Keywords: Uniformly primary ideal; n -ideal; Uniformly n -ideal.

Received: 4 October 2022, Accepted: 29 October 2022.

*Corresponding author.

if N is the smallest positive integer for which the property holds. In 2015, as a generalization of uniformly primary ideals, the concept of uniformly 2-absorbing primary ideals is introduced in [8]: A proper ideal I of R is a *uniformly 2-absorbing primary* ideal if there exists a positive integer n such that whenever $a, b, c \in R$ satisfy $abc \in I$, $ab \notin I$ and $ac \notin \sqrt{I}$, then $(bc)^n \in I$. They call that N is order of I if N is the smallest positive integer for which the above property holds and it is denoted by $2 - ord(I) = N$. A different from these concepts, the concept of n -ideals is introduced and studied in [10]. They call a proper ideal I of R an *n -ideal* if whenever $a, b \in R$ with $ab \in I$ and $a \notin I$, then $b \in \sqrt{I}$. Observe that prime ideals needs not to be n -ideals; for instance $I = 2\mathbb{Z}$ of \mathbb{Z} is not n -ideal as $1 \cdot 2 \in I$ but neither $1 \in I$ nor $2 \in \sqrt{I}$.

A ring R is said to be reduced if there is no nonzero nilpotent element; i.e. $\sqrt{0} = 0$. By $J(R)$, we denote the intersection of all maximal ideals of R . In this paper, the special type of n -ideals in commutative rings, namely uniformly n -ideals are introduced. In section 2, the basic algebraic properties of uniformly n -ideals are studied and among many results the characterization of uniformly n -ideals is given in Theorem 2.11. Also, Theorem 2.13 and Corollary 2.14 give another characterizations for uniformly n -ideals in terms of some ideals of a ring. It is shown in Theorem 2.12 that if $\sqrt{0}$ is nilpotent in a ring, then the concepts of uniformly n -ideals and n -ideals are coincide. In Theorem 2.9, we establish the (Krull) dimension of R if every nonzero ideal of R is a uniformly n -ideal. It is shown that if R is not a reduced ring whose every nonzero ideal is a uniformly n -ideal, then R is a local ring (see Theorem 2.10). In section 3, we determine under which condition a noetherian ring has a uniformly n -ideal (see Theorem 3.5).

2. UNIFORMLY N-IDEALS

In this section, we study the basic properties of uniformly n -ideals and give some characterizations of them.

Definition 2.1. Let R be a ring and I be a proper ideal of R . We call I a uniformly n -ideal if there exists a positive integer n such that whenever $a, b \in R$ with $ab \in I$ and $a \notin I$, then $b^n = 0$. The smallest integer N which satisfies this property is called the order of I , and is denoted by $ord(I) = N$.

The following diagram shows the relations among n -ideal, primary ideal, uniformly primary ideal, uniformly n -ideal, uniformly 2-absorbing

primary ideal and 2-absorbing primary ideal. Note that the converse of these implications does not hold in general.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{uniformly } n\text{-ideal} & \implies & n\text{-ideal} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{uniformly primary} & \implies & \text{primary} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{uniformly 2-absorbing primary} & \implies & \text{2-absorbing primary}
 \end{array}$$

- Example 2.2.** (1) A reduced ring R which is not an integral domain has no uniformly n -ideal. For instance, in $R = \mathbb{Z}_6$ (see Proposition 3.6): every nonzero proper ideal of \mathbb{Z}_6 is prime. The zero ideal of \mathbb{Z}_6 is a uniformly 2-absorbing primary ideal. Indeed, since 0 is a 2-absorbing ideal of \mathbb{Z}_6 , by [8, Remark 2.9] it is a uniformly 2-absorbing primary ideal of \mathbb{Z}_6 .
- (2) Consider the ring \mathbb{Z}_8 . Then $I = \langle 4 \rangle$ is a uniformly n -ideal of order 3, but it is not prime as $2 \cdot 2 \in I$ but $2 \notin I$.
- (3) Let K be a field and

$$X = \{X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots\}$$

a set of indeterminates over K . Consider the ring

$$R = K[X]/(\{X_i^i\}_{i=1}^\infty).$$

Then the ideal $I = (\{X_1 X_i\}_{i=2}^\infty)R$ of R is clearly an n -ideal. However, since for each $k \geq 1$, $X_1 X_{k+1} \in I$ but neither $X_1 \in I$ nor $X_{k+1}^k = 0$, it is not a uniformly n -ideal.

Proposition 2.3. *Let R be a ring.*

- (1) *If R is an integral domain, then $I = \{0\}$ is a uniformly n -ideal of order 1.*
- (2) *$\{0\}$ is a uniformly n -ideal of R if and only if $\{0\}$ is a uniformly primary ideal of R .*

Proof. Trivial. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let I be a proper ideal of R . The following statements hold.*

- (1) *If I is an n -ideal of R , then $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{0}$ is a prime ideal of R .*
- (2) *If I is a uniformly n -ideal, then $I \subseteq \sqrt{0}$.*

Proof. (1) Suppose that I is an n -ideal of R . Then $I \subseteq \sqrt{0}$ by [10, Proposition 2.3]. Thus $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{0}$ and we conclude from [10, Proposition 2.3] that $\sqrt{0}$ is prime.

(2) Suppose that I is a uniformly n -ideal. Then it is an n -ideal and the result follows from [10, Proposition 2.3]. □

We note that the converse of Lemma 2.4(2) is not true in general. For instance, let $R = \mathbb{Z}_6$. Then $I = \{0\} \subseteq \sqrt{0}$ and $2 \cdot 3 \in I$, $2 \notin I$, but there is no positive integer n such that $3^n = 0$.

Theorem 2.5. *Let R be a ring such that $J(R) = 0$. Then R has no nonzero uniformly n -ideal. In particular, if R is a semisimple ring, then R has no nonzero uniformly n -ideal.*

Proof. Suppose that I is a uniformly n -ideal. Then

$$I \subseteq \sqrt{0} \subseteq J(R) = 0.$$

The “in particular” case is clear as $J(R) = 0$ for every semisimple ring. \square

Proposition 2.6. *Let A be a nonempty subset of a ring R and I be a uniformly n -ideal of R . Then $(I : A)$ is a uniformly n -ideal of R with $\text{ord}((I : A)) \leq \text{ord}(I)$.*

Proof. Suppose that I is a uniformly n -ideal with $\text{ord}(I) = n$ and $bc \in (I : A)$ such that $b \notin (I : A)$. Then there exists $a \in A$ such that $ab \notin I$. Since $abc \in I$, $ab \notin I$ and $\text{ord}(I) = n$, we have $c^n = 0$. Thus $(I : A)$ is uniformly n -ideal with $\text{ord}((I : A)) \leq n$. \square

Corollary 2.7. *Let I be a uniformly n -ideal of R . Then $(I : a)$ is a uniformly n -ideal of R for all $a \in R$ and $\text{ord}((I : a)) \leq \text{ord}(I)$.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2.6. \square

Theorem 2.8. *Let I be a proper ideal of a ring R .*

- (1) *If I is a prime and uniformly n -ideal of R , then $I = \sqrt{0}$.*
- (2) *If I is a maximal uniformly n -ideal of R , then $I = \sqrt{0}$.*

Proof. (1) If I is a prime and uniformly n -ideal of R , then $I = \sqrt{0}$. Now apply Lemma 2.4 (2).

(2) Suppose that I is a maximal uniformly n -ideal and $ab \in I$ with $a \notin I$ for some $a, b \in R$. Then by Corollary 2.7 $(I : a)$ is a uniformly n -ideal. Since I is maximal between uniformly n -ideals and $I \subseteq (I : a)$, $I = (I : a)$. On the other hand, $b \in (I : a)$ and so $b \in I$. Therefore I is prime and so by (1) $I = \sqrt{0}$. \square

Theorem 2.9. *Let R be a ring. If every nonzero cyclic ideal of R is a uniformly n -ideal, then $\dim R \leq 1$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\dim R > 1$. Then there exists a chain of prime ideals $P_1 \subset P_2 \subset P_3$. Let $a \in P_2 \setminus P_1$ and $b \in P_3 \setminus P_2$. Now $ab \notin P_1$. Then $ab \neq 0$. We have $ab \in \langle ab \rangle \subset P_2$. Since the ideal $\langle ab \rangle$ is a uniformly n -ideal and $b^n \neq 0$ for every positive integer n , $a \in \langle ab \rangle$.

So $a(1 - rb) = 0 \in P_1$ for some $r \in R$. Then $1 - rb \in P_1 \subset P_3$. Hence $1 \in P_3$ and this is a contradiction. \square

Theorem 2.10. *Let R be a ring, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *Every nonzero ideal of R is uniformly n -ideal.*
- (2) *Every prime ideal of R is uniformly n -ideal.*
- (3) *R is a local ring with maximal nil ideal.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Trivial.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) By Theorem 2.8.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let M be a unique maximal ideal of R . By Our assumption there exist a number k such that $M^k = 0$ and for every ideal I of R , $I \subseteq M$. If for some $a, b \in R$, $ab \in I$ and $a \notin I$, then $b \in M$, which implies that $b^k = 0$. \square

An important characterization of uniformly n -ideals is given by the next theorem:

Theorem 2.11. *Let I be a proper ideal of a ring R . Then I is a uniformly n -ideal and 0 is a uniformly primary ideal of order N if and only if the following conditions hold.*

- (1) *I is an n -ideal of R .*
- (2) *There exists a positive integer n such that*

$$\sqrt{0} = \{a \in R : a^n = 0\}. \text{ord}_0(I) = N$$

if and only if N is the smallest integer which satisfies this property.

Proof. Suppose that I is a uniformly n -ideal of R of order N . Then (1) is satisfied. Let $a \in \sqrt{0}$. Hence $a^n = 0$ but $a^{n-1} \neq 0$ for some positive integer n . Since $a^{n-1}a = 0$ and 0 is uniformly primary with $\text{ord}(0) = N$, we have $a^N = 0$. Conversely, suppose that both conditions (1) and (2) hold. Let $a, b \in R$ with $ab \in I$ and $a \notin I$. It follows $b \in \sqrt{0}$ by (1). On the other hand, there exists a positive integer n which is independent of elements of R such that $b^n = 0$ by (2). Thus I is a uniformly n -ideal of R . \square

Theorem 2.12. *Let R be a ring and $\sqrt{0}$ a nilpotent ideal of R . The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) *I is an n -ideal.*
- (2) *For all ideals J, K of R with $JK \subseteq I$ and $K \not\subseteq I$, then there exists a positive integer n such that $J^n = 0$.*
- (3) *I is a uniformly n -ideal.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose that $JK \subseteq I$ and $K \not\subseteq I$ for some ideals J, K of R . Then $J \cap (R - \sqrt{0}) = \emptyset$ by [10, Theorem 2.7]. Since $\sqrt{0}$ is nilpotent, there exists a positive integer n such that $J^n \subseteq \sqrt{0}^n = 0$, as needed.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let $a, b \in R$ with $ab \in I$ and $b \notin I$. Put $J := (a)$ and $K := (b)$. Then we conclude the result from our assumption (2).

(3) \Rightarrow (1) It is clear. □

It is well-known that if R is an Artinian ring, then $\sqrt{0}$ is a nilpotent ideal. Therefore, we note that Theorem 2.12 holds true for Artinian rings.

Let I be a proper ideal of a ring R . According to [2], the ideal $\langle \{i^n : i \in I\} \rangle$ of R which is generated by n -th powers of elements of I denoted by I_n .

Theorem 2.13. *Let I be a proper ideal of R . The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) I is a uniformly n -ideal of R of order n .
- (2) There exists a positive integer n such that for every $a \in R$, either $(I : a) = R$ or $(I : a)_n = \{0\}$.
- (3) There exists a positive integer n for every $a \in R$, $aJ \subseteq I$, implies that either $a \in I$ or $J_n = \{0\}$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose that I is a uniformly n -ideal of R of order n and $(I : a) \neq R$. Hence $a \notin I$. Let $b \in (I : a)$. Since $ab \in I$ and $\text{ord}(I) = n$, we have $b^n = 0$. Therefore $(I : a)_n = \{0\}$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) On the contrary, suppose that $aJ \subseteq I$ but neither $a \in I$ nor $J_n = \{0\}$. Then there exists nonzero $b^n \in J_n$ where $b \in J$. Hence we conclude $(I : a) \neq R$ and $(I : a)_n \neq \{0\}$, a contradiction. Thus $a \in I$ or $J_n = \{0\}$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) It is clear. □

In [2, Theorem 5], it has been shown $I_n = I^n$ provided that $n!$ is a unit in R . Then we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.14. *Let R be a ring, I be a proper ideal of R and n be a positive integer number. If $n!$ is a unit element in R then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) I is a uniformly n -ideal of R of order n .
- (2) There exists a positive integer n such that for every nonunit elements $a, b \in R$, either $(I : a) = R$ or $(I : a)^n = \{0\}$.
- (3) There exists a positive integer n such that for every nonunit elements $a, b \in R$ such that $aJ \subseteq I$, either $a \in I$ or $J^n = \{0\}$.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.13. □

Theorem 2.15. *For a ring R , the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) *Every proper principal ideal of R is a uniformly n -ideal of order k .*
- (2) *Every proper ideal of R is a uniformly n -ideal of order k .*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose that $a, b \in I$, $a \notin I$ for some $a, b \in R$. Then by our assumption, (ab) is a uniformly n -ideal. Since $ab \in (ab)$ and $a \notin (ab)$, it implies that $b^k = 0$. Thus I is a uniformly n -ideal of order k .

(2) \Rightarrow (1) It is clear. □

In the following, we obtain some elementary properties of uniformly n -ideals. The first property allows us to compare the orders of the elements of a chain of uniformly n -ideals.

Proposition 2.16. *Let I_1 and I_2 be uniformly n -ideals of R with $I_1 \subseteq I_2$. Then $\text{ord}(I_1) \geq \text{ord}(I_2)$.*

Proof. Put $\text{ord}(I_1) = m$ and $\text{ord}(I_2) = n$ for some $n, m \geq 1$. Then there exist $r, s \in R$ such that $rs \in I_2$, $r \notin I_2$ and $s^n = 0$, $s^{n-1} \neq 0$. Now $rs^{n-1} \cdot s = 0 \in I_1$. If $rs^{n-1} \notin I_1$, then $s^m = 0$; so $m \geq n$. Now suppose that $rs^{n-1} \in I_1$. Hence $rs^{n-2} \cdot s \in I_1$. Again we have two cases: if $rs^{n-2} \notin I_1$, then $s^m = 0$; so $m \geq n$. Assume that $rs^{n-2} \in I_1$. Hence $rs^{n-3} \cdot s \in I_1$. Repeating this process, we get $rs \in I_1$, $r \notin I_1$ which implies $s^m \in I_1$. Thus $m \geq n$. □

Proposition 2.17. *Let $\{I_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ be a chain of uniformly n -ideals of R with maximum order is $n \geq 1$. Then $I = \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} I_i$ is a uniformly n -ideal of R with $\text{ord}(I) \leq n$.*

Proof. Suppose that $a, b \in R$ with $ab \in I$, $a \notin I$. Then $a \notin I_k$ for some $k \in \Lambda$. Since I_k is uniformly n -ideal with $\text{ord}_n(I_k) \leq n$, we have $b^n = 0$. Thus I is a uniformly n -ideal of R of order at most n . □

Proposition 2.18. *Let I_1, \dots, I_n be a chain of uniformly n -ideals of R . Then $I = \bigcup_{i=1}^n I_i$ is a uniformly n -ideal of R .*

Proof. Suppose that each I_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) is a uniformly n -ideal with $\text{ord}(I_i) = k_i$. Let $a, b \in R$ with $ab \in I$ and $a \notin I$. Then $ab \in I_j$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $a \notin I_j$. It implies that $b^{k_j} = 0$. Then I is a uniformly n -ideal of order at most $k = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i$. □

Theorem 2.19. *Let R_1 and R_2 be commutative rings and $f : R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ a homomorphism. The following statements hold.*

- (1) Let f be a monomorphism. If I_2 is a uniformly n -ideal of R_2 , then $f^{-1}(I_2)$ is a uniformly n -ideal of R_1 with

$$\text{ord}_{R_1}(f^{-1}(I_2)) \leq \text{ord}_{R_2}(I_2).$$

- (2) Let f be an epimorphism. If I_1 is a uniformly n -ideal of R_1 containing $\text{Ker}f$, then $f(I_1)$ is a uniformly n -ideal of R_2 with $\text{ord}_{R_2}(f(I_1)) \leq \text{ord}_{R_1}(I_1)$.

Proof. (1) Suppose that $ab \in f^{-1}(I_2)$ and $a \notin f^{-1}(I_2)$ for $a, b \in R_1$. Then $f(ab) = f(a)f(b) \in I_2$. Put $\text{ord}_{R_2}(I_2) = n$. Then $f(a) \in I_2$ or $f(b)^n = 0$. Hence $a \in f^{-1}(I_2)$ or $b^n \in \text{Ker}f = 0$. Thus $f^{-1}(I_2)$ is a uniformly n -ideal of R_1 with $\text{ord}_{R_1}(f^{-1}(I_2)) \leq n$.

(2) Suppose that $a_2b_2 \in f(I_1)$ and $a_2 \notin f(I_1)$ for $a_2, b_2 \in R_2$. Put $m = \text{ord}_{R_1}(I_1)$. Since f is onto, there exists $a_1, b_1 \in R_1$ such that $a_2 = f(a_1)$, $b_2 = f(b_1)$. Hence $f(a_1)f(b_1) = f(a_1b_1) \in f(I_1)$, $f(a_1) \notin f(I_1)$, which means $a_1b_1 \in I_1$ and $a_1 \notin I_1$ as $\text{Ker}f \subseteq I_1$. It follows $b_1^m = 0$; so $f(b_1)^m = f(0) = 0$. Thus $f(I_1)$ is a uniformly n -ideal of R_2 with $\text{ord}_{R_2}(f(I_1)) \leq m$. \square

Theorem 2.20. For a uniformly n -ideal I of R of order N , the following statements hold.

- (1) If R_1 is a subring of a ring R , then $I \cap R_1$ is a uniformly n -ideal of R_1 with $\text{ord}(I \cap R_1)_{R_1} \leq N$.
 (2) If J is an ideal of R with $J \subseteq I$, then I/J is a uniformly n -ideal of R/J with $\text{ord}(I/J) \leq N$.

Proof. It is an application of Theorem 2.19. \square

Corollary 2.21. Let I be a proper ideal of a ring R and X an indeterminate. If (I, X) is a uniformly n -ideal of $R[X]$, then I is a uniformly n -ideal of R .

Proof. Define a function $\Pi : R[X] \rightarrow R$ by $f(x) \mapsto f(0)$. It is easily seen that Π is an epimorphism and $\text{Ker}\Pi = X \subset (I, X)$. Thus $I = \Pi((I, X))$ is a uniformly n -ideal of R by Theorem 2.19 (2). \square

Proposition 2.22. Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$ where R_1 and R_2 are commutative rings with $1 \neq 0$. Then there is no uniformly n -ideal in R .

Proof. As a uniformly n -ideal is an n -ideal, we are done from [10, Proposition 2.26]. \square

Let R be a ring and I an ideal of R . By $Z(R)$ and $Z_I(R)$, we denote the set of zero divisors of R , and the set

$$\{a \in R \mid ab \in I \text{ for some } b \notin I\},$$

respectively.

Theorem 2.23. *Let S be a multiplicatively closed subset of R and I a proper ideal of R . Then the following statements are satisfied.*

- (1) *If I is a uniformly n -ideal of R such that $I \cap S = \emptyset$, then $S^{-1}I$ is a uniformly n -ideal of $S^{-1}R$ with $\text{ord}_{S^{-1}R}(S^{-1}I) \leq \text{ord}_R(I)$.*
- (2) *If $S^{-1}I$ is a uniformly n -ideal of $S^{-1}R$, $S \cap Z_I(R) = \emptyset$ and $S \cap Z(R) = \emptyset$, then I is a uniformly n -ideal of R with*

$$\text{ord}(I) \leq \text{ord}(S^{-1}I).$$

Proof. (1) Let $\frac{a}{s_1} \frac{b}{s_2} \in S^{-1}I$ for some $a, b \in I$ and $s_1, s_2 \in S$. Put $\text{ord}_R(I) = n$. Suppose that $\frac{a}{s_1} \notin S^{-1}I$. Then $uab \in I$ for some $u \in S$ and $ua \notin I$. Since I is uniformly n -ideal, we have $b^n = 0$. So, $\left(\frac{b}{s_2}\right)^n = 0$. Thus $S^{-1}I$ is a uniformly n -ideal of $S^{-1}R$ with

$$\text{ord}_{S^{-1}R}(S^{-1}I) \leq n.$$

(2) Let $ab \in I$ for some $a, b \in R$. Put $\text{ord}(S^{-1}I) = m$. Hence $\frac{ab}{1} = \frac{a}{1} \frac{b}{1} \in S^{-1}I$. Since $S^{-1}I$ is uniformly n -ideal, we have either $\frac{a}{1} \in S^{-1}I$ or $\left(\frac{b}{1}\right)^m = 0_{S^{-1}R}$. If $\frac{a}{1} \in S^{-1}I$, then $ua \in I$ for some $u \in S$. Since $u \notin Z_I(R)$, we conclude that $a \in I$. If $\left(\frac{b}{1}\right)^m = 0_{S^{-1}R}$, then $(tb)^m = 0 \in I$ for some $t \in S$. Since $S \cap Z(R) = \emptyset$, we have $b^m = 0$. Therefore I is a uniformly n -ideal of R with $\text{ord}(I) \leq m$. \square

Let R be a ring and M an R -module. Consider

$$R(+M) = R \times M = \{(r, m) : r \in R, m \in M\}$$

and let (r, m) and (s, n) be two elements of $R(+M)$. Then $R(+M)$ is a commutative ring with identity under addition and multiplication defined by $(r, m) + (s, n) = (r+s, m+n)$ and $(r, m)(s, n) = (rs, rn+sm)$. For more detail information about idealization refer to [6].

Proposition 2.24. *Let I be a proper ideal of R . If I is a uniformly n -ideal, then $I(+M)$ is a uniformly n -ideal of $R(+M)$ such that*

$$\text{ord}(I) + 1 \geq \text{ord}(I + (M)) \geq \text{ord}(I).$$

In particular if M is a torsion free R -module, then $\text{ord}(I + (M)) = n+1$.

Proof. Let I be uniformly n -ideal of order n . Suppose that

$$(a, m)(b, n) \in I(+M) \text{ and } (a, m) \notin I(+M).$$

Then $ab \in I$ but $a \notin I$. Since $\text{ord}(I) = n$, we have $b^n = 0$ and

$$\text{ord}(I) + 1 \geq \text{ord}(I + (M)) \geq \text{ord}(I).$$

\square

3. UNIFORMLY n -IDEALS OVER NOETHERIAN RINGS

In this section, we characterized uniformly n -ideals in Noetherian rings. Let R be a ring. Recall that an element a of R is nilpotent if $a^n = 0$ for some positive integer n . A proper ideal I of R is said to be nil if every element of I is nilpotent; I is nilpotent ideal if $I^n = 0$ for some positive integer n . We denote the least positive integer which satisfies the property $I^n = 0$ by $e(I)$. It is clear that every nilpotent ideal is nil but the converse is not true in general. (for the general background see [7]). Now we state the next lemma which is necessary for the proof of Theorem 3.3.

Lemma 3.1. [7, Proposition 2.13, Remark, p.430] *Let R be a ring. Then*

- (1) *If R is Noetherian, then every nil ideal is nilpotent.*
- (2) *If R is Artinian, then the radical $J(R)$ is a nilpotent ideal.*

Lemma 3.2. *Let R be a ring. The following statements hold.*

- (1) *Let I be a P -primary ideal of R where P is a nilpotent ideal. Then I is a uniformly n -ideal of R with $\text{ord}(I) \leq e(P)$.*
- (2) *If I is a nilpotent prime ideal, then I is a uniformly n -ideal of R .*

Proof. (1) Let $a, b \in R$ with $ab \in I$ and $a \notin I$. Since I is P -primary, it implies $b \in P$. Then $b^{e(P)} \in P^{e(P)} = 0$. Thus I is uniformly n -ideal of order less than $e(P)$.

(2) It is clear by (1). □

Theorem 3.3. *For a commutative ring with nonzero identity R , the following are hold.*

- (1) *Let R be a Noetherian ring. Then every prime nil ideal of R is a uniformly n -ideal of R . In particular, if $\sqrt{0}$ is prime, then it is a uniformly n -ideal with $\text{ord}(\sqrt{0}) = e(\sqrt{0})$.*
- (2) *Let R be an Artinian ring. Then every prime nil ideal of R is uniformly n -ideal.*
- (3) *If R is a Noetherian or Artinian ring, then every $\sqrt{0}$ -primary ideal is uniformly n -ideal.*

Proof. (1) It is clear by Lemma 3.1 (1) and Lemma 3.2 (2).

(2) It is well-known that in an Artinian ring, every nil ideal is nilpotent. So the result follows from Lemma 3.1 (1) and Lemma 3.2 (2).

(3) It is well-known that if R is Noetherian or Artinian, $\sqrt{0}$ is a nilpotent ideal of R . Then we conclude the result by Lemma 3.2 (1). \square

Proposition 3.4. *Let R be a Noetherian ring. If I is an n -ideal of R , then \sqrt{I} is a uniformly n -ideal of R .*

Proof. Suppose that I is an n -ideal of R . Then $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{0}$ is prime by Lemma 2.4 (1). Thus \sqrt{I} is uniformly n -ideal by Theorem 3.3 (1). \square

Theorem 3.5. *For a Noetherian ring R , the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) *There exists a uniformly n -ideal of R .*
- (2) *$\sqrt{0}$ is a prime ideal of R .*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose that I is a uniformly n -ideal of R . Then it is n -ideal, so we have the result by [10, Theorem 2.12].

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Assume that $\sqrt{0}$ is a prime ideal of R . Hence $\sqrt{0}$ is a uniformly n -ideal by Theorem 3.3 (1). \square

In the next proposition, we give equivalent conditions for that every ideal of the ring \mathbb{Z}_n is a uniformly n -ideal.

Proposition 3.6. *Consider the ring \mathbb{Z}_n where $n \geq 2$ is a positive integer n . The following are equivalent.*

- (1) *There exists a uniformly n -ideal of \mathbb{Z}_n of order k .*
- (2) *$n = p^k$ for some prime number p and positive integer k .*
- (3) *Every ideal of \mathbb{Z}_n is a uniformly n -ideal of order k .*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) By Theorem 3.5, $\sqrt{0}$ is a prime ideal of \mathbb{Z}_n . Therefore $n = p^k$ where p is a prime number and k is a positive integer number.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Suppose that I is a uniformly n -ideal of \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} . We need to show that $ord(I) = k$. Observe that $I = (p^t)$ for some positive integer $1 \leq t \leq k$. Since $p^{t-1}p \in I$ and $p^{t-1} \notin I$, we have $p^{ord(I)} = 0$. Then since $p^{ord(I)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$ and $ord(I) \leq k$, we have $ord(I) = k$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) It is clear. \square

Theorem 3.7. *Let R be a Noetherian ring. Then R is an integral domain if and only if the only uniformly n -ideal of R is 0.*

Proof. Suppose that R is an integral domain and $I \neq 0$ is a uniformly n -ideal of R . Then $I \subseteq \sqrt{0}$ by Lemma 2.4 (2). But since R is an integral domain, we conclude $\sqrt{0} = 0$, so $I = 0$, a contradiction. Conversely, suppose that 0 is the only uniformly n -ideal of R . Then $\sqrt{0}$ is prime by Theorem 3.5, and so $\sqrt{0}$ is a uniformly n -ideal by Theorem 3.3

(1). Hence our assumption implies that $\sqrt{0} = 0$. Thus 0 is prime, and therefore R is an integral domain. \square

Acknowledgments

The authors owe a great debt to the referee who has carefully read an earlier version of this paper and made significant suggestions for improvement. We would like to express our deep appreciation for the referee's work.

REFERENCES

1. D. D. Anderson and M. Bataineh, Generalizations of prime ideals, *Comm. Algebra*, **36**(2) (2008), 686–696.
2. D. D. Anderson, K. R. Knopp and R. L. Lewin, Ideals generated by powers of elements, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **49**(3) (1994), 373–376.
3. A. Badawi, U. Tekir and E. Yetkin Celikel, On 2-absorbing primary ideals in commutative rings, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, **51**(4) (2014), 1163–1173.
4. J. A. Cox and A. J. Hetzel, Uniformly primary ideals, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **212**(1) (2008), 1–8.
5. M. Ebrahimpour and R. Nekoeei, On generalizations of prime ideals, *Comm. Algebra*, **40**(4) (2012), 1268–1279.
6. J. A. Huckaba, *Commutative rings with zero divisors*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1988.
7. T. W. Hungerford, *Algebra*, Springer, USA, 1973.
8. Ho. Mostafanasab, U. Tekir, and Gülsen Ulucak, Uniformly 2-absorbing primary ideals of commutative rings, *Algebra Discrete Math.*, (Accepted).
9. R. Y. Sharp, *Steps in commutative algebra*, Second edition, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 51, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
10. U. Tekir, S. Koc and K. H. Oral, n -ideals of commutative rings, *Filomat*, **31**(10) (2017), 2933–2941.

Mohammad Baziar

Department of Mathematics, Yasouj University, P.O. Box 75914, Yasouj, Iran.
Email: mbaziar@yu.ac.ir

Afroozeh Jafari

Department of Mathematics, Yasouj University, P.O. Box 75914, Yasouj, Iran.
Email: a.jafari@stu.yu.ac.ir

Ece Yetkin Celikel

Department of Electrical Electronics Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Hasan Kalyoncu University, Gaziantep, Turkey.
Email: yetkinece@gmail.com

UNIFORMLY n -IDEALS OF COMMUTATIVE RINGS

M. BAZIAR, A. JAFARI AND E. YETKIN CELIKEL

n -ایده‌آل‌های یکنواخت حلقه‌های جابجایی

محمد بازاری^۱، افروزه جعفری^۲ و اکه یتکین کلیکل^۳

^{۱,۲}گروه ریاضی، دانشگاه یاسوج، یاسوج، ایران

^۳گروه مهندسی الکترونیک، دانشگاه حسن کالی یونچ، گازی آنتپ، ترکیه

در این مقاله مبحث n -ایده‌آل یکنواخت را که نمونه خاصی از n -ایده‌آل می‌باشد را معرفی می‌کنیم. یک ایده‌آل محض I از حلقه R را n -ایده‌آل یکنواخت گوئیم هرگاه عدد صحیح و مثبت k موجود باشد به طوری که برای هر $a, b \in R$ وقتی که $ab \in I$ و $a \notin I$ باعث شود که $b^k \in I$. همچنین، خواص اساسی n -ایده‌آل‌های یکنواخت بررسی شده است. به علاوه، مشخص سازی از n -ایده‌آل‌های یکنواخت برای بعضی حلقه‌های خاص ارائه گردیده است.

کلمات کلیدی: n -ایده‌آل اولیه یکنواخت، n -ایده‌آل، n -ایده‌آل یکنواخت.