

ON RELATIVE CENTRAL EXTENSIONS AND COVERING PAIRS

A. POURMIRZAEI*, M. HASSANZADEH, AND B. MASHAYEKHY

ABSTRACT. Let (G, N) be a pair of groups. In this paper, firstly, we construct a relative central extension for the pair (G, N) such that special types of the covering pair of (G, N) are the homomorphic image of it. Secondly, we show that every perfect pair admits at least one covering pair. Finally, among extending some properties of perfect groups to perfect pairs, we characterize the covering pairs of a perfect pair (G, N) under some extra assumptions.

In this paper, the well-known notion of relative central extension of a pair of groups is used. By a pair of groups, we mean a group G and a normal subgroup N , and this is denoted by (G, N) . Let M be another group, on which an action of G is given. The G -commutator subgroup of M is defined by the subgroup $[M, G]$ of M , generated by all the G -commutators,

$$[m, g] = m^g m^{-1},$$

in which $g \in G$, $m \in M$, and m^g is the action of g on m . Ellis [1] defined the G -center of M to be the subgroup

$$Z(M, G) = \{m \in M \mid m^g = m, \forall g \in G\}.$$

Now, we recall the definition of relative central extension of a pair of groups.

MSC(2010): Primary: 20E34; Secondary: 20E22, 20F05.

Keywords: Pair of groups, Covering pair, Relative central extension, Isoclinism of pairs of groups.

Received: 2 March 2015, Revised: 6 March 2016.

*Corresponding author.

Definition 0.1. [2] Let (G, N) be a pair of groups. A relative central extension of the pair (G, N) consists of a group homomorphism $\sigma : M \rightarrow G$, together with an action of G on M such that

- (i) $\sigma(M) = N$;
- (ii) $\sigma(m^g) = g^{-1}\sigma(m)g$, for all $g \in G$, $m \in M$;
- (iii) $m'^{\sigma(m)} = m^{-1}m'm$, for all $m, m' \in M$;
- (iv) $\text{Ker}(\sigma) \subseteq Z(M, G)$.

Note that, for every relative central extension $\sigma : M \rightarrow G$ of a pair of groups (G, N) , we have $\text{Inn}(M) \subseteq \text{Im}\sigma$, by the property (iii) in Definition 0.1. Moreover, every relative central extension $\sigma : M \rightarrow G$ yields an exact sequence,

$$1 \rightarrow \text{ker}\sigma \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\sigma} N \rightarrow 1.$$

Conversely, for every exact sequence,

$$1 \rightarrow \text{ker}\sigma \rightarrow M' \xrightarrow{\sigma} N \rightarrow 1$$

with properties (i)-(iv), $\rho : M' \rightarrow G$ is a relative central extension. For this reason, we do not differ between a relative central extension and its associated exact sequence.

Let $\sigma : M \rightarrow G$, $\sigma' : M' \rightarrow G$ be two relative central extensions of (G, N) . In 1998, Eliss [2] introduced a morphism between these relative central extensions, being a group homomorphism $\varphi : M \rightarrow M'$ satisfying $\sigma'\varphi(m) = \sigma(m)$ and $\varphi(m^g) = (\varphi(m))^g$ for all $g \in G$ and $m \in M$. In particular, if φ is a surjective homomorphism, then σ' is called a homomorphic image of σ . The resulting category is the category of relative central extensions, denoted by Eliss by $\mathcal{RC}\mathcal{E}(G, N)$.

Now, we recall the definition of a covering pair.

Definition 0.2. ([2]) A relative central extension $\sigma : M^* \rightarrow G$ of the pair (G, N) is called a covering pair for (G, N) if there exists a subgroup A of M^* such that

- (i) $A \subseteq Z(M^*, G) \cap [M^*, G]$;
- (ii) $A \cong M(G, N)$;
- (iii) $N \cong M^*/A$,

where $M(G, N)$ is the Schur multiplier of the pair (G, N) .

A covering pair $\sigma : G^* \rightarrow G$ of the pair (G, G) coincides with the usual notion of a covering group G^* of the group G . In 1998, Ellis [2] showed that every pair of finite groups admits at least one covering pair.

In this paper, we consider P as a perfect group that satisfies in maximal condition, which means $\phi(P) \neq P$ such that $\phi(P)$ is the

Frattini subgroup of P . We mention that if $\sigma : P \rightarrow G$ is a covering pair of (G, N) , then we have $P' = [P, G]$, and, therefore

$$N = \sigma(P) = \sigma([P, G]) = [\sigma(P), G] = [N, G].$$

Let (G, N) be a pair of groups with free presentation $G \cong F/R$ and $N \cong S/R$ for a normal subgroup S of F , where F is the free group on the set G . In Section 1, we introduce a relative central extension $\delta : S/[R, F] \rightarrow G$, which has an important role throughout the paper. As a consequence, we show that, for every covering pair, $\sigma : P \rightarrow G$, P is a homomorphic image of $S/[R, F]$. We remark that in 2007, Salemkar, Moghaddam, and Chiti [7, Lemma 2.5] claimed that there exists an epimorphism from δ to any relative central extension without any condition. We present a counter-example to show that their claim is not correct, and hence, one of their main results [7, Theorem 2.6] is not valid.

In 1978, Loday [5] extended the notion of perfect group to perfect pair in the sense that a pair of groups (G, N) is perfect if $[G, N] = N$. Moreover, he proved that (G, N) is a perfect pair of groups if and only if $\mathcal{RC}\mathcal{E}(G, N)$ has a universal object. To prove this, he used some cohomological methods. In Section 2, by restriction of δ to $[S, F]/[R, F]$, we obtain a universal object in $\mathcal{RC}\mathcal{E}(G, N)$ when (G, N) is perfect. This is also a covering pair of (G, N) . It is worth mentioning that we only use the presentation methods instead of the cohomological methods, which seem easier.

In sequel, we extend a result of Schur on covering groups to covering pairs.

1. SOME RESULTS FOR RELATIVE CENTRAL EXTENSIONS

Let (G, N) be a pair of groups with a free presentation $1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow F \xrightarrow{\pi} G \rightarrow 1$ such that $N \cong S/R$ for a normal subgroup S of F . Define the group homomorphism,

$$\delta : \frac{S}{[R, F]} \rightarrow G, \tag{1.1}$$

$$s[R, F] \mapsto \pi(s). \tag{1.2}$$

It is straightforward to check that δ is a relative central extension by the following action

$$\bar{\delta} : \frac{S}{[R, F]} \times \frac{F}{R} \rightarrow \frac{S}{[R, F]}, \tag{1.3}$$

$$(s[R, F], fR) \mapsto s^f[R, F]. \tag{1.4}$$

In the following theorem, we present a relation between the above relative central extension to any one of the pair (G, N) , especially to any covering pair $\sigma : M \rightarrow G$ of (G, N) .

Theorem 1.1. *Let $G \cong F/R$, where F is the free group on the set G , and N be a normal subgroup of G with $N \cong S/R$ for a normal subgroup S of F . If $\sigma : M \rightarrow G$ is a relative central extension of the pair (G, N) , then there exists a homomorphism $\beta : S/[R, F] \rightarrow M$ such that the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \rightarrow & \frac{R}{[R, F]} & \rightarrow & \frac{S}{[R, F]} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & N & \rightarrow & 1 \\ & & \beta| \downarrow & & \beta \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ 1 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & N & \rightarrow & 1, \end{array}$$

where δ is the relative central extension 1.1. In particular, if M is a perfect group with $\phi(M) \neq M$, then the above homomorphism β is an epimorphism.

Proof. Consider the map $f : G \rightarrow N$ by the rule $f(g) = g$ if $g \in N$, otherwise, $f(g) = 1$. Now, f induces a homomorphism $\theta : F \rightarrow N$. Using the projective property of free groups, there is a homomorphism $\alpha : F \rightarrow M$ such that $\sigma\alpha = \theta$. By the restriction of θ and α to S , we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & S & \\ \alpha| \swarrow & \downarrow \theta & \\ M & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & N \longrightarrow 1. \end{array}$$

For $x \in F$ and $r \in R$, $\alpha([r, x]) = [\alpha(r), \alpha(x)] = 1$ since $\alpha(r) \in \ker\sigma \leq Z(M, G) \leq Z(M)$. Therefore, $[R, F] \leq \ker\alpha$, which implies the existence of

$$\beta : \frac{S}{[R, F]} \rightarrow M.$$

Clearly, $\beta(R/[R, F]) \leq \ker\sigma$, which gives the restriction of β to $R/[R, F]$, $\beta|$. Now, let $A = \ker\sigma \leq Z(M, G)$ and $\phi(M) \neq M$. For every $m \in M$, there exists $\bar{s} \in S/[R, F]$ such that $\sigma(m) = \delta(\bar{s}) = \sigma\beta(\bar{s})$. Hence, $m = \beta(\bar{s})a$, for some $a \in \ker\sigma$. Thus

$$M = \langle \beta(\bar{s}), a \mid \bar{s} \in \frac{S}{[R, F]}, a \in A \rangle.$$

Since $M = M'$,

$$A \leq Z(M, G) \cap M' \leq Z(M) \cap M' \leq \phi(M).$$

Hence

$$M = \langle \beta(\bar{s}) \mid \bar{s} \in \frac{S}{[R, F]} \rangle,$$

which implies that β is an epimorphism. \square

Corollary 1.2. *With the assumptions and notations of the previous theorem, for every covering pair $\sigma : P \rightarrow G$ of (G, N) , P is a homomorphic image of $S/[R, F]$.*

Note that in Theorem 1.1, if

$$\beta(\bar{s}^g) = \beta(\bar{s})^g,$$

for every $\bar{s} \in S/[R, F]$ and $g \in G$ (in other words, β preserves the action), then we can say that every covering pair $\sigma : P \rightarrow G$ is a homomorphic image of $\delta : S/[R, F] \rightarrow G$.

Remark 1.3. In 2007, Salemkar, Moghaddam, and Chiti [7, Lemma 2.5] claimed that, in the above theorem, β is always an epimorphism for any free presentation $G \cong F/R$, and $N \cong S/R$ without any condition. The following counterexample shows that their claim is not true, and hence, one of the main results of their paper [7, Theorem 2.6] is not valid.

Example 1.4. Put $G = N = \mathbf{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{Z}$ a free abelian group of finite rank with the free presentation $G \cong F/F' \cong N$, where F' is the commutator subgroup of the free group F . Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \frac{F'}{[F', F]} & \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & \frac{F}{[F', F]} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & G = N = \mathbf{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \beta \downarrow & & \beta \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & A = \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & M = G \oplus \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & G = N = \mathbf{Z} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow 1, \end{array}$$

where $\alpha(x) = (1, x)$ and $\sigma(g, x) = g$, for all $x \in A$, $g \in G$. Then we have

$$\beta\left(\frac{F'}{[F', F]}\right) = [\beta\left(\frac{F}{[F', F]}\right), \beta\left(\frac{F}{[F', F]}\right)] \leq M' = 1.$$

Hence, β is not an epimorphism.

At the end of this section, by a result of Ellis [2, Corollary 1.2] on the Schur multiplier of a pair (G, N) of finite nilpotent groups, we present a covering pair of the pair (G, N) .

Theorem 1.5. *Let (G, N) be a pair of finite nilpotent groups. Assume that $G = \prod_{i=1}^k S_i$, where S_i is the Sylow p_i -subgroup of G , and $\sigma_i : M_i \rightarrow S_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, is an arbitrary covering pair of the pair $(S_i, S_i \cap N)$. Then $\sigma : \prod_{i=1}^k M_i \rightarrow G$, defined by $\sigma(\{m_i\}_{i=1}^k) = \{\sigma_i(m_i)\}_{i=1}^k$, is a covering pair of (G, N) .*

Proof. It is readily seen that σ is a relative central extension of (G, N) . By [2, Corollary 1.2], $M(G, N) \cong \prod_{i=1}^k M(S_i, S_i \cap N)$. Hence, the proof is straightforward. \square

2. SOME PROPERTIES OF COVERING PAIRS

The aim of this section is to introduce a covering pair for a perfect pair of groups (G, N) .

Theorem 2.1. *Let (G, N) be a perfect pair of groups. Then for every covering pair $\sigma : M \rightarrow G$, we have $[M, G] = M$.*

Proof. Since $\sigma : M \rightarrow G$ is a covering pair, we have $M/\ker\sigma \cong N$, and $\ker\sigma \leq [M, G]$. Hence

$$\frac{M/\ker\sigma}{[M, G]/\ker\sigma} \cong \frac{N}{[N, G]}.$$

The result is a consequence of (G, N) being perfect. \square

It is known that if $G \cong F/R$ is a group with a covering group G^* , then there exists a normal subgroup S of F such that $R/[R, F] = (F' \cap R)/[R, F] \times S/[R, F]$, and we have an isomorphism $F/S \cong G^*$ [6, Theorem 2.4.6(iv)(b)]. In the following theorem, we intend to extend this result to pairs of groups.

Theorem 2.2. *Let (G, N) be a pair of groups with $G \cong F/R$ and $N \cong S/R$, where F is the free group on the set G and $S \trianglelefteq F$. Then for every relative central extension $\sigma : P \rightarrow G$ with $A \cong \ker\sigma$, there is a normal subgroup T of F such that*

$$\frac{R}{[R, F]} = \frac{R \cap [S, F]}{[R, F]} \times \frac{T}{[R, F]}.$$

Moreover, there exists an isomorphism $S/T \cong P$, which carries R/T onto A .

Proof. Let $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ be a surjective homomorphism with $R = \ker\pi$. As the proof of Theorem 1.1, there exists a homomorphism $\psi : S \rightarrow P$, which induces the epimorphism $\beta : S/[R, F] \rightarrow P$. Thus ψ is, in fact, an epimorphism. By setting $T = \ker\psi$, we have $S/T \cong P$. Clearly, $\psi(R) = A$, and by Theorem 1.1, $\psi([R, F]) = 1$; so $[R, F] \leq T$ which gives the induced epimorphism $\bar{\psi} : R/[R, F] \rightarrow A$. Considering

$$\bar{\psi}| : \frac{R \cap [S, F]}{[R, F]} \rightarrow A,$$

we claim that $\bar{\psi}|$ is an isomorphism. To prove this, let $a \in A$. By $\psi(R) = A$, there exists $x \in R$ such that $a = \psi(x)$. On the other hand, for every $p \in P$ and $g \in G$,

$$[p, g] = [\beta(\bar{s}), g] = \beta(\bar{s}^{-1})\beta(\bar{s}^g).$$

Easily, it can be seen that

$$\beta(\bar{s}^g) = \begin{cases} \beta(\bar{s})^g & \text{if } g \in N \\ \beta(\bar{s})\beta(\bar{r}) & \text{if } g \notin N \end{cases}$$

for some $\bar{r} \in R/[R, F]$. Thus by the proof of Theorem 1.1,

$$P = \langle \beta(\bar{s}) \mid \bar{s} \in \frac{S}{[R, F]} \setminus \frac{R}{[R, F]} \rangle.$$

Since P is perfect and so (G, N) is perfect, we have $[S, F]R = S$. On the other hand, $A = \psi(R) \leq \phi(P)$. Therefore, $[P, G] \leq \psi([S, F])$. This fact and $A \leq [M, G]$ imply that $a = \psi(y)$ for some $y \in [S, F]$. Thus $\psi(x) = a = \psi(y)$. Hence, $x^{-1}y \in \ker\psi \leq R$, so that $y \in R \cap [S, F]$. Since $A \cong M(G, N) \cong (R \cap [S, F])/[R, F]$ and A is finite, $\bar{\psi}|$ is an isomorphism. By surjectivity of $\bar{\psi}$, for every $\bar{r} \in R/[R, F]$, there exists $\bar{x} \in (R \cap [S, F])/[R, F]$ such that $\bar{\psi}(\bar{x}) = \bar{\psi}(\bar{r})$. Thus $\bar{x}^{-1}\bar{r} \in \ker\psi$. Consequently

$$\frac{R}{[R, F]} = \ker\bar{\psi} \frac{R \cap [S, F]}{[R, F]}.$$

Let $\bar{x} \in \ker\bar{\psi} \cap (R \cap [S, F])/[R, F]$. Then $\bar{x} \in \ker\bar{\psi}| = 1$. Thus we have the following isomorphism

$$\frac{R}{[R, F]} \cong \ker\bar{\psi} \times \frac{R \cap [S, F]}{[R, F]} = \frac{T}{[R, F]} \times \frac{R \cap [S, F]}{[R, F]}.$$

□

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\sigma_i : M_i \rightarrow G$, $i = 1, 2$ be two covering pairs of a finite pair (G, N) . If $M_i = M'_i$ and $\phi(M_i) \neq M_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then*

- (i) $M_1 \cong M_2$;
- (ii) $M_1/Z(M_1, G) \cong M_2/Z(M_2, G)$;
- (iii) $Z(M_1, G)/\ker\sigma_1 \cong Z(M_2, G)/\ker\sigma_2$.

Proof. (i) Let $\sigma : M^* \rightarrow G$ be a fixed arbitrary covering pair with the following relative central extension

$$1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow M^* \rightarrow N \rightarrow 1,$$

such that $A \subseteq Z(M^*, G) \cap [M^*, G]$ and $A \cong M(G, N)$. Since $[N, G] = N$ and $[M^*, G] = M^*$ so $\sigma : [M^*, G] \rightarrow [N, G]$ is an epimorphism.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |[M^*, G]| &= |\ker \sigma| \quad |[N, G]| \\ &= |A| \quad |[N, G]| \\ &= |M(G, N)| \quad |[N, G]|. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $G \cong F/R$ such that F is the free group on the set G with $N \cong S/R$. By Theorem 1.1, we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \rightarrow & \frac{R}{[R, F]} & \rightarrow & \frac{S}{[R, F]} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & N & \rightarrow & 1 \\ & & \beta \downarrow & & \beta \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ 1 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & M^* & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & N & \rightarrow & 1. \end{array}$$

Since $[S/[R, F], G] = [S, F]/[R, F]$ and $\delta_1 : [S, F]/[R, F] \rightarrow [N, G]$ is an epimorphism, thus

$$\left| \frac{[S, F]}{[R, F]} \right| = |M(G, N)| |[N, G]|.$$

Therefore

$$|M^*| = |[M^*, G]| = \left| \frac{[S, F]}{[R, F]} \right|.$$

If $\bar{s} \in S/[R, F]$ and $g \in G$,

$$\beta([\bar{s}, g]) = \beta(\bar{s})^{-1} \beta(\bar{s}^g) = \begin{cases} \beta(\bar{s})^{-1} \beta(\bar{s})^g = [\beta(\bar{s}), g] & \text{if } g \in N \\ \beta(\bar{s})^{-1} \beta(\bar{s}) = 1 & \text{if } g \notin N \end{cases}$$

which yields that $\beta([S, F]/[R, F]) \leq [M^*, G]$. By Theorem 2.1, $M^* = [M^*, G] \leq \beta([S, F]/[R, F])$, which implies that $M^* \cong [S, F]/[R, F]$.

(ii) By Theorem 2.2, there exists a normal subgroup T of F with $M^* \cong S/T$. This yields naturally an action of G on S/T , which implies $Z(S/T, G) = Z(M^*, G)$. Put $Z(S/T, G) = L/T$. Let $x \in Z(S/[R, F], G)$. Then $[x, g] \in [R, F] \leq T$, and so $Z(S/[R, F], G) \leq L/[R, F]$. To prove the reverse containment, assume that $x[R, F] \in L/[R, F]$. Then $[x, g] \in T \leq R \cap [S, F] \cap T = [R, F]$. Hence,

$$\frac{L}{[R, F]} = Z\left(\frac{S}{[R, F]}, G\right).$$

Consequently, it may be inferred that

$$\frac{M^*}{Z(M^*, G)} \cong \frac{S/T}{L/T} \cong \frac{S}{L}.$$

The desired assertion is now a consequence of the fact that S/L is determined by the presentation of G and N .

(iii) Owing to Theorem 1.1, we have

$$\frac{Z(M^*, G)}{A} \cong \frac{L/T}{R/T} \cong \frac{L}{R}.$$

The result is now established. \square

Now, we are in a position to state and prove one of the main results of this section.

Theorem 2.4. *Let (G, N) be a perfect pair of groups with a free presentation $G \cong F/R$ and $N \cong S/R$. Then*

(i) $\delta : [S, F]/[R, F] \rightarrow G$ by $\delta(x[R, F]) = xR$, is a covering pair of (G, N) ;

(ii) The relative central extension $\delta : [S, F]/[R, F] \rightarrow G$ is the universal object in the category $\mathcal{RCE}(G, N)$.

Proof. (i) Consider the following action of G on $[S, F]/[R, F]$

$$\frac{[S, F]}{[R, F]} \times G \rightarrow \frac{[S, F]}{[R, F]}$$

$$([s, f][R, F], g) \mapsto ([s, f][R, F])^g = [s^t, f^t][R, F],$$

where $\pi : F \rightarrow G$ is the epimorphism with $\ker \pi = R$ and $\pi(t) = g$. By the assumption $G = NQ$, let $Q \cong T/R$. Since (G, N) is a perfect pair, $[N, G] = N$, and therefore, $([S, F]R)/R = S/R$. It can be shown that $\delta : [S, F]/[R, F] \rightarrow G$ is a relative central extension of the pair (G, N) . On the other hand, since

$$\ker \delta = (R \cap [S, F])/[R, F] \cong M(G, N),$$

we have

$$\frac{[S, F]/[R, F]}{\ker \delta} \cong \frac{[S, F]}{R \cap [S, F]} \cong \frac{[S, F]R}{R} = \frac{S}{R} \cong N.$$

It is enough to show that

$$\ker \delta \leq \left[\frac{[S, F]}{[R, F]}, G \right].$$

For this, we show that $[[S, F]/[R, F], G] = [S, F]/[R, F]$. Clearly,

$$[[S, F]/[R, F], G] \leq [S, F]/[R, F].$$

Thus we only need to show the reverse containment. We know that

$$\left[\frac{[S, F]}{[R, F]}, G \right] = \langle [x, g] \mid x \in \frac{[S, F]}{[R, F]}, g \in G \rangle,$$

such that

$$[x, g] = x^{-1}x^g = [s, f][R, F]^{-1}([s, f][R, F])^g = [s, f]^{-1}[s, f]^t[R, F],$$

for some $s \in S$ and $f \in F$, where $\pi(t) = g$. Since $S = [S, F]R$, for every $s \in S$, there exist $s' \in S$, $l \in F$ and $r \in R$ such that

$$[s, f] = [[s', l]r, f] = [[s', l], f][[s', l], f, r][r, f].$$

Hence, $[S, F]/[R, F] \leq [[S, F]/[R, F], G]$.

(ii) Let $\sigma : M \rightarrow G$ be a relative central extension of the pair (G, N) , and F be the free group on the set G and $S \trianglelefteq F$ such that $G \cong F/R$ and $N \cong S/R$. Then consider $\delta : [S, F]/[R, F] \rightarrow G$, as mentioned in the earlier part. By Theorem 1.1, there exists a homomorphism

$$\varphi : [S, F]/[R, F] \rightarrow M,$$

such that $\varphi = \beta|_{[S, F]/[R, F]}$. Now, for every $g \in G$ and $\bar{x} \in [S, F]/[R, F]$,

$$\varphi(\bar{x}^g) = \beta(\bar{x}^g) = \begin{cases} \beta(\bar{x})^g = \varphi(\bar{x})^g & \text{if } g \in N \\ \beta(\bar{x}) = \varphi(\bar{x}) & \text{if } g \notin N \end{cases}$$

We have to prove φ preserves the action. To do this, it is enough to show that $\varphi(\bar{x})^g = \varphi(\bar{x})$ for every $g \in G$ and $\bar{x} \in [S, F]/[R, F]$. Define

$$f : \frac{S}{[R, F]} \rightarrow M \\ \bar{s} \mapsto \beta(\bar{s})^g \beta(\bar{s})^{-1}.$$

For every $g \in G$ and $\bar{x} \in [S, F]/[R, F]$, $f(\bar{s}) \in Z(G, M)$. Thus

$$f(\bar{s}^g) = \beta(\bar{s}^g)^g \beta(\bar{s}^g)^{-1} = \begin{cases} (\beta(\bar{s})^g)^g (\beta(\bar{s})^{-1})^g = f(\bar{s})^g & \text{if } g \in N \\ \beta(\bar{s})^g \beta(\bar{s})^{-1} & \text{if } g \notin N \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$f([\bar{s}, g]) = f(\bar{s}^{-1} \bar{s}^g) = \begin{cases} f(\bar{s})^{-1} f(\bar{s})^g = [f(\bar{s}), g] = 1 & \text{if } g \in N \\ f(\bar{s})^{-1} f(\bar{s}) = 1 & \text{if } g \notin N \end{cases}$$

By part (i),

$$f\left(\frac{[S, F]}{[R, F]}\right) = f\left(\left[\frac{[S, F]}{[R, F]}, G\right]\right) = 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\varphi(\bar{x}^g) = \beta(\bar{x}^g) = \beta(\bar{x})^g = \varphi(\bar{x})^g.$$

We claim that φ is unique. To the contrary, let $\varphi_i : [S, F]/[R, F] \rightarrow M$, $i = 1, 2$, be homomorphisms such that

$$\sigma\varphi_1(\bar{s}) = \delta(\bar{s}) = \sigma\varphi_2(\bar{s}).$$

Define

$$\psi : \frac{[S, F]}{[R, F]} \rightarrow M \\ \bar{x} \mapsto \varphi_1(\bar{x})\varphi_2^{-1}(\bar{x}).$$

If $g \in G$ and $\bar{x} \in [S, F]/[R, F]$,

$$\psi(\bar{x}^g) = \varphi_1(\bar{x}^g)\varphi_2^{-1}(\bar{x}^g) = \varphi_1(\bar{x})^g(\varphi_2^{-1}(\bar{x}))^g = (\varphi_1(\bar{x})\varphi_2^{-1}(\bar{x}))^g = \psi(\bar{x})^g.$$

Consequently, $\psi([\bar{x}, g]) = [\psi(\bar{x}), g] = 1$. Now, by (i), we have $[[S, F]/[R, F], G] = [S, F]/[R, F]$, which implies that $\psi = 1$. Hence, the results hold. \square

Note that if (G, N) is a pair of groups with a free presentation $G \cong F/R$ and $N \cong S/R$, then $[S, F]/[R, F]$ is independent from the choice of the free presentation of (G, N) .

The following theorem states a necessary and sufficient condition for a pair of groups to be perfect. It should be noted that Loday [5] proved the following result using the homological method but our proof is different and seems elementary.

Theorem 2.5. *A pair of groups (G, N) is a perfect pair if and only if the category $\mathcal{RCE}(G, N)$ has a universal relative central extension.*

Proof. Necessity is Theorem 2.4. For sufficiency, let

$$1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\rho} G \rightarrow 1$$

be a universal relative central extension of the pair (G, N) . Consider the following relative central extension

$$1 \rightarrow A \times \frac{N}{[N, G]} \rightarrow M \times \frac{N}{[N, G]} \xrightarrow{\psi} N \rightarrow 1,$$

where $\psi(m, \bar{n}) = \rho(m)$, $m \in M$, $\bar{n} \in N/[N, G]$. Define homomorphisms

$$\theta_i : M \rightarrow M \times \frac{N}{[N, G]},$$

$i = 1, 2$, by $\theta_1(m) = (m, 1)$ and $\theta_2(m) = (m, \overline{\rho(m)})$. Then we have $\psi\theta_i = \rho$, $i = 1, 2$, where $\theta_1 = \theta_2$. Consequently, $N = [N, G]$, and hence, (G, N) is a perfect pair. \square

Finally, we intend to consider a covering pair as a pair of groups. If $\sigma : M \rightarrow G$ is a covering pair of (G, N) , then there exists a subgroup A of M such that $A \subseteq Z(M, G) \cap [M, G]$, $A \cong M(G, N)$ and $M/A \cong N$. Now, we can consider (M, A) as a covering pair of (G, N) . It is known that any two covering groups of a finite group G are isoclinic. Moreover, if G is a finite perfect pair of groups, then a covering group of G is also perfect. We intend to investigate these facts for a covering pair as our new viewpoint of a covering pair of groups. In this way, the notion of isoclinism for the pair of groups is needed, which we review from [8].

Definition 2.6. Let (G, N) and (H, K) be two pairs of groups. The pairs (G, N) and (H, K) are said to be isoclinic if there exists isomorphisms $\epsilon : G/Z(G, N) \rightarrow H/Z(H, K)$ and $\eta : [G, N] \rightarrow [H, K]$ such that $\epsilon(N/Z(G, N)) = K/Z(H, K)$ and $\eta([g, n]) = [h, k]$, whenever $\epsilon(gZ(G, N)) = hZ(H, K)$ and $\eta(nZ(G, N)) = kZ(H, K)$. This concept is denoted as $(G, N) \sim (H, K)$.

It is a well-known fact that all covering groups of a given finite group are mutually isoclinic (see [4]). The following lemma helps us to prove this fact for some special types of covering pairs for arbitrary pair of groups.

Lemma 2.7. *Let (G, N) and (H, K) be two pairs of groups. If $\theta : G \rightarrow H$ is an epimorphism such that $\theta(N) = K$ and $\ker\theta \cap N = 1$, then $(G, N) \sim (H, K)$.*

Proof. Define $\epsilon : G/Z(G, N) \rightarrow H/Z(H, K)$ such that $\epsilon(gZ(G, N)) = \theta(h)Z(H, K)$ and $\varphi : [N, G] \rightarrow [K, H]$ by $\varphi([n, g]) = [\theta(n), \theta(g)]$. We can easily see that ϵ and φ are isomorphisms, which yield that $(G, N) \sim (H, K)$. \square

Theorem 2.8. *Let (G, N) be a pair of groups. Then every two covering pairs (P_i, A_i) , $i = 1, 2$, where $P_i = P'_i$ and $\phi(P_i) \neq P_i$ of (G, N) are isoclinic.*

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 2.2, if (P, A) is a covering pair of (G, N) , then there exists an epimorphism $\beta : S/[R, F] \rightarrow P$ such that $\psi((S/[R, F] \cap R)/[R, F]) = A$. Clearly

$$\ker\psi \cap \left[\frac{S}{[R, F]}, \frac{[S, F] \cap R}{[R, F]} \right] = 1.$$

Using Lemma 2.7, we obtain

$$(P, A) \sim \left(\frac{S}{[R, F]}, \frac{[S, F] \cap R}{[R, F]} \right).$$

\square

The following results can be easily obtained if we use the notion of isoclinism for a perfect pair of groups. Note that the results are the generalizations of similar results for perfect groups to perfect pairs (see [4, Lemma 2.4, Corollary 2.5 and Proposition 2.6]).

Lemma 2.9. *Let (G, N) be a finite perfect pair of groups and (H, K) be any finite pair of groups which is isoclinic to (G, N) . Then K is isomorphic to*

$$\frac{N \times Z(H, K)}{Z(G, N) \times (Z(H, K) \cap [H, K])}.$$

Corollary 2.10. *Let (G, N) be a finite perfect pair of groups with trivial center. Then, for any pair of groups isoclinic to (G, N) , say (H, K) , we have K is isomorphic to direct product of N and an abelian group.*

Proposition 2.11. *Let (G, N) be a finite perfect pair of groups and (H, K) be any pair that is isoclinic to (G, N) such that $|N| = |K|$. Then $N \cong K$.*

Proof. The result follows from Lemma 3.9 and the assumption that $|K| = |N|$. \square

REFERENCES

1. G. Ellis, Capability, homology and central series of a pair of groups, *J. Algebra* **179** (1996), 31–46.
2. G. Ellis, The Schur multiplier of a pair of groups, *Appl. Categ. Structures* **6** (1998), 355–371.
3. N. S. Hekster, On the structure of n-isoclinism classes of groups, *J. Pure and Applied Algebra* **40** (1986), 63–85.
4. M. R. Jones, J. Wiegold, Isoclinisms and covering groups, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **11** (1974), 71–76.
5. J. L. Loday, Cohomologie et group de Steinberg relatif, *J. Algebra* **54** (1978), 178–202.
6. G. Karpilovsky, The Schur Multiplier, Oxford Clarendon Press, 1987.
7. A. R. Salemkar, M. R. R. Moghaddam, K. Chiti, Some properties on the Schur multiplier of a pair of groups, *J. Algebra* **312** (2007), 1–8.
8. A. R. Salemkar, F. Saeedi and T. Karimi, The structure of isoclinism of pairs of groups, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* **31** (2007), 1173–1182.

Azam Pourmirzaei

Department of Mathematics, Hakim Sabzevari University, P.O.Box 96179-76487, Sabzevar, Iran.
a.pmirzaei@gmail.com

Mitra Hassanzadeh

Department of Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, P.O.Box 1159-91775, Mashhad, Iran.
mtr.hassanzadeh@gmail.com

Behrooz Mashayekhy

Department of Mathematics, Center of Excellence in Analysis on Algebraic Structures, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, P.O.Box 1159-91775, Mashhad, Iran.
bmashf@um.ac.ir

ON RELATIVE CENTRAL EXTENSIONS AND COVERING PAIRS

A. POURMIRZAEI*, M. HASSANZADEH, AND B. MASHAYEKHY

رویکردی به توسیع مرکزی نسبی و جفت‌های پوششی

اعظم پورمیرزایی^۱، میترا حسن‌زاده و بهروز مشایخی‌فرد^۲
^۱دانشگاه حکیم سبزواری صندوق پستی ۳۹۷-کدپستی ۹۶۱۷۹۷۶۴۸۷،
^۲دانشگاه فردوسی مشهد، صندوق پستی ۱۱۵۹۹۱۷۷۵

فرض کنید (G, N) یک جفت از گروه‌ها باشد. در این مقاله ابتدا یک توسیع مرکزی نسبی برای یک جفت از گروه‌ها می‌یابیم و سپس نشان می‌دهیم برخی از جفت‌های پوششی تصویر همریخت این توسیع می‌باشد. همچنین ثابت می‌کنیم هر جفت کامل دارای حداقل یک جفت پوششی است. در پایان جفت‌های پوششی یک جفت کامل را تحت شرایطی طبقه‌بندی می‌کنیم.

کلمات کلیدی: جفت گروه‌ها، جفت پوششی، توسیع مرکزی نسبی.