

## ANNIHILATING-IDEAL GRAPH OF $C(X)$

M. BADIE

ABSTRACT. The annihilating-ideal graph of the ring  $C(X)$  is studied. It is tried to associate the graph properties of  $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X)$ , the ring properties of  $C(X)$  and the topological properties of  $X$ . It is shown that  $X$  has an isolated point if and only if  $\mathbb{R}$  is a direct summand of  $C(X)$  and this happens if and only if  $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X)$  is not triangulated. Radius, girth, dominating number and clique number of  $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X)$  are investigated. It is proved that  $c(X) \leq \text{dt}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X)) \leq w(X)$  and  $\omega\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X) = \chi\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X) = c(X)$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G = \langle V(G), E(G) \rangle$  be an undirected graph. A vertex adjacent to just one vertex is called a *pendant vertex*. The degree of a vertex of  $G$  is the number of vertices adjacent to the vertex. If  $G$  has a vertex adjacent to all other vertices and all other vertices are pendant, then  $G$  is called a *star graph*. For each pair of vertices  $u$  and  $v$  in  $V(G)$ , the length of the shortest path between  $u$  and  $v$ , denoted by  $d(u, v)$ , is called the *distance* between  $u$  and  $v$ . The *diameter* of  $G$  is defined by  $\text{diam}(G) = \sup\{d(u, v) : u, v \in V(G)\}$ . The *eccentricity* of a vertex  $u$  of  $G$ , denoted by  $\text{ecc}(u)$ , is defined to be  $\max\{d(u, v) : v \in G\}$ . The minimum of  $\{\text{ecc}(u) : u \in G\}$ , denoted by  $\text{Rad}(G)$ , is called the *radius* of  $G$ . For every  $u, v \in V(G)$ , we denote the length of the shortest cycle containing  $u$  and  $v$  by  $\text{gi}(u, v)$  and the minimum

---

DOI: 10.22044/jas.2021.10008.1496.

MSC(2010): 54C40, 05C25.

Keywords: Rings of real-valued continuous functions, Annihilating-ideal graph, Clique number, Chromatic number, Cellularity.

Received: 24 August 2020, Accepted: 10 February 2021.

length of cycles in  $G$ , is denoted by  $\text{girth}(G)$  and is called the *girth* of graph, so  $\text{girth}(G) = \min\{\text{gi}(u, v) : u, v \in V(G)\}$ . We say  $G$  is *triangulated* (*hypertriangulated*) if each vertex (edge) of  $G$  is a vertex (edge) of some triangle. A subset  $D$  of  $V(G)$  is called a *dominating set* if for each  $u \in V(G) \setminus D$ , there is some  $v \in D$ , such that  $v$  is adjacent to  $u$ . The *dominating number* of  $G$ , denoted by  $\text{dt}(G)$ , is the smallest cardinal number of dominating sets of  $G$ . Two vertices  $u$  and  $v$  are called *orthogonal* and is denote by  $u \perp v$ , if  $u$  and  $v$  are adjacent and there is no vertex which adjacent to both vertices  $u$  and  $v$ . If for every  $u \in V(G)$ , there is some  $v \in V(G)$  such that  $u \perp v$ , then  $G$  is called *complemented*. A subset of a graph  $G$  is called a *clique* of  $G$  if each pair of vertices of this subset are adjacent. The supremum of the cardinality of cliques of  $G$ , denoted by  $\omega(G)$ , is called the *clique number* of  $G$ . The *chromatic number* of  $G$ , denoted by  $\chi(G)$ , is the minimum cardinal number of colors needed to color vertices of  $G$  so that no two vertices have the same color. Clearly,  $\omega(G) \leq \chi(G)$ . A subset of vertices of a graph is called *independent* if no two adjacent vertices of this subset are adjacent. A *bipartite* graph is a graph whose vertices can be divided into two disjoint and independent sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that every edge connects a vertex in  $U$  to one in  $V$ .

Throughout the paper,  $R$  denotes a commutative ring with unity. For each subset  $S$  of  $R$  and each element  $a$  of  $R$ , we denote  $\{x \in R : ax \in S\}$  by  $(S : a)$ . When  $I = \langle 0 \rangle$  we write  $\text{Ann}(a)$  instead of  $(\langle 0 \rangle : a)$  and call this the *annihilator* of  $a$ . If for each subset  $S$  of  $R$ , there is some  $a \in R$  such that  $\text{Ann}(S) = \text{Ann}(a)$ , then we say  $R$  satisfies *infinite annihilating condition* ( $R$  is an i.a.c ring). An ideal  $I$  is called annihilating ideal if  $\text{Ann}(I) \neq \{0\}$ . We denote the family of all non-zero annihilating ideals of  $R$  by  $\mathbb{A}(R)^*$ . We denote by  $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(R)$  the graph with vertices  $\mathbb{A}(R)^*$ , and two distinct vertices  $I$  and  $J$  are adjacent, if  $IJ = \{0\}$ .

In this paper,  $X$  denotes a Tychonoff space and  $C(X)$  denotes the set of all real-valued continuous functions on  $X$ . The *weight* of  $X$ , denoted by  $w(X)$ , is the infimum of the cardinalities of bases of  $X$ . The *cellularity* of  $X$ , denoted by  $c(X)$ , is defined as

$$\sup\{|\mathcal{U}| : \mathcal{U} \text{ is a family of mutually disjoint nonempty open subsets of } X\}.$$

For any  $f \in C(X)$ , we denote  $f^{-1}\{0\}$  and  $X \setminus f^{-1}\{0\}$  by  $Z(f)$  and  $\text{Coz}(f)$ , respectively. Every set of the form  $Z(f)$ (resp.,  $\text{Coz}(f)$ ) is called a *zeroset* (resp., *cozero set*). The family of all zerosets of  $X$  is denoted by  $Z(X)$ . An ideal  $I$  of  $C(X)$  is called *fixed* (*free*) if  $\bigcap_{f \in I} Z(f) \neq \emptyset$  ( $\bigcap_{f \in I} Z(f) = \emptyset$ ). For a subset  $A$  of  $X$ , we denote  $\{f \in C(X) : A \subseteq Z(f)\}$  and  $\{f \in C(X) : A \subseteq Z(f)^\circ\}$  by  $M_A$  and  $O_A$ , respectively. When  $A = \{p\}$ , we write  $M_p$  and  $O_p$

instead of  $M_{\{p\}}$  and  $O_{\{p\}}$ , respectively; it is clear that  $M_A = \bigcap_{p \in A} M_p$  and  $O_A = \bigcap_{p \in A} O_p$ . For each space  $X$ ,  $\beta X$  denotes Stone-Cěch compactification of  $X$ . By [11, Theorem 7.3(Gelfand-Kolmogoroff)],  $\{M^p : p \in \beta X\}$  is the family of all maximal ideal of  $C(X)$ . An ideal  $I$  of  $C(X)$  is called a  $z$ -ideal, if the conditions  $Z(f) = Z(g)$  and  $f \in I$ , implies  $g \in I$ . For each subset  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $Z(X)$  and  $S$  of  $C(X)$ , we denote  $\{f \in C(X) : Z(f) \in \mathcal{F}\}$  and  $\{Z(f) : f \in S\}$  by  $Z^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$  and  $Z(S)$ , respectively. Clearly, for every ideal  $I$  of  $C(X)$ ,  $Z^{-1}(Z(I))$  is the smallest  $z$ -ideal containing  $I$ . For more details, we refer the reader to [4, 9, 11, 15].

Graphs on  $C(X)$  are studied in a number of interesting investigations, in which attempts are made to associate the ring properties of  $C(X)$ , the graph properties of graphs on  $C(X)$  and the topological properties of  $X$ . In [3, 5, 6], the zero-divisor graph, the comaximal ideal graph of  $C(X)$  and comaximal graph of  $C(X)$  were studied. Papers [7, 8] are studies that embarked on investigating the annihilating-ideal graph of commutative rings. Later on, this line of research was pursued in several papers, including [1, 2, 10, 12, 13, 14].

The main purpose of this paper is studying the annihilating-ideal graph of  $C(X)$ . We abbreviate  $\mathbb{A}(C(X))^*$  and  $\mathbb{AG}(C(X))$  by  $\mathbb{A}(X)^*$  and  $\mathbb{AG}(X)$ , respectively. If  $|X| = 1$ , then  $\mathbb{A}(X)^* = \emptyset$ , so we assume  $|X| > 1$ , throughout the paper.

In the rest part of this section, we put forward a number of propositions immediately concluded from the native algebraic properties of  $C(X)$  and [5, 7, 8]. In Section 2, we define maps  $\mathbf{O}$  from the family of all subsets of  $C(X)$  onto the family of all open subsets of  $X$  and  $\mathbf{I}$  from the family of all subsets of  $X$  into the family of all ideals of  $C(X)$ . We study these maps and apply these notions to study the graph. We show that  $I$  is adjacent to  $J$  if and only if  $\mathbf{O}(I) \cap \mathbf{O}(J) = \emptyset$ , the non-zero ideal  $I$  is an annihilating ideal if and only if  $\overline{\mathbf{O}(I)} \neq X$ ,  $\mathbf{I}(U) \in \mathbb{A}(X)^*$  if and only if  $\overline{U}^\circ \neq \emptyset$ . In Section 3, we investigate the radius of the graph and we show that  $\mathbb{AG}(X)$  is a star graph if and only if  $|X| = 2$ . Section 4, is devoted to the girth of the graph. In this section we show that if  $|X| > 2$ , then  $\text{girth}\mathbb{AG}(X) = 3$ ; also, we show that an ideal  $I$  in  $\mathbb{A}(X)^*$  is a pendant vertex if and only if  $X \setminus \overline{\mathbf{O}(I)}$  is singleton. The study of dominating number of the graph is the subject of Section 5. In this section we show that both  $\omega\mathbb{AG}(X)$  and  $\chi\mathbb{AG}(X)$  are identical with the cellularity of  $X$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** *The following statements are equivalent.*

- (a)  $|X| = 2$ .
- (b)  $\text{diam}(\mathbb{AG}(X)) = 1$ .
- (c)  $\omega\mathbb{AG}(X) = 2$ .
- (d)  $\mathbb{AG}(X)$  is a bipartite graph by two nonempty parts.
- (e)  $\mathbb{AG}(X)$  is a complete bipartite graph by two nonempty parts.

*Proof.* It is concluded from [8, Theorem 1.4] and [14, Corollary 2.1].  $\square$

**Proposition 1.2.** *The following statements hold.*

- (a)  $X$  has at least 3 points, if and only if  $\text{diam}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X)) = 3$ .
- (b)  $\chi(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X)) = \omega(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X))$ .

*Proof.* (a). It is concluded from [8, Proposition 1.1], [5, Corollary 1.3] and the previous proposition.

(b). It is evident, by [8, Corollary 2.11].  $\square$

The following proposition is an immediate consequence of [7, Theorem 1.4], [8, Corollaries 2.11 and 2.12] and the fact that  $X$  is finite if and only if  $C(X)$  has just finitely many ideals. We note that for each ring  $R$  the zero-divisor graph  $\Gamma(R)$  is a graph with vertices of all nonzero zero-divisor elements of  $R$ , and two vertices  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent, if  $xy = 0$ .

**Proposition 1.3.** *The following statements are equivalent.*

- (a)  $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X)$  is a finite graph.
- (b)  $C(X)$  has only finitely many ideals.
- (c) Every vertex of  $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X)$  has a finite degree.
- (d)  $X$  is finite.
- (e)  $\chi(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X))$  is finite.
- (f)  $\omega(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X))$  is finite.
- (g)  $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X)$  does not have an infinite clique.
- (h)  $\chi(\Gamma(C(X)))$  is finite.

## 2. $\mathbf{I}(U)$ AND $\mathbf{O}(I)$

We denote (for simplicity and studying map properties) two concepts in the form of maps. For each subset  $S$  of  $C(X)$ , we denote  $\bigcup_{f \in S} \text{Coz}(f)$  by  $\mathbf{O}(S)$ , and for each subset  $U$  of  $X$ , we denote  $\{f \in C(X) : U \subseteq \mathbf{Z}(f)\} = M_U = \bigcap_{a \in U} M_a$  by  $\mathbf{I}(U)$ . It is clear that  $\mathbf{O}(S) = X \setminus \left( \bigcap_{f \in S} \mathbf{Z}(f) \right)$  and if  $G$  is an open set in  $X$ , then  $\mathbf{I}(G) = O_G$ . First, in this section we study the properties of these maps, then utilizing the maps, the edges and vertices of  $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X)$  are investigated.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $S$  and  $T$  be two subsets of  $C(X)$ ,  $f$  be an element of  $C(X)$  and  $U, V$  be two subsets of  $X$ . The following hold.*

- (a) If  $S \subseteq T$ , then  $\mathbf{O}(S) \subseteq \mathbf{O}(T)$ .
- (b) If  $U \subseteq V$ , then  $\mathbf{I}(V) \subseteq \mathbf{I}(U)$ .
- (c)  $\mathbf{O}(S) = \emptyset$  if and only if  $S = \{0\}$ .
- (d)  $\mathbf{O}(S) = X$  if and only if  $\langle S \rangle$  is a free ideal.
- (e)  $\mathbf{I}(U) = \{0\}$  if and only if  $U$  is dense in  $X$ .
- (f)  $\mathbf{I}(U) = C(X)$  if and only if  $U = \emptyset$ .
- (g)  $\mathbf{O}(\langle f \rangle) = \text{Coz}(f)$ .
- (h)  $\mathbf{I}(U) = \mathbf{I}(\overline{U})$ .

*Proof.* It is straightforward.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $S$  be a subset of  $C(X)$ . If  $I = \langle S \rangle$ , then  $\mathbf{O}(I) = \mathbf{O}(S)$ .*

*Proof.* It is straightforward.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $\{I_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  be a family of ideals of  $C(X)$ ,  $I$  and  $J$  be ideals of  $C(X)$ ,  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  be a family of subsets of  $X$  and  $U$  and  $V$  be subsets of  $X$ . Then the following hold.*

- (a)  $\mathbf{O}(\sum_{\alpha \in A} I_\alpha) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} \mathbf{O}(I_\alpha)$ .
- (b)  $\mathbf{O}(\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} I_\alpha) \subseteq \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \mathbf{O}(I_\alpha)$ .
- (c)  $\mathbf{I}(\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \mathbf{I}(U_\alpha)$ .
- (d)  $\mathbf{O}(I \cap J) = \mathbf{O}(I) \cap \mathbf{O}(J)$ .
- (e)  $\mathbf{I}(U \cap V) \supseteq \mathbf{I}(U) + \mathbf{I}(V)$ .

*Proof.* It is straightforward.  $\square$

In the following examples we show that the equality in parts (b) and (e) of the above proposition need not be established.

**Example 2.4.** Consider the ring  $C(\mathbb{R})$ . For each  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ , we have  $\mathbf{O}(M_r) = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{r\}$ , thus  $\bigcap_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} \mathbf{O}(M_r) = \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . Also  $\bigcap_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} M_r = M_{\mathbb{Q}} = \{0\}$ , so  $\mathbf{O}(\bigcap_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} M_r) = \mathbf{O}(\{0\}) = \emptyset$ .

**Example 2.5.** Consider  $C(\mathbb{R})$ . Easily we can see that,  $\mathbf{I}(\mathbb{Q}) = \{0\} = \mathbf{I}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q})$ , and thus  $\mathbf{I}[\mathbb{Q} \cap (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q})] = \mathbf{I}(\emptyset) = C(\mathbb{R}) \neq \{0\} = \mathbf{I}(\mathbb{Q}) + \mathbf{I}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q})$ .

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $U$  and  $V$  be subsets of  $X$ . The following are equivalent.*

- (a)  $U \cup V$  is dense in  $X$ .
- (b)  $\mathbf{I}(U) \cap \mathbf{I}(V) = \{0\}$ .
- (c)  $\mathbf{I}(U)\mathbf{I}(V) = \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.3.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $C(X)$  and  $U$  be a subset of  $X$ . The following hold.*

- (a)  $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{I}(U)) = (X \setminus U)^\circ$ .
- (b)  $\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{O}(I)) = \text{Ann}(I)$ .
- (c)  $(\mathbf{IO})^3(I) = (\mathbf{IO})(I)$ .
- (d)  $\mathbf{O}(\text{Ann}(I)) = (X \setminus \mathbf{O}(I))^\circ$ .

*Proof.* (a).  $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{I}(U)) = \bigcup_{f \in \mathbf{I}(U)} \text{Coz}(f) = \bigcup_{Z(f) \supseteq U} \text{Coz}(f) = \bigcup_{\text{Coz}(f) \subseteq X \setminus U} \text{Coz}(f) =$

$(X \setminus U)^\circ$ .

(b).

$$\begin{aligned}
f \in \text{Ann}(I) &\Leftrightarrow \forall g \in I \quad fg = 0 \\
&\Leftrightarrow \forall g \in I \quad Z(f) \cup Z(g) = X \\
&\Leftrightarrow \forall g \in I \quad \text{Coz}(g) \subseteq Z(f) \\
&\Leftrightarrow \bigcup_{g \in I} \text{Coz}(g) \subseteq Z(f) \\
&\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{O}(I) \subseteq Z(f) \\
&\Leftrightarrow f \in \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{O}(I))
\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\text{Ann}(I) = \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{O}(I))$ .

(c). Since  $\text{Ann}^3(I) = \text{Ann}(I)$ , it follows from (b), immediately.

(d). By (b) and (a),  $\mathbf{O}(\text{Ann}(I)) = \mathbf{O}(\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{O}(I))) = (X \setminus \mathbf{O}(I))^\circ$ .  $\square$

Now the following corollary can be concluded from parts (a) and (e) of Proposition 2.7 and Lemma 2.1(e).

**Corollary 2.8.** *Suppose that  $I$  is a non-zero ideal of  $C(X)$  and  $U \subseteq X$ .*

(a)  $I \in \mathbb{A}(X)^*$  if and only if  $\overline{\mathbf{O}(I)} \neq X$ .

(b)  $\mathbf{I}(U) \in \mathbb{A}(X)^*$  if and only if  $\overline{U} \neq \emptyset$ .

**Corollary 2.9.** *If  $I$  is an annihilating ideal of  $C(X)$ , then  $I$  is a fixed ideal.*

*Proof.* Since  $I$  is annihilating,  $\text{Ann}(I) \neq \{0\}$ , so Proposition 2.7, deduces  $\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{O}(I)) \neq \{0\}$ , hence  $\mathbf{O}(I)$  is not dense, by Lemma 2.1. Thus  $\mathbf{O}(I) \neq X$  and therefore  $\bigcap_{f \in I} Z(f) = X \setminus \mathbf{O}(I) \neq \emptyset$ , so  $I$  is a fixed ideal.  $\square$

The converse of the above corollary need not be true, for instance  $M_0 \subseteq C(\mathbb{R})$  is a fixed ideal which is not an annihilating ideal.

**Corollary 2.10.** *Let  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $C(X)$ .  $P$  is annihilating if and only if there is some isolated point  $p$  in  $X$  such that  $P = M_p = O_p$ .*

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ). By Corollary 2.9,  $P$  is fixed, so there is some  $p \in X$  such that  $O_p \subseteq P \subseteq M_p$ . Thus  $\mathbf{O}(P) = X \setminus \left( \bigcap_{f \in P} Z(f) \right) = X \setminus \{p\}$ . Since  $P$  is annihilating,  $\text{Ann}(P) \neq \{0\}$  and therefore  $\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{O}(P)) \neq \{0\}$ , by Proposition 2.7. Now Lemma 2.1, deduces  $X \setminus \{p\}$  is not dense and thus  $p$  is an isolated point. Consequently,  $P = M_p = O_p$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ). Since  $P = M_p$ ,  $\mathbf{O}(P) = X \setminus \left( \bigcap_{f \in P} Z(f) \right) = X \setminus \{p\}$ . Since  $p$  is an isolated point, it follows that  $\mathbf{O}(P)$  is not dense in  $X$ , thus  $\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{O}(P)) \neq \{0\}$ , by Lemma 2.1. Now Proposition 2.7, entails that  $\text{Ann}(P) \neq \{0\}$  and therefore  $P$  is annihilating.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.11.** *If  $G$  is an open subset of  $X$ , then an ideal  $I$  exists such that  $\mathbf{O}(I) = G$ . In other words,  $\mathbf{O}$  maps the family of all ideals of  $C(X)$  onto the family of all open subsets of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Put  $I = \langle \{f \in C(X) : \text{Coz}(f) \subseteq G\} \rangle$ . Then by Proposition 2.2,

$$\mathbf{O}(I) = \mathbf{O}(\langle \{f : \text{Coz}(f) \subseteq G\} \rangle) = \bigcup_{\text{Coz}(f) \subseteq G} \text{Coz}(f) = G \quad \square$$

Now we note that for each ideal  $I$  of  $C(X)$ , the ideal  $I_z$  means the smallest  $z$ -ideal containing  $I$ ; i.e.  $I_z$  is the intersection of all  $z$ -ideals containing  $I$ .

**Lemma 2.12.** *For each ideal  $I$  of  $C(X)$ , we have  $\mathbf{O}(I_z) = \mathbf{O}(I)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $Z(I) = Z(I_z)$ , so  $\{\text{Coz}(f) : f \in I\} = \{\text{Coz}(f) : f \in I_z\}$  and therefore  $\mathbf{O}(I_z) = \mathbf{O}(I)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.13.**  *$\mathbf{O}$  is a map from the family of all  $z$ -ideals of  $C(X)$  onto the family of all open sets of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear by Lemmas 2.11 and 2.12.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.14.** *Let  $I$  and  $J$  be two ideals of  $C(X)$ . The following statements hold*

- (a)  $IJ = \{0\}$  if and only if  $\mathbf{O}(I) \cap \mathbf{O}(J) = \emptyset$ .
- (b)  $I\text{Ann}(J) = \{0\}$  if and only if  $\mathbf{O}(I) \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{O}(J)}$ .
- (c)  $\text{Ann}(I)\text{Ann}(J) = \{0\}$  if and only if  $\overline{\mathbf{O}(I) \cup \mathbf{O}(J)} = X$ .
- (d)  $\mathbf{O}(I) = \mathbf{O}(J)$  if and only if  $\text{Ann}(I) = \text{Ann}(J)$ .
- (e)  $\mathbf{I}(U)I = \{0\}$  if and only if  $\mathbf{O}(I) \subseteq \overline{U}$ .

*Proof.* (a  $\Rightarrow$ ). Since  $IJ = \{0\}$ ,  $I \subseteq \text{Ann}(J)$ , thus  $I \subseteq \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{O}(J))$ , by Proposition 2.7(b). Now suppose that  $f \in I$ , then  $f \in \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{O}(J))$ , hence  $Z(f) \supseteq \mathbf{O}(J)$ , so  $\text{Coz}(f) \subseteq X \setminus \mathbf{O}(J)$ . It follows that  $\mathbf{O}(I) = \bigcup_{f \in I} \text{Coz}(f) \subseteq X \setminus \mathbf{O}(J)$  and therefore  $\mathbf{O}(I) \cap \mathbf{O}(J) = \emptyset$ .

(a  $\Leftarrow$ ). Suppose that  $f \in I$  and  $g \in J$ , then  $\text{Coz}(f) \subseteq \mathbf{O}(I)$  and  $\text{Coz}(g) \subseteq \mathbf{O}(J)$ , thus  $\text{Coz}(f) \cap \text{Coz}(g) \subseteq \mathbf{O}(I) \cap \mathbf{O}(J) = \emptyset$ , so  $fg = 0$  and therefore  $IJ = \{0\}$ .

(b). Considering part (a),  $I\text{Ann}(J) = \{0\}$  if and only if  $\mathbf{O}(I) \cap \mathbf{O}(\text{Ann}(J)) = \emptyset$ . By Proposition 2.7, it is equivalent to  $\mathbf{O}(I) \cap (X \setminus \mathbf{O}(J))^\circ = \emptyset$ . It is equivalent to  $\mathbf{O}(I) \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{O}(J)}$ .

(c). According to part (a),  $\text{Ann}(I)\text{Ann}(J) = \{0\}$  if and only if  $\mathbf{O}(\text{Ann}(I)) \cap \mathbf{O}(\text{Ann}(J)) = \emptyset$  if and only if  $(X \setminus \mathbf{O}(J))^\circ \cap (X \setminus \mathbf{O}(I))^\circ = \emptyset$ , By Proposition 2.7. It is equivalent to stating that  $\overline{\mathbf{O}(I) \cup \mathbf{O}(J)} = X$ .

(d). Via part (b),

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathbf{O}(I)} = \overline{\mathbf{O}(J)} &\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{O}(I) \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{O}(J)} \text{ and } \mathbf{O}(J) \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{O}(I)} \\ &\Leftrightarrow I\text{Ann}(J) = \{0\} \text{ and } J\text{Ann}(I) = \{0\} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{Ann}(J) \subseteq \text{Ann}(I) \text{ and } \text{Ann}(I) \subseteq \text{Ann}(J) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{Ann}(I) = \text{Ann}(J). \end{aligned}$$

(e). Through part (a) and Proposition 2.7,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{I}(U) = \{0\} &\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{O}(I) \cap \mathbf{O}(\mathbf{I}(U)) = \emptyset \\
&\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{O}(I) \cap (X \setminus U)^\circ = \emptyset \\
&\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{O}(I) \cap X \setminus \bar{U} = \emptyset \\
&\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{O}(I) \subseteq \bar{U}. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 2.15.** *Suppose that  $I, J \in \mathbb{A}(R)^*$ . Then  $I$  and  $J$  are adjacent if and only if each maximal ideal of  $C(X)$  contains either  $I$  or  $J$ .*

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ). It is clear.

( $\Leftarrow$ ). By the assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\forall p \in X \quad I \subseteq M_p \quad \text{or} \quad J \subseteq M_p \\
\Rightarrow &\forall p \in X \quad p \in \bigcap_{f \in I} Z(f) \quad \text{or} \quad p \in \bigcap_{f \in J} Z(f) \\
\Rightarrow &\left( \bigcap_{f \in I} Z(f) \right) \cup \left( \bigcap_{f \in J} Z(f) \right) = X \\
\Rightarrow &\mathbf{O}(I) \cap \mathbf{O}(J) = \emptyset
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $I$  and  $J$  are adjacent, by Theorem 2.14(a).  $\square$

**Corollary 2.16.** *Suppose that  $I, J \in \mathbb{A}(X)^*$ . Then  $I$  and  $J$  are orthogonal if and only if  $\mathbf{O}(I) \cap \mathbf{O}(J) = \emptyset$  and  $\mathbf{O}(I) \cup \mathbf{O}(J) = X$ .*

*Proof.* It is verifiable through Theorem 2.14(a) and Corollary 2.8(a).  $\square$

**Proposition 2.17.** *If each closed set of  $X$  is a zero set, then  $C(X)$  is an i.a.c. ring.*

*Proof.* By the assumption, each open set of  $X$  is a cozero set and thus  $\mathbf{O}$  is a map from the family all ideals of  $C(X)$  onto the family all cozero sets of  $X$ , by Lemma 2.11. Suppose that  $S$  is a subset of  $X$  and set  $I = \langle S \rangle$ . Now we can conclude that there is some  $f \in C(X)$  such that  $\mathbf{O}(I) = \mathbf{O}(S) = \text{Coz}(f)$ . Thus, by Lemma 2.1(g), Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 2.14(a),

$$\begin{aligned}
g \in \text{Ann}(I) &\Leftrightarrow gI = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{O}(\langle g \rangle) \cap \mathbf{O}(I) = \emptyset \\
&\Leftrightarrow \text{Coz}(g) \cap \text{Coz}(f) = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow gf = 0 \\
&\Leftrightarrow g \in \text{Ann}(f)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\text{Ann}(S) = \text{Ann}(I) = \text{Ann}(f)$ , i.e.  $C(X)$  is an i.a.c. ring.  $\square$

### 3. RADIUS OF THE GRAPH

In this section, some topological properties of  $X$  are linked to the distance and eccentricity of vertices of  $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X)$ , then by these facts we study the radius of the graph.



**Lemma 3.1.** For any ideals  $I$  and  $J$  in  $\mathbb{A}(X)^*$ ,

- (a)  $d(I, J) = 1$  if and only if  $\mathbf{O}(I) \cap \mathbf{O}(J) = \emptyset$ .
- (b)  $d(I, J) = 2$  if and only if  $\mathbf{O}(I) \cap \mathbf{O}(J) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\overline{\mathbf{O}(I) \cup \mathbf{O}(J)} \neq X$ .
- (c)  $d(I, J) = 3$  if and only if  $\mathbf{O}(I) \cap \mathbf{O}(J) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\overline{\mathbf{O}(I) \cup \mathbf{O}(J)} = X$ .

*Proof.* (a). It is evident, by Theorem 2.14.

(b  $\Rightarrow$ ). Since  $I$  is not adjacent to  $J$ ,  $\mathbf{O}(I) \cap \mathbf{O}(J) \neq \emptyset$ , by Theorem 2.14. By the assumption there is an ideal  $K$  in  $\mathbb{A}(X)^*$  such that  $K$  is adjacent to both ideals  $I$  and  $J$ . Now Lemma 2.1 concludes that  $\mathbf{O}(K) \neq \emptyset$  and also Theorem 2.14 implies that  $\mathbf{O}(I) \cap \mathbf{O}(K) = \emptyset$  and  $\mathbf{O}(J) \cap \mathbf{O}(K) = \emptyset$ , hence  $\mathbf{O}(K) \cap (\mathbf{O}(I) \cup \mathbf{O}(J)) = \emptyset$  and thus  $\overline{\mathbf{O}(I) \cup \mathbf{O}(J)} \neq X$ .

(b  $\Leftarrow$ ). Theorem 2.14 follows that  $I$  is not adjacent to  $J$ . Set  $H = \mathbf{O}(I) \cup \mathbf{O}(J)$  and  $K = \mathbf{I}(H)$ . Since  $\emptyset \neq H \subseteq \overline{H} \neq \emptyset$ , by Corollary 2.8,  $\mathbf{I}(H) \in \mathbb{A}(X)^*$ . Since  $\mathbf{O}(I), \mathbf{O}(J) \subseteq H \subseteq \overline{H}$ ,  $IK = JK = \{0\}$ , by Theorem 2.14. Hence  $K$  is adjacent to both ideals  $I$  and  $J$ , thus  $d(I, J) = 2$ .

(c). It follows from (a), (b) and [7, Theorem 2.1].  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $f \in C(X)$ ,  $I$  be an ideal of  $C(X)$  and  $p \in \mathbf{O}(I)$ . If  $\text{Coz}(f) \subseteq \{p\}$  and  $p$  is an isolated point of  $X$ , then  $f \in I$ .

*Proof.* Since  $p \in \mathbf{O}(I)$ , there is some  $g \in I$  such that  $p \in \text{Coz}(g)$ . Set

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(p)}{g(p)} & x = p \\ 0 & x \neq p \end{cases}$$

Since  $p$  is an isolated point,  $h \in C(X)$ . Now we have  $f = gh$  and therefore  $f \in I$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.** Suppose that  $I$  is a non-zero annihilating ideal of  $C(X)$ . The following statements hold.

- (a)  $\text{ecc}(I) = 3$  if and only if  $\mathbf{O}(I)$  is not a singleton.
- (b)  $\text{ecc}(I) = 2$  if and only if  $\mathbf{O}(I)$  is a singleton and  $|X| > 2$ .
- (c)  $\text{ecc}(I) = 1$  if and only if  $\mathbf{O}(I)$  is a singleton and  $|X| = 2$ .

*Proof.* (a  $\Rightarrow$ ). There is some  $J \in \mathbb{A}(X)^*$  such that  $d(I, J) = 3$ . Lemma 3.1, concludes that  $\mathbf{O}(I) \cap \mathbf{O}(J) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\overline{\mathbf{O}(I) \cup \mathbf{O}(J)} = X$ . If  $\mathbf{O}(I)$  is a singleton, then  $\mathbf{O}(I) \subseteq \mathbf{O}(J)$  and therefore  $\overline{\mathbf{O}(J)} = \overline{\mathbf{O}(I) \cup \mathbf{O}(J)} = X$ , so  $J \notin \mathbb{A}(X)^*$ , by Corollary 2.8, which is a contradiction.

(a  $\Leftarrow$ ). There are distinct points  $p$  and  $q$  in  $\mathbf{O}(I)$ , so there are disjoint open sets  $H, K \subseteq \mathbf{O}(I)$  such that  $p \in H$  and  $q \in K$ . By Lemma 2.11, there is some ideal  $J$  such that  $\mathbf{O}(J) = H \cup X \setminus \overline{\mathbf{O}(I)}$ . Since  $q \notin \overline{\mathbf{O}(J)}$  and  $p \in \mathbf{O}(J)$ , Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 2.8, conclude that  $J \in \mathbb{A}(X)^*$ . Then

$$H \subseteq \mathbf{O}(I) \cap \mathbf{O}(J) \Rightarrow \mathbf{O}(I) \cap \mathbf{O}(J) \neq \emptyset$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{O}(I) \cup \mathbf{O}(J)} \supseteq \overline{\mathbf{O}(I)} \cup (X \setminus \overline{\mathbf{O}(I)}) = X \Rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{O}(I) \cup \mathbf{O}(J)} = X$$

Hence  $d(I, J) = 3$ , by Lemma 3.1. Consequently,  $\text{ecc}(I) = 3$ .

(c  $\Rightarrow$ ). Since  $\text{ecc}(I) = 1$ ,  $I$  is adjacent to any element of  $\mathbb{A}(X)^*$ . By (a),  $\mathbf{O}(I)$  is a singleton, thus there is some isolated point  $p \in X$  such that  $\mathbf{O}(I) = \{p\}$ . Since  $\emptyset \neq X \setminus \{p\}$  is open, by Lemma 2.11, there is some ideal  $J$ , such that  $\mathbf{O}(J) = X \setminus \{p\}$ . Since  $\mathbf{O}(J) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\overline{\mathbf{O}(J)} = X \setminus \{p\} \neq X$ , we obtain that  $J \in \mathbb{A}(X)^*$ , by Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 2.8. Since  $\text{ecc}(I) = 1$ ,  $\text{ecc}(J) \leq 2$ , so  $\mathbf{O}(J)$  is a singleton, by part (a), and therefore  $|X| = 2$ .

(c  $\Leftarrow$ ).  $C(X) \cong \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ , so  $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X)$  is a star graph, by [7, Corollary 2.3]. Since  $\mathbb{A}(X)^*$  has just two elements, it follows that  $\text{ecc}(I) = 1$ .

(b). It concludes from (a) and (c).  $\square$

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of the above theorem.

**Corollary 3.4.**  $|X| = 2$  if and only if  $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X)$  is a star.

Now we can determine the radius of the graph.

**Theorem 3.5.** For any topological space  $X$ ,

$$\text{Rad}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |X| = 2 \\ 2 & \text{if } |X| > 2 \text{ and } X \text{ has an isolated point.} \\ 3 & \text{if } |X| > 2 \text{ and } X \text{ does not have any isolated point.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* It is a straight consequence of Lemma 2.11 and Proposition 3.3.  $\square$

#### 4. GIRTH OF THE GRAPH

In this section, first we provide an equivalent topological property to pendant vertices, then we show that if  $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X)$  has a cycle then  $\text{girth}\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X) = 3$ . Finally we attempt to associate the graph properties of  $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X)$ , the ring properties of  $C(X)$  and the topological properties of  $X$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** Suppose that  $Y$  is a clopen subset of  $X$ . Then for each ideal  $I$  of  $C(X)$ , there are ideals  $I_1, I_2$  of  $C(X)$  such that  $I = I_1 \oplus I_2$  and  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are ideals of  $M_Y \cong C(X \setminus Y)$  and  $M_{X \setminus Y} \cong C(Y)$ , respectively.

*Proof.* Considering the fact that  $Y$  is clopen,  $C(X) \cong C(Y) \oplus C(X \setminus Y)$ , it is straightforward.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.2.** Let  $I \in \mathbb{A}(X)^*$ . Then  $X \setminus \overline{\mathbf{O}(I)}$  is a singleton if and only if  $I$  is a pendant vertex.

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow$ ). Suppose that  $X \setminus \overline{\mathbf{O}(I)} = \{p\}$ . Since  $\{p\}$  is open, by Lemma 2.11, there is an ideal  $J$  such that  $\mathbf{O}(J) = \{p\}$ , then  $\overline{\mathbf{O}(J)} = \{p\}$ , and therefore  $J \in \mathbb{A}(X)^*$ , by Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 2.8. Also  $\mathbf{O}(I) \cap \mathbf{O}(J) = \emptyset$ , so  $I$  is adjacent to  $J$ , by Theorem 2.14. Suppose that  $K$  is adjacent to  $I$  and  $Y = \overline{\mathbf{O}(I)}$ . Then  $\mathbf{O}(K) \cap \mathbf{O}(I) = \emptyset$ , by Theorem 2.14, thus  $\mathbf{O}(K) \subseteq X \setminus \overline{\mathbf{O}(I)} = \{p\}$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $\mathbf{O}(K) \neq \emptyset$ , so  $\mathbf{O}(K) = \{p\}$ . Since  $\{p\}$  is clopen, by Lemma 4.1, it follows that there are ideals  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  of  $M_p \cong C(Y)$  and  $M_Y \cong C(\{p\}) \cong \mathbb{R}$ , respectively, such that  $K = K_1 \oplus K_2$ .

If  $K_1 \neq \{0\}$ , then  $0 \neq f \in K_1 \subseteq K$  exists, so there is a  $q \in Y$  such that  $f(q) \neq 0$ , thus  $p \neq q \in \text{Coz}(f) \subseteq \mathbf{O}(K)$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $K_1 = \{0\}$ , since  $K \neq \{0\}$ , it follows that  $K_2 = M_Y$ , thus  $K = M_Y$ , and this completes the proof.

$\Leftarrow$ ). Suppose that  $X \setminus \overline{\mathbf{O}(I)}$  is not a singleton, so distinct points  $p, q$  in  $X \setminus \overline{\mathbf{O}(I)}$  exist. Since  $X \setminus \overline{\mathbf{O}(I)}$  is open and  $X$  is Hausdorff, there are disjoint open sets  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  containing  $p$  and  $q$ , respectively, in which  $H_1 \cap \mathbf{O}(I) = H_2 \cap \mathbf{O}(I) = \emptyset$ . Now Lemma 2.11, implies that there are ideals  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  such that  $\mathbf{O}(J_1) = H_1$  and  $\mathbf{O}(J_2) = H_2$ , clearly  $J_1, J_2 \in \mathbb{A}(X)^*$ . Then  $\mathbf{O}(I) \cap \mathbf{O}(J_1) = \mathbf{O}(I) \cap \mathbf{O}(J_2) = \emptyset$ . So, by Theorem 2.14,  $I$  is adjacent to both ideals  $J_1$  and  $J_2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Suppose that  $I, J \in \mathbb{A}(X)^*$  are not pendant vertices. The following statements hold.*

- (a)  $\mathbf{O}(I) \cap \mathbf{O}(J) = \emptyset$  and  $\overline{\mathbf{O}(I) \cup \mathbf{O}(J)} \neq X$  if and only if  $\text{gi}(I, J) = 3$ .
- (b) If  $\mathbf{O}(I) \cap \mathbf{O}(J) = \emptyset$  and  $\overline{\mathbf{O}(I) \cup \mathbf{O}(J)} = X$ , then  $\text{gi}(I, J) = 4$ .
- (c) If  $\mathbf{O}(I) \cap \mathbf{O}(J) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\overline{\mathbf{O}(I)} = \overline{\mathbf{O}(J)}$ , then  $\text{gi}(I, J) = 4$ .
- (d) Suppose that  $\mathbf{O}(I) \cap \mathbf{O}(J) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\overline{\mathbf{O}(I)} \neq \overline{\mathbf{O}(J)}$ . Then  $X \setminus \overline{\mathbf{O}(I) \cup \mathbf{O}(J)}$  is not a singleton if and only if  $\text{gi}(I, J) = 4$ .
- (e)  $\mathbf{O}(I) \cap \mathbf{O}(J) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\overline{\mathbf{O}(I)} \neq \overline{\mathbf{O}(J)}$  and  $X \setminus \overline{\mathbf{O}(I) \cup \mathbf{O}(J)}$  is a singleton if and only if  $\text{gi}(I, J) = 5$ .

*Proof.* (a  $\Rightarrow$ ). Set  $H = \mathbf{O}(I) \cup \mathbf{O}(J)$  and  $K = \mathbf{I}(H)$ . Since  $\overline{H} \neq X$  and  $\overline{H}^\circ \neq \emptyset$ ,  $K \in \mathbb{A}(X)^*$ , by Corollary 2.8(b). Since  $\mathbf{O}(I), \mathbf{O}(J) \subseteq H \subseteq \overline{H}$ , by Theorem 2.14,  $K$  is adjacent to both ideals  $I$  and  $J$ . By the assumption and Theorem 2.14,  $I$  is adjacent to  $J$ , hence  $\text{gi}(I, J) = 3$ .

(a  $\Leftarrow$ ). By the assumption,  $I$  is adjacent to  $J$  and some  $K \in \mathbb{A}(X)^*$  exists such that  $K$  is adjacent to both ideals  $I$  and  $J$ , so  $\mathbf{O}(I) \cap \mathbf{O}(J) = \emptyset$ ,  $\mathbf{O}(I) \cap \mathbf{O}(K) = \emptyset$  and  $\mathbf{O}(J) \cap \mathbf{O}(K) = \emptyset$ , by Theorem 2.14. Hence  $(\mathbf{O}(I) \cup \mathbf{O}(J)) \cap \mathbf{O}(K) = \emptyset$ . Since  $K \neq \{0\}$ ,  $\mathbf{O}(K) \neq \emptyset$ , by Lemma 2.1, and therefore  $\overline{\mathbf{O}(I) \cup \mathbf{O}(J)} \neq X$ .

(b). The assumption and part (a) imply that  $\text{gi}(I, J) \geq 4$  and Theorem 2.14, concludes that  $IJ = \text{Ann}(I)\text{Ann}(J) = \{0\}$ . Since  $I$  and  $J$  are not pendant vertices, there are  $I_1, J_1 \in \mathbb{A}(X)^*$  such that  $I$  is adjacent to  $I_1 \neq J$  and  $J$  is adjacent to  $J_1 \neq I$ , so  $II_1 = JJ_1 = \{0\}$ , thus  $I_1 \subseteq \text{Ann}(I)$  and  $J_1 \subseteq \text{Ann}(J)$ , hence  $I_1J_1 \subseteq \text{Ann}(I)\text{Ann}(J) = \{0\}$  and therefore  $I_1J_1 = \{0\}$ . Consequently,  $I$  is adjacent to  $J$ ,  $J$  is adjacent to  $J_1$ ,  $J_1$  is adjacent to  $I_1$  and  $I_1$  is adjacent to  $I$ , they imply that  $\text{gi}(I, J) = 4$ .

(c). We can conclude from the assumption and part (a), that  $\text{gi}(I, J) \geq 4$ . Since  $\overline{\mathbf{O}(I)} = \overline{\mathbf{O}(J)}$ , by Theorem 2.14, it follows that  $\text{Ann}(I) = \text{Ann}(J)$ . Since  $I$  is adjacent to  $\text{Ann}(I)$  and  $I$  is not a pendant vertex, it follows there is some vertex  $I_1 \in \mathbb{A}(X)^*$  distinct from  $\text{Ann}(I)$  such that  $I$  is adjacent to  $I_1$ , then  $I_1I = \{0\}$ , so  $I_1 \subseteq \text{Ann}(I) = \text{Ann}(J)$  and therefore  $I_1J = \{0\}$ . Consequently,  $I$  is adjacent to  $\text{Ann}(I)$ ,  $\text{Ann}(J)$  is adjacent to  $J$ ,  $J$  is adjacent to  $I_1$  and  $I_1$  is adjacent to  $I$  and thus  $\text{gi}(I, J) = 4$ .

(d  $\Rightarrow$ ). Evidently, there are two distinct nonempty open sets  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  such that  $H_1 \cap \mathbf{O}(I) = H_1 \cap \mathbf{O}(J) = H_2 \cap \mathbf{O}(I) = H_2 \cap \mathbf{O}(J) = \emptyset$ . Then, by Lemma 2.11, there are two ideals  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  such that  $\mathbf{O}(K_1) = H_1$  and  $\mathbf{O}(K_2) = H_2$ , it is clear that  $K_1, K_2 \in \mathbb{A}(X)^*$ , by Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 2.8. Now Theorem 2.14, concludes that both vertices  $I$  and  $J$  are adjacent to both vertices  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ , thus  $\text{gi}(I, J) = 4$ , by part (a).

(d  $\Leftarrow$ ). By Theorem 2.14,  $I$  is not adjacent to  $J$ . Since  $\text{gi}(I, J) = 4$ , it follows that there are distinct vertices  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  which are adjacent to both vertices  $I$  and  $J$ , so  $I + J$  is adjacent to both vertices  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ . Now Propositions 2.3 and 4.2, conclude that  $X \setminus \overline{\mathbf{O}(I) \cup \mathbf{O}(J)} = X \setminus \overline{\mathbf{O}(I + J)}$  is not a singleton.

(e  $\Rightarrow$ ). By parts (a) and (d),  $\text{gi}(I, J) \geq 5$ . If  $\mathbf{O}(I) \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{O}(J)}$ , then  $\overline{\mathbf{O}(I)} \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{O}(J)}$ , so  $X \setminus \overline{\mathbf{O}(I) \cup \mathbf{O}(J)} = X \setminus \overline{\mathbf{O}(J)}$  and therefore  $X \setminus \overline{\mathbf{O}(J)}$  is a singleton, by the assumption. Now Proposition 4.2, concludes that  $J$  is a pendant vertex, which contradicts the assumption, so  $\mathbf{O}(I) \not\subseteq \overline{\mathbf{O}(J)}$ , similarly, it can be shown that  $\mathbf{O}(J) \not\subseteq \overline{\mathbf{O}(I)}$ , so  $H_1 = \mathbf{O}(I) \setminus \overline{\mathbf{O}(J)}$  and  $H_2 = \mathbf{O}(J) \setminus \overline{\mathbf{O}(I)}$  are nonempty open sets; thus, Lemma 2.11, implies that there are ideals  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  such that  $\mathbf{O}(K_1) = H_1$  and  $\mathbf{O}(K_2) = H_2$ , it is evident that  $K_1, K_2 \in \mathbb{A}(X)^*$ , by Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 2.8. Since  $X \setminus \overline{\mathbf{O}(I) \cup \mathbf{O}(J)}$  is a nonempty open set, there is an ideal  $K_3$  such that  $\mathbf{O}(K_3) = X \setminus \overline{\mathbf{O}(I) \cup \mathbf{O}(J)}$ , it is clear that  $K_3 \in \mathbb{A}(X)^*$ , by Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 2.8. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{O}(I) \cap \mathbf{O}(K_2) &= \mathbf{O}(K_2) \cap \mathbf{O}(K_1) = \mathbf{O}(K_1) \cap \mathbf{O}(J) \\ &= \mathbf{O}(J) \cap \mathbf{O}(K_3) = \mathbf{O}(K_3) \cap \mathbf{O}(I) = \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

so  $\text{gi}(I, J) = 5$ .

(e  $\Leftarrow$ ). It is clear, by parts (a)-(d).  $\square$

It is clear that if  $|X| = 2$ , then  $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X)$  does not have any cycle. In the following theorem we show that if  $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X)$  has a cycle then the girth of the graph is 3.

**Theorem 4.4.** *If  $|X| > 2$ , then  $\text{girth}\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X) = 3$ .*

*Proof.* It is clearly observable that there are mutually disjoint nonempty open sets  $G_1, G_2$  and  $G_3$ . By Lemma 2.11, there are ideals  $I_1, I_2$  and  $I_3$ , such that  $\mathbf{O}(I_1) = G_1, \mathbf{O}(I_2) = G_2$  and  $\mathbf{O}(I_3) = G_3$ , evidently,  $I_1, I_2, I_3 \in \mathbb{A}(X)^*$ , by Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 2.8. By Theorem 2.14,  $I_1$  is adjacent to  $I_2$ ,  $I_2$  is adjacent to  $I_3$  and  $I_3$  is adjacent to  $I_1$ , hence  $\text{girth}\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X) = 3$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.5.** *The following statements are equivalent.*

- (a)  $X$  has an isolated point.
- (b)  $\mathbb{R}$  is a direct summand of  $C(X)$ .
- (c)  $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X)$  has a pendant vertex.
- (d)  $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X)$  is not triangulated.

*Proof.* (a  $\Leftrightarrow$  b) and (c  $\Rightarrow$  d) are clear and (a  $\Leftrightarrow$  c) follows from Proposition 4.2.

(d  $\Rightarrow$  a) Suppose that  $X$  does not have any isolated point and  $I \in \mathbb{A}(X)^*$ . Then  $X \setminus \overline{\mathbf{O}(I)}$  is not a singleton, so it has two distinct points  $p$  and  $q$ , so there are disjoint open sets  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , such that  $G_1 \cap \mathbf{O}(I) = G_2 \cap \mathbf{O}(I) = \emptyset$ . By Lemma 2.11, there are  $J, K \in \mathbb{A}(X)^*$ , such that  $\mathbf{O}(J) = G_1$  and  $\mathbf{O}(K) = G_2$ . Thus  $I$  is adjacent to  $J$ ,  $J$  is adjacent to  $K$  and  $K$  is adjacent to  $I$ . Consequently,  $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X)$  is triangulated.  $\square$

## 5. DOMINATING NUMBER

In the last section, an upper bound and a lower bound for dominating number of the graph by topological notions are offered, then the chromatic number and the clique number of the graph are studied.

**Theorem 5.1.**  $c(X) \leq \text{dt}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X)) \leq w(X)$ , for each topological space  $X$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a family of mutually disjointed nonempty open sets. If  $\overline{\bigcup \mathcal{U}} \neq X$ , then  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{U} \cup \{X \setminus \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{U}}\}$  is a family of mutually disjoint open sets which  $\overline{\bigcup \mathcal{V}} = X$ , so without loss of generality we can assume that  $\overline{\bigcup \mathcal{U}} = X$ . For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , there are some  $I_U \in \mathbb{A}(X)^*$  such that  $\mathbf{O}(I_U) = U$ , by Lemma 2.11. Since  $U \neq \emptyset$  and  $\overline{U} \neq X$ , it follows that  $I_U \in \mathbb{A}(X)^*$ , by Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 2.8. Now suppose that  $D$  is a dominating set, then for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , there is an ideal  $J_U$  in  $D$  such that  $J_U$  is adjacent to  $\sum_{U \neq V \in \mathcal{U}} I_V$ . Now Theorem 2.14, implies that  $\mathbf{O}(J_U) \cap \mathbf{O}\left(\sum_{U \neq V \in \mathcal{U}} I_V\right) = \emptyset$ , thus  $\mathbf{O}(J_U) \cap \left(\bigcup_{U \neq V \in \mathcal{U}} U\right) = \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $J_U = J_{U'}$ , for some  $U, U' \in \mathcal{U}$ . Then  $\mathbf{O}(J_U) = \mathbf{O}(J_{U'})$ . If  $U \neq U'$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{O}(J_U) \cap \bigcup \mathcal{U} &= \mathbf{O}(J_U) \cap \left[ \left( \bigcup_{U \neq V \in \mathcal{U}} V \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{U' \neq V \in \mathcal{U}} V \right) \right] \\ &= \left[ \mathbf{O}(J_U) \cap \left( \bigcup_{U \neq V \in \mathcal{U}} V \right) \right] \cup \left[ \mathbf{O}(J_U) \cap \left( \bigcup_{U' \neq V \in \mathcal{U}} V \right) \right] = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\overline{\bigcup \mathcal{U}} \neq X$ , which contradicts our assumption. Hence  $U = U'$ , so  $|\mathcal{U}| \leq |D|$ , and consequently  $c(X) \leq \text{dt}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X))$ .

Now suppose that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a base for  $X$ , without loss of generality we can assume that every element of  $\mathcal{B}$  is not empty. For each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , there is some  $0 \neq f_B \in C(X)$  such that  $\emptyset \neq \text{Coz}(f_B) \subseteq B$ . Clearly, we can choose  $f_B$  such that  $\overline{\text{Coz}(f_B)} \neq X$ . Lemma 2.1, concludes that  $\mathbf{O}(\langle f_B \rangle) = \text{Coz}(f_B)$ , so  $\mathbf{O}(\langle f_B \rangle) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\overline{\mathbf{O}(\langle f_B \rangle)} \neq X$ , for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , thus  $\langle f_B \rangle \in \mathbb{A}(X)^*$ , by Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 2.8. For each  $J \in \mathbb{A}(X)^*$ ,  $\overline{\mathbf{O}(I)} \neq X$ , by Corollary 2.8, so  $(X \setminus \mathbf{O}(I))^\circ \neq \emptyset$ , thus  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  exists such that  $B \subseteq (X \setminus \mathbf{O}(I))^\circ$ , hence  $\mathbf{O}(\langle f_B \rangle) \subseteq X \setminus \mathbf{O}(I)$ , consequently,  $\mathbf{O}(\langle f_B \rangle) \cap \mathbf{O}(I) = \emptyset$ , therefore Theorem 2.14, implies that  $\langle f_B \rangle$  is adjacent to  $I$ . Hence  $\{\langle f_B \rangle : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$  is a

dominating set. Since  $|\{\langle f_B \rangle : B \in \mathcal{B}\}| \leq |\mathcal{B}|$ , it follows that  $\text{dt}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X)) \leq w(X)$ .  $\square$

Now we can conclude the following corollary from the above theorem.

**Corollary 5.2.** *If  $X$  is discrete, then  $\text{dt}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X)) = |X|$ .*

**Theorem 5.3.**  *$\text{dt}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X))$  is finite if and only if  $|X|$  is finite. In this case,  $\text{dt}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X)) = |X|$ .*

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow$ ). Suppose that  $|X|$  is infinite. Clearly  $c(X)$  is infinite, so  $\text{dt}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X))$  is infinite, by Theorem 5.1.

$\Leftarrow$ ). If  $|X|$  is finite, then  $X$  is discrete, so  $\text{dt}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X)) = |X|$  is finite, by Corollary 5.2.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.4.**  *$\chi\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X) = \omega\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X) = c(X)$ , for each topological space  $X$ .*

*Proof.* It is an immediate consequence of Proposition 1.2, Lemma 2.11 and Theorem 2.14.  $\square$

### Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank the referee for the valuable suggestions and comments.

### REFERENCES

1. G. Aalipour, S. Akbari, R. Nikandish, M. J. Nikmehr, and F. Shaveisi, On the coloring of the annihilating-ideal graph of a commutative ring, *Discrete Math.*, **312** (2012), 2620–2626.
2. F. Aliniaefard and M. Behboodi, Rings whose annihilating-ideal graphs have positive genus, *J. Algebra Appl.*, **11** (2012), 1250049.
3. A. Amini, B. Amini, E. Momtahan, and M. H. Shirdareh Haghighi, On a graph of ideals, *Acta Math. Hung.*, **134** (2012), 369–384.
4. M. F. Atiyah and I. G. Macdonald, *Introduction to commutative algebra*, Reading, Mass.-Menlo Park, Calif.-London-Don Mills, Ont.: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1969.
5. F. Azarpanah and M. Motamedi, Zero-divisor graph of  $C(X)$ , *Acta Math. Hung.*, **108** (2005), 25–36.
6. M. Badie, Comaximal graph of  $C(X)$ , *Commentat. Math. Univ. Carol.*, **57** (2016), 353–364.
7. M. Behboodi and Z. Rakeei, The annihilating-ideal graph of commutative rings. I., *J. Algebra Appl.*, **10** (2011), 727–739.
8. M. Behboodi and Z. Rakeei, The annihilating-ideal graph of commutative rings. II., *J. Algebra Appl.*, **10** (2011), 741–753.
9. J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, *Graph theory with application*, The Macmillan Press, New York, 1976.

10. T. Chelvam and K. Selvakumar, On the connectivity of the annihilating-ideal graphs, *Discuss. Math. Gen. Algebra Appl.*, **35** (2015), 195–204.
11. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, The University Series in Higher Mathematics. Princeton-Toronto-London-New York: D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc., 1960.
12. J. Guo, T. Wu, and H. Yu, On rings whose annihilating-ideal graphs are blow-ups of a class of Boolean graphs, *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, **54** (2017), 847–865.
13. R. Nikandish and H. R. Maimani, Dominating sets of the annihilating-ideal graphs, *Electron. Notes Discrete Math.*, **45** (2014) 17–22.
14. R. Nikandish, H. R. Maimani, and S. Kiani, Domination number in the annihilating-ideal graphs of commutative rings, *Publ. Inst. Math., Nouv. Ser.*, **97** (2015), 225–231.
15. S. Willard, *General topology.*, Addison-Wesley Series in Mathematics. Reading, Mass. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company., 1970.

**Mehdi Badie**

Department of Mathematics, Jundi-Shapur University of Technology, P.O. Box 64615/334, Dezful, Iran.

Email: [badie@jsu.ac.ir](mailto:badie@jsu.ac.ir)

ANNIHILATING-IDEAL GRAPH OF  $C(X)$

M. BADIE

گراف ایده‌آل-پوچ‌ساز  $C(X)$

مهدی بدیعی<sup>۱</sup>

<sup>۱</sup>دانشگاه صنعتی جندی شاپور دزفول، دزفول، ایران

با مطالعه‌ی ایده‌آل-پوچ‌ساز حلقه‌ی  $C(X)$  سعی کرده‌ایم که رابطه‌هایی بین خواص گراف  $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X)$ ، حلقه‌ی  $C(X)$  و توپولوژی  $X$  بیابیم. نشان داده‌ایم که  $X$  یک نقطه‌ی منفرد دارد اگر و تنها اگر  $\mathbb{R}$  یک جمعی‌مستقیم  $C(X)$  باشد و این دو نیز معادل با این هستند که  $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X)$  یک گراف مثلثی‌شدنی نباشد. همچنین شعاع، کمر و اعداد احاطه‌گر و خوشه‌ای  $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X)$  مطالعه شده‌اند و ثابت کرده‌ایم  $w\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X) = \chi\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X) = c(X)$  و  $c(X) \leq \text{dt}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{G}(X)) \leq w(X)$ .

کلمات کلیدی: حلقه‌ی توابع پیوسته، گراف ایده‌آل-پوچ‌ساز، عدد رنگی، عدد خوشه‌ای، سلولیت.