

COGENERATOR AND SUBDIRECTLY IRREDUCIBLE IN THE CATEGORY OF S -POSETS

GH. MOGHADDASI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the notions of cogenerator and subdirectly irreducible in the category of S -posets. First we give some necessary and sufficient conditions for an S -poset to be a cogenerator. Then we see that under some conditions, regular injectivity implies generator and cogenerator. Recalling Birkhoff's Representation Theorem for algebras, we study subdirectly irreducible S -posets and prove this theorem for the category of ordered right acts over an ordered monoid. Among other things, we present the relationship between cogenerators and subdirectly irreducible S -posets.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Laan [8] studied the generators in the category of right S -posets, where S is a pomonoid. Also Knauer and Normak [7] gave a relation between cogenerators and subdirectly irreducibles in the category of right S -acts. The main objective of this paper is to study cogenerators and subdirectly irreducible S -posets. Some properties of the category of S -posets have been studied in many papers, and recently in [2, 3, 5]. Now we give some preliminaries about S -act and S -poset needed in the sequel. A *pomonoid* is a monoid S equipped with a partial order relation \leq which is compatible with the monoid operation, in the sense that, if $s \leq t$ then $su \leq tu$, $us \leq ut$, for all $s, t, u \in S$. Let

MSC(2010): 08A60, 08B30, 08C05, 20M30

Keywords: S -poset, Cogenerator, Regular injective, Subdirectly irreducible.

Received: 20 February 2014, Revised: 14 January 2015.

Pos denote the category of all partially ordered sets with order preserving (monotone) maps. A poset is said to be *complete* if each of its subsets has an infimum and a supremum. Recall that each poset can be embedded into a complete poset, its *Dedekind MacNeile completion* (see[1]). For a pomonoid S , a *right S -poset* is a poset A with a function $\alpha : A \times S \rightarrow A$, called the action of S on A , such that for $a, b \in A, s, t \in S$ (denoting $\alpha(a, s)$ by as) (1) $a(st) = (as)t$, (2) $a1 = a$, (3) $a \leq b \Rightarrow as \leq bs$, (4) $s \leq t \Rightarrow as \leq at$. If A satisfies conditions (1) and (2) only then it is called a *right S -act*. For two S -posets A and B , an *S -poset morphism* is a map $f : A \rightarrow B$ such that $f(as) = f(a)s$ and $a \leq b$ implies $f(a) \leq f(b)$, for each $a, b \in A, s \in S$. We denote the category of all right S -poset, with S -poset morphisms between them by **Pos_S**. For a pomonoid T , *left T -posets* can be defined analogously. A left T -poset A which is also a right S -poset is called a *(T, S)-biposet* (and is denoted by ${}_T A_S$) if $(ta)s = t(as)$ for all $a \in A, t \in T, s \in S$. By **TPos** and **TPos_S** we mean the category of all left T -poset and the category of all (T, S)-biposets respectively. Recall from [3] that in the category **Pos_S** monomorphisms are exactly the one to one morphisms and also the epimorphisms and the onto morphisms coincide. A *regular monomorphism* or *embedding* is an S -poset morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ such that $a \leq b$ if and only if $f(a) \leq f(b)$, for each $a, b \in A$. An S -poset morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ is called a *retraction (coretraction)* provided that there exist some S -poset morphism $g : B \rightarrow A$ such that $fg = id_B$ ($gf = id_A$). If there exists such a retraction, then B (A) will be called a *retract (coretract)* of A (B). It is easy to see that every coretraction is regular monomorphism. An S -poset A is called *regular injective* if for each regular monomorphism $g : B \rightarrow C$ and each S -poset morphism $f : B \rightarrow A$ there exists an S -poset morphism $\bar{f} : C \rightarrow A$ such that $\bar{f}g = f$. That is the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 B & \xrightarrow{g} & C \\
 f \downarrow & \swarrow \bar{f} & \\
 A & &
 \end{array}$$

Let A be a poset. Recall that [5], the right S -poset $A^{(S)} = Map(S, A)$ consisting of all monotone maps from S into A is a cofree S -poset on A .

Proposition 1.1. *Let A be a complete S -poset. Then A is regular injective if and only if it is a retract of the cofree S -poset $A^{(S)}$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that the S -poset map $\gamma_A : A \rightarrow A^{(S)}$ given by $a \mapsto \varphi_a$ with $\varphi_a : S \rightarrow A$ defined by $\varphi_a(s) = as$ is an order embedding.

Then since A is regular injective, there exist a morphism $\pi_A : A^{(S)} \rightarrow A$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\gamma_A} & A^{(S)} \\ id_A \downarrow & & \swarrow \pi_A \\ A & & \end{array}$$

that is $\pi_A \circ \gamma_A = id_A$. Conversely since A is complete, $A^{(S)}$ is a regular injective (see Theorem 3.3. of [5]). Now A being a retract of a regular injective, is a regular injective. \square

2. COGENERATORS

An object A in the category \mathbf{Pos}_S is called a cogenerator if the functor $Pos_S(-, A)$ is faithful, that is if for any $X, Y \in Pos_S$ and any $f, g \in Pos_S(X, Y)$ with $f \neq g$ there exists $\beta \in Pos_S(Y, A)$ such that

$$\beta f = Pos_S(f, A)(\beta) \neq Pos_S(g, A)(\beta) = \beta g.$$

That is, if $f \neq g$ then one has $X \xrightarrow[f]{g} Y \xrightarrow{\beta} A$ with $\beta f \neq \beta g$.

The following results are true in each category (see Proposition I.7.32., II.4.13., and II.4.14. of [6]).

Proposition 2.1. *Let A be a cogenerator in a category \mathcal{C} . If $A \rightarrow A'$ is a monomorphism, then A' is also a cogenerator in \mathcal{C} .*

Proposition 2.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be a concrete category and $A \in \mathcal{C}$ be $|I|$ -cofree, for $|I| \geq 2$. Then A is a cogenerator in \mathcal{C} .*

Lemma 2.3. *If $A \in Pos_S$ is a cogenerator then $Pos_S(X, A) \neq \emptyset$ for all $X \in Pos_S$.*

Recall that an element a in an S -poset A is called a *zero element* if $as = a$ for all $s \in S$. Also $\Theta = \{\theta\}$ with the action $\theta s = \theta$ for all $s \in S$ and order $\theta \leq \theta$ is called the *one element S -poset*. Recall from [3] that coproducts in Pos_S are disjoint unions.

The following result is an analogue of Proposition II.4.17. of [6] and the proof is the same as that.

Proposition 2.4. *If an S -poset A is a cogenerator then A contains two different zero elements.*

Proof. Consider $\Theta \xrightarrow[u_1]{u_2} \Theta \sqcup \Theta$ in \mathbf{Pos}_S , where u_1, u_2 are the injections of the coproduct. Now, since $u_1 \neq u_2$ and A is cogenerator, there

exists $\Theta \sqcup \Theta \xrightarrow{\beta} A$ such that $\beta u_1 \neq \beta u_2$. Therefore $\beta u_1(\theta), \beta u_2(\theta)$ are two different zero elements in A . \square

In the two next theorems we characterize cogenerators.

Theorem 2.5. *The following assertions are equivalent for a right S -poset A in the category of all S -posets with regular monomorphism between them:*

- (1) for all $X, Y \in Pos_S$ and two morphisms $f, g : X \rightarrow Y, f \leq g$ whenever $\beta \circ f \leq \beta \circ g$ for all $\beta : Y \rightarrow A$;
- (2) A is a cogenerator;
- (3) for every $X \in Pos_S$ there exists a set I and a regular monomorphism $h : X \rightarrow \prod_I A$ in Pos_S ;
- (4) for every $X \in Pos_S$ there exists a set I and a regular monomorphism $\pi : X^{(S)} \rightarrow \prod_I A$;
- (5) if X is a complete S -poset then the cofree object $X^{(S)}$ is a retract of $\prod_I A$ for some set I .

Proof.

- (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let for any morphisms $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ and $\beta : Y \rightarrow A, \beta \circ f = \beta \circ g$. Now $\beta \circ f \leq \beta \circ g$ implies $f \leq g$, and also $\beta \circ g \leq \beta \circ f$ implies $g \leq f$, thus $f = g$.
- (2) \Rightarrow (3) Let A be a cogenerator. Then by Lemma 2.3, $\mathbf{Pos}_S(X, A) \neq \emptyset$, for every $X \in Pos_S$. By the universal property of products, there exists a unique regular monomorphism $h : X \rightarrow \prod_{k \in \mathbf{Pos}_S(X, A)} A$ such that $p_k \circ h = k$ for every morphism $k : X \rightarrow A$ where $p_k : \prod_{k \in \mathbf{Pos}_S(X, A)} A \rightarrow A$ are the projections maps.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & & \\
 \downarrow & \searrow k & \\
 h \downarrow & & \\
 \prod_{k \in \mathbf{Pos}_S(X, A)} A & \xrightarrow{P_k} & A
 \end{array}$$

- (3) \Rightarrow (4) It is obvious.
- (4) \Rightarrow (5) Let X be a complete S -poset. Then $X^{(S)}$ is regular injective (see Theorem 3.3. of [5]). Since $\gamma : X^{(S)} \rightarrow \prod_I A$ is a regular

monomorphism, there exist an S -Poset morphism $\pi : \prod_I A \rightarrow X^{(S)}$ such that $\pi \circ \gamma = id_{X^{(S)}}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^{(S)} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \prod_I A \\ id_{X^{(S)}} \downarrow & \swarrow \pi & \\ X^{(S)} & & \end{array}$$

that is $X^{(S)}$ is a retract of $\prod_I A$.

(5) \Rightarrow (1) Let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ and $f \not\leq g$. Then $f(x_0) \not\leq g(x_0)$ for some $x_0 \in X$. We have to show that there exists a morphism $k : Y \rightarrow A$ such that $k \circ f \not\leq k \circ g$. We know that each S -poset can be regularly embedded into a regular injective S -poset (see Theorem 2.11. of [5]) as follow:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J : Y & \longrightarrow & \bar{Y}^{(S)} \\ y & \longmapsto & L_y \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{ccc} L_y : S & \longrightarrow & \bar{Y} \\ s & \longmapsto & \downarrow (y.s) \end{array}$$

where \bar{Y} is the MacNeile completion of Y (see[1]). Since $f(x_0) \not\leq g(x_0)$ and J is embedding we get $J(f(x_0)) \not\leq J(g(x_0))$. Hence $J \circ f \not\leq J \circ g$.

Now \bar{Y} is a complete S -poset and hence, by assumption $\bar{Y}^{(S)}$ is a retract of $\prod_I A$. Consequently there exist morphisms $\pi : \bar{Y}^{(S)} \rightarrow \prod_I A$ and $\gamma : \prod_I A \rightarrow \bar{Y}^{(S)}$ such that $\gamma \circ \pi = id_{\bar{Y}^{(S)}}$. Now we have the following diagram

$$X \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{array} Y \xrightarrow{J} \bar{Y}^{(S)} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\pi} \\ \xleftarrow{\gamma} \end{array} \prod_I A .$$

Hence $\pi \circ J \circ f \not\leq \pi \circ J \circ g$. It is because if $\pi \circ J \circ f \leq \pi \circ J \circ g$ then

$$\begin{aligned} J \circ f &= id_{\bar{Y}^{(S)}} \circ (J \circ f) = \gamma \circ \pi \circ J \circ f \leq \gamma \circ \pi \circ J \circ g \\ &= id_{\bar{Y}^{(S)}} \circ (J \circ g) = J \circ g \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the fact $J \circ f \not\leq J \circ g$. So there exists $j \in I$ such that

$$p_j \circ \pi \circ J \circ f \not\leq p_j \circ \pi \circ J \circ g$$

$$X \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xrightarrow{g} \end{array} Y \xrightarrow{J} \bar{Y}^{(S)} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\pi} \\ \xleftarrow{\gamma} \end{array} \prod_I A \xrightarrow{p_j} A .$$

This is because if for every $j \in I$, $\rho_j \circ \pi \circ J \circ f \leq \rho_j \circ \pi \circ J \circ g$ then

$$\forall j \in I \quad p_j(\pi(J(f(x_0))) \leq p_j(\pi(J(g(x_0))))).$$

Therefore $\pi(J(f(x_0))) \leq \pi(J(g(x_0)))$. Since π is a coretraction, it is a regular monomorphism. Now the mappings π, J are regular monomorphism, thus we have $f(x_0) \leq g(x_0)$ which contradict the fact $f(x_0) \not\leq g(x_0)$. Therefore there exists $j \in I$ such that $p_j \circ \pi \circ J \circ f \not\leq p_j \circ \pi \circ J \circ g$, that is, there exists a regular monomorphism $k = p_j \circ \pi \circ J : Y \rightarrow A$ such that $k \circ f \not\leq k \circ g$. \square

Corollary 2.6. *If $A \in \mathbf{Pos}_S$ is a cogenerator then each S -poset X can be regularly embedded into power of A .*

Theorem 2.7. *In the category \mathbf{Pos}_S , a power of cogenerator is a cogenerator.*

Proof. Let $A \in \mathbf{Pos}_S$ be a cogenerator. By Proposition 2.2, $A^{(S)} \in \mathbf{Pos}_S$ is cogenerator. Now by Theorem 2.5, there exists a regular monomorphism and hence a monomorphism, $\alpha : A^{(S)} \rightarrow \prod_I A$. Conse-

quently by Proposition 2.1, $\prod_I A$ is a cogenerator. \square

Definition 2.8. Let $X, Y \in \mathbf{Pos}_S$. Define the cotrace of Y in X by

$$\text{cotr}_X(Y) = \bigcap_{\beta \in \text{Pos}_S(X, Y)} \ker \beta = \bigcap \{(x, x') \in X \prod X \mid \beta(x) = \beta(x')\}.$$

Theorem 2.9. *A right S -poset A is a cogenerator if and only if $\text{cotr}_Y(A) = \Delta_Y$ for all $Y \in \mathbf{Pos}_S$.*

Proof. Let A be a cogenerator and $y \neq y', y, y' \in Y$. Now for projections p_1, p_2 from $Y \prod Y$ we have $p_1|_{\langle (y, y') \rangle}(y, y') = y \neq y' = p_2|_{\langle (y, y') \rangle}(y, y')$, where $\langle (y, y') \rangle = \{(ys, y's) \mid s \in S\}$ is a sub S -poset of $Y \prod Y$ generated by (y, y') , that is $p_1|_{\langle (y, y') \rangle} \neq p_2|_{\langle (y, y') \rangle}$. Since A is cogenerator, there exist $\beta \in \text{Pos}_S(Y, A)$ such that $\beta p_1|_{\langle (y, y') \rangle} \neq \beta p_2|_{\langle (y, y') \rangle}$ hence $\beta(y) \neq \beta(y')$, since otherwise if $\beta(y) = \beta(y')$ then $\beta(ys) = \beta(y's)$ for all $s \in S$, that is $\beta p_1|_{\langle (y, y') \rangle} = \beta p_2|_{\langle (y, y') \rangle}$ which is a contradiction. Conversely let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ and $f \neq g$. Then $f(x) \neq g(x)$ for some $x \in X$, by $\bigcap_{\beta \in \text{Pos}_S(Y, A)} \ker \beta = \Delta$, there exists $\beta \in \text{Pos}_S(Y, A)$ such that $\beta(f(x)) \neq \beta(g(x))$ which implies $\beta f \neq \beta g$, that is, A is cogenerator. \square

Recall from [8] that a biposet ${}_T A_S$ is called faithful (regularly faithful, faithfully balanced) if the pomonoid homomorphisms $\lambda : T \rightarrow \text{End}(A_S)$

and $\rho : S \rightarrow \text{End}({}_T A)$ are injective (order reflecting, isomorphisms) where $\text{End}(A_S) = \text{Pos}_S(A, A)$ is a pomonoid with respect to composition and pointwise order also $\text{End}({}_T A) = {}_T \text{Pos}(A, A)$ is a pomonoid with multiplication $f.g = g \circ f$ for $f, g \in {}_T \text{Pos}(A, A)$. Also an S -poset A is called faithful (regularly faithful, faithfully balanced) if the biposet $\text{End}(A_S)A_S$ is faithful (regularly faithful, faithfully balanced).

Theorem 2.10. *Let ${}_T A_S \in \mathbf{TPos}_S$ be a faithfully balanced biposet and $\varphi : S \rightarrow A^{(S)}$, $\psi : T \rightarrow A^{(T)}$ be isomorphisms. If A as a right S -poset is regular injective then ${}_T A \in \mathbf{TPos}$, as a left T -poset, is a cogenerator and a generator.*

Proof. By assumption, $T \cong \text{Pos}_S(A, A)$ and $T \cong A^{(T)}$, $S \cong A^{(S)}$. Since A is a regular injective there exists $A^{(S)} \xrightarrow[\gamma]{\pi} A$ such that $\pi \circ \gamma = id_A$. Applying the functor $\text{Pos}(-, A)$ we get:

$$T \cong \text{Pos}_S(A, A) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{Pos}_S(\pi, A)=\pi'} \\ \xleftarrow{\text{Pos}_S(\gamma, A)=\gamma'} \end{array} \text{Pos}(A^{(S)}, A) \cong \text{Pos}_S(S, A)$$

$\text{Pos}_S(\gamma, A) \circ \text{Pos}_S(\pi, A) = \text{Pos}_S(\pi \circ \gamma, A) = \text{Pos}_S(id_A, A) = id_{\text{Pos}_S(A, A)} = id_T$. But $\text{Pos}_S(S, A) \cong_T A$ (see Lemma 1.1. of [8]). Therefore we have

$${}_T T \xrightarrow[\gamma']{\pi'} \text{Pos}_S(S, A) \cong_T A \quad (*)$$

such that $\gamma' \circ \pi' = id_T$, hence A is a generator (see Theorem 2.1 in [8]). But by Proposition 2.2, $A^{(T)}$ is a cogenerator and consequently, by (*) we have:

$$A^{(T)} \cong_T T \xrightarrow{\pi'} {}_T A, \gamma' \circ \pi' = id_T$$

that is π' is a monomorphism. Therefore, by Proposition 2.1, A is a cogenerator. \square

In the following we grt the relation between cogenerator and regularly faithful.

Proposition 2.11. *If an S -poset A is a cogenerator then it is regularly faithful.*

Proof. We have to show that $\rho : S \rightarrow \text{End}(\text{End}(A_S)A)$ is an order reflecting. Since A is a cogenerator, by Theorem 2.5, the morphism $g : S_S \rightarrow \prod_I A$ is a regular monomorphism. By Proposition II.1.4 in [6], for each $i \in I$, $p_i : \prod_I A \rightarrow A$ are retractions and hence there exists

S -poset morphism $q_i \in Pos_S(A, A)$ such that $p_i q_i = id_A$. Therefore we have:

$$S \xrightarrow{g} \prod_I A \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{p_i} \\ \xleftarrow{q_i} \end{array} A; \quad p_i q_i = id_A$$

Now let $\rho_s \leq \rho_{s'}$, where $s, s' \in S$ hence we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall i \in I, \rho_s(p_i(g(1))) &\leq \rho_{s'}(p_i(g(1))) \Rightarrow p_i(g(s)) = p_i(g(1.s)) = p_i(g(1)).s \\ &= \rho_s(p_i(g(1))) \leq \rho_{s'}(p_i(g(1))) = p_i(g(1)).s' = p_i(g(s')). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently for all $i \in I$, $p_i(g(s)) \leq p_i(g(s'))$ thus $g(s) \leq g(s')$. Since g is an order embedding, hence $s \leq s'$. Therefore we get that ρ is order reflecting. \square

3. SUBDIRECTLY IRREDUCIBLE

In this section we first characterize subdirectly irreducible S -posets, then write the Birkhoff's Representation Theorem for this category, and finally we will give the relation between subdirectly irreducible and cogenerator S -posets. Although the proof of these theorems are the same as for S -acts (see [6]), we try to write a short proof for them. Recall that an equivalence relation θ on an S -act A is called a *congruence* on A , if $a\theta a'$ implies $(as)\theta(a's)$ for $a, a' \in A$, $s \in S$. A congruence on an S -poset A is a congruence θ on the S -act A with the property that the S -act A/θ can be made into an S -poset in such a way that the natural map $A \rightarrow A/\theta$ is an S -poset morphism. We denote the set of all congruences on A by $ConA$.

Definition 3.1. An S -poset A is a subdirect product of an indexed family $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ of S -posets if A is a sub S -poset of $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$ and $p_i(A) = A_i$ for each $i \in I$, where p_i 's are the restrictions to A of projections from $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$.

Remark 3.2. For a right S -poset A and each $a, b \in A$, $a \neq b$ we denote the maximal congruence on A such that a and b are not related, by $\overline{\rho_{(a,b)}}$. This congruence exist by Zorn's Lemma. Consider $P = \{\theta \in ConA_S : (a, b) \notin \theta\}$. Then (P, \subseteq) is a partially order set and $\Delta \in P$. For any chain $\{\theta_i\}_{i \in I}$ in P the join $\bigvee_{i \in I} \theta_i$ is an upper bound and hence, by Zorn's Lemma, there exists $\overline{\rho_{(a,b)}}$.

A right S -poset A is called *subdirectly irreducible* if $\bigcap_{i \in I} \rho_i \neq \Delta$ for all congruences ρ_i on A with $\rho_i \neq \Delta$. If A is not subdirectly irreducible then it is called *subdirectly reducible* (see [4, 6]). Notice that for each

S -poset A with $|A| = 2$ there exist only two congruences Δ and ∇ on A and so these S -posets are subdirectly irreducible.

Theorem 3.3. *Let A be an S -poset and $a, b \in A$, $a \neq b$. Then $A/\overline{\rho(a,b)}$ is subdirectly irreducible.*

Proof. Let $A/\overline{\rho(a,b)}$ be subdirectly reducible. Hence $\sigma = \bigcap_{i \in I} \rho_i = \Delta$ where the elements of $\{\rho_i : i \in I\}$ are all non diagonal congruences on $A/\overline{\rho(a,b)}$. Therefore there exists $i \in I$ such that $([a], [b]) \notin \rho_i$. But we know $\rho_i = \rho/\overline{\rho(a,b)}$ where $\rho \in \text{Con}A$ and $\overline{\rho(a,b)} \subseteq \rho$ that is we get a congruence ρ on A such that $(a, b) \notin \rho$ and $\overline{\rho(a,b)} \subseteq \rho$ which contradicts the maximality of $\overline{\rho(a,b)}$. Hence $A/\overline{\rho(a,b)}$ is subdirectly irreducible. \square

Now, similar to Birkhoff's Representation Theorem for algebra (see [4, 6]), we have:

Theorem 3.4. *(Birkhoff's Theorem for S -posets) Any nontrivial S -poset A is a subdirect product of subdirectly irreducible S -posets of the form $A/\overline{\rho(a,b)}$ for $a, b \in A$, $a \neq b$.*

Corollary 3.5. *A nontrivial S -poset A is subdirectly irreducible if and only if $A \simeq A/\overline{\rho(a,b)}$ for some $a, b \in A$, $a \neq b$.*

Proof. Let A be a nontrivial subdirectly irreducible S -poset. By Birkhoff's Theorem it is subdirect product of subdirectly irreducible S -posets of the form $A \simeq A/\overline{\rho(a,b)}$ for $a, b \in A$, $a \neq b$. Since the intersection of the kernels of all restriction of the projections of the direct product is diagonal, and A is subdirectly irreducible, therefore one of the kernel must be diagonal. Thus $A \simeq A/\overline{\rho(a,b)}$ for some $a, b \in A$, $a \neq b$. The converse is Theorem 3.3. \square

We close the paper by the following proposition which gives the relation between cogenerators and subdirectly irreducible S -posets.

Proposition 3.6. *An S -poset C is a cogenerator if and only if every subdirectly irreducible S -poset can be embedded into C .*

Proof. By Corollary 3.5 any nontrivial subdirectly irreducible S -poset is of the form $A/\overline{\rho(a,b)}$ for some $a, b \in A$, $a \neq b$. Consider the two homomorphism $f_1, f_2 : S_S \rightarrow A/\overline{\rho(a,b)}$ with $f_1(1) = [a] \neq [b] = f_2(1)$. As C is a cogenerator, there exists a homomorphism $h : A/\overline{\rho(a,b)} \rightarrow C$ such that $h([a]) \neq h([b])$. To prove that h is a monomorphism, let $h([x]) = h([y])$ for $x, y \in A$ with $[x] \neq [y]$. Let ρ be a relation on A defined by

$$u\rho v \Leftrightarrow h([u]) = h([v]) \text{ for any } u, v \in A$$

That is ρ is induced by the kernel congruence of h and is itself a congruence on A . Since $h([x]) = h([y])$, $x\rho y$ and therefore $\overline{\rho(a,b)} \subsetneq \rho$. But

$h(\overline{[a]}) \neq h(\overline{[b]})$ that is $(a, b) \notin \rho$ which contradicts the maximality of $\overline{\rho(a, b)}$. Hence h is a monomorphism. Conversely let $f, g : B \rightarrow A$ be two S -poset morphisms such that $f(b) \neq g(b)$ for some $b \in B$. Now for $\pi : A \rightarrow A/\overline{\rho(f(b), g(b))}$ and the embedding h from subdirectly irreducible $A/\overline{\rho(f(b), g(b))}$ into C , we have $h\pi f \neq h\pi g$. Hence C is a cogenerator. \square

Acknowledgments

The author thank the referee for his/her very careful reading and useful comments. We also would like to thank Professor M. Mehdi Ebrahimi for his very good comments and helpful conversations during this research.

REFERENCES

1. G. Birkhoff, *Lattice Theory* American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1973.
2. S. Bulman-Fleming and V. Laan, Lazard's theorem for S -posets, *Math. Nathr.* **278** (15) (2005), 1743-1755.
3. S. Bulman-Fleming and M. Mahmoudi, The category of S -posets, *Semigroup Forum*, **71** (3) (2005), 443-461.
4. S. Burris and H. P. Sankapanavar, *A course in universal algebra* Springer-verlage, New York 1981.
5. M. M. Ebrahimi, M. Mahmoudi and H. Rasouli, Banaschewski's theorem for S -posets: regular injectivity and completeness, *Semigroup Forum*, **80** (2010), 313-324.
6. M. Klip, U. Knauer and A. Mikhalev, *Monoids, Acts and Categories*, De Gruyter, Berlin, 2000.
7. U. Knauer and P. Normak, Morita duality for monoids, *Semigroup Forum*, **40** (1973), 39-57.
8. V. Laan, Generators in the category of S -posets, *Cent. Eur. Math.* **6** (3) (2008), 357-363.

Gholamreza Moghaddasi

Department of Mathematics, Hakikm Sabzevari University, P.O.Bo 397, Sabzevar, Iran

Email: r.moghaddasi@hsu.ac.ir