

## $\varphi$ -CONNES MODULE AMENABILITY OF DUAL BANACH ALGEBRAS

A. GHAFFARI\*, S. JAVADI AND E. TAMIMI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we define  $\varphi$ -Connes module amenability of a dual Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , where  $\varphi$  is a bounded module homomorphism from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{A}$  that is  $w_{k^*}$ -continuous. We are mainly concerned with the study of  $\varphi$ -module normal, virtual diagonals. We show that if  $S$  is a weakly cancellative and  $S$  is an inverse semigroup with subsemigroup  $E$  of idempotents,  $\chi$  is a bounded module homomorphism from  $l^1(S)$  to  $l^1(S)$  that is  $w_{k^*}$ -continuous and  $l^1(S)$  as a Banach module over  $l^1(E)$  is  $\chi$ -Connes module amenable, then it has a  $\chi$ -module normal, virtual diagonal. In the case  $\chi = id$ , the converse also holds.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Connes amenable dual Banach algebras were introduced by Runde in [19]. In [20], Runde showed that if a Banach algebra is Connes amenable, it has a normal, virtual diagonal. The interest in normal, virtual diagonals arises from the fact that for a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , Connes amenability of  $\mathcal{A}$  is completely determined by the existence of a normal, virtual diagonal. As noticed by Runde, the notion of a normal, virtual diagonal adapts naturally to the context of general dual Banach algebras. In [21], it is shown that  $M(G)$ , the measure algebra of a locally compact group  $G$ , is Connes amenable if and only if it has a normal, virtual diagonal.

---

MSC(2010): Primary: 22D15; Secondary: 43A10.

Keywords: Banach algebra, module amenability, derivation, semigroup algebra.

Received: 29 May 2019, Accepted: 6 December 2019.

\*Corresponding author.

In [1], Amini introduced the concept of module amenability for Banach algebras, and proved that when  $S$  is an inverse semigroup with subsemigroup  $E$  of idempotents, then  $l^1(S)$  as a Banach module over  $\mathcal{U} = l^1(E)$  is module amenable if and only if  $S$  is amenable. Also, in [2], it is shown that  $l^1(S)^{**}$  is  $l^1(E)$ -module amenable if and only if an appropriate group homomorphic image of  $S$  is finite. We may refer the reader e.g. to [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 16], for extensive treatments of various notions of module amenability.

All of these concepts generalized the earlier concept of amenability for Banach algebras introduced by Johnson [12]. Recently, the authors have introduced the  $\phi$ -version of Connes amenability of dual Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism from  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\mathbb{C}$  that lies in  $\mathcal{A}_*$  [11]. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a dual Banach algebra with a compatible action of a Banach algebra  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\varphi$  be a bounded module homomorphism from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{A}$  that is  $w_{k^*}$ -continuous. In this paper, we introduce the concept of  $\varphi$ -Connes module amenability for  $\mathcal{A}$  and give a characterization of  $\varphi$ -Connes module amenability in terms of  $\varphi$ -modul normal virtual diagonals. In particular, we show that if  $\chi$  is a bounded module homomorphism from  $l^1(S)$  to  $l^1(S)$  that is  $w_{k^*}$ -continuous and  $l^1(S)$  as a Banach module over  $l^1(E)$  is  $\chi$ -Connes module amenable, then it has a  $\chi$ -module normal virtual diagonal. In the case  $\chi = id$ , the converse also holds, restoring [21, Theorem 1] for the case of measure algebra of a discrete group.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a dual Banach algebra with predual  $\mathcal{A}_*$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  be a Banach algebra such that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Banach  $\mathcal{U}$ -bimodule with compatible actions, that is

$$\alpha.(ab) = (\alpha.a).b, (\alpha\beta).a = \alpha.(\beta.a) \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Let  $E$  be a dual Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule.  $E$  is called *normal* if for each  $x \in E$ , the maps

$$\mathcal{A} \rightarrow E, \quad a \rightarrow \begin{cases} a.x \\ x.a \end{cases}$$

are  $w_{k^*}$ -continuous. If moreover  $E$  is a  $\mathcal{U}$ -bimodule such that for  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $x \in E$

$$\alpha.(a.x) = (\alpha.a).x, (a.\alpha).x = a.(\alpha.x), (\alpha.x).a = \alpha.(x.a),$$

then  $E$  is called a *normal Banach left  $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{U}$ -module*. Similarly for the right and two sided actions. Also,  $E$  is called *commutative*, if

$$\alpha.x = x.\alpha \quad (\alpha \in \mathcal{U}, x \in E).$$

A module homomorphism from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{A}$  is a map  $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  with

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(a + b) &= \varphi(a) + \varphi(b), \quad \varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b) \\ \varphi(\alpha.a) &= \alpha.\varphi(a), \quad \varphi(a.\alpha) = \varphi(a).\alpha \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \mathcal{U}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is a dual Banach algebra, then multiplication in  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $w_{k^*}$ -continuous. Consider  $\mathcal{A}$  as dual  $\mathcal{A}$ -module with predual  $\mathcal{A}_*$ . So we shall suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  takes  $w_{k^*}$ -topology.  $\mathcal{HOM}_{w_{k^*}}(\mathcal{A})$  will denote the space of all bounded module homomorphism that is  $w_{k^*}$ -continuous.

A bounded map  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$  is called a module  $\varphi$ -derivation if

$$\begin{aligned} D(a \pm b) &= D(a) \pm D(b), \quad D(ab) = D(a).\varphi(b) + \varphi(a).D(b) \\ D(\alpha.a) &= \alpha.D(a), \quad D(a.\alpha) = D(a).\alpha \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \mathcal{U}). \end{aligned}$$

When  $E$  is commutative, each  $x \in E$  defines a module  $\varphi$ -derivation

$$D_x(a) = \varphi(a).x - x.\varphi(a) \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Derivations of this form are called *inner module  $\varphi$ -derivation*.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a dual Banach algebra,  $\mathcal{U}$  be a Banach algebra such that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Banach  $\mathcal{U}$ -module and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{HOM}_{w_{k^*}}(\mathcal{A})$ .  $\mathcal{A}$  is called  $\varphi$ -Connes module amenable if for any commutative normal Banach  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{U}$ -module  $E$ , each  $w_{k^*}$ -continuous module  $\varphi$ -derivation  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$  is inner.

Recall that if  $\varphi$  is identity map on  $\mathcal{A}$ , then id-Connes module amenability is called Connes module amenability. Also, by the proof of [1, Proposition 2.1], Connes amenability of  $\mathcal{A}$  implies its Connes module amenability in the case where  $\mathcal{U}$  has a bounded approximate identity for  $\mathcal{A}$ . Example 9 shows that the converse is false. Hence Connes module amenability is weaker than Connes amenability. Throughout this paper,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Banach algebra that is a Banach  $\mathcal{U}$ -module.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a dual Banach algebra and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{HOM}_{w_{k^*}}(\mathcal{A})$ . If  $\varphi$  is an epimorphism and  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\varphi$ -Connes module amenable, then  $\mathcal{A}$  is Connes-module amenable.*

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be a commutative normal Banach  $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{U}$ -module and  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$  be a  $w_{k^*}$ -continuous module derivation. Set  $d = D \circ \varphi$ . The mapping  $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$  is a module  $\varphi$ -derivation. Since  $\varphi \in \mathcal{HOM}_{w_{k^*}}(\mathcal{A})$ , then  $d$  is  $w_{k^*}$ -continuous. Thus there exists  $f \in E$  such that  $d(a) = f.\varphi(a) - \varphi(a).f$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $b \in \mathcal{A}$ , there exists  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\varphi(a) = b$ . Hence

$$D(b) = D(\varphi(a)) = d(a) = f.\varphi(a) - \varphi(a).f = f.b - b.f.$$

This shows that  $\mathcal{A}$  is Connes-module amenable. □

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a dual Arens regular Banach algebra and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{HOM}_{w_{k^*}}(\mathcal{A})$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\varphi$ -Connes module amenable.
- (ii)  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  is  $\varphi^{**}$ -Connes module amenable.

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let  $E$  be a commutative normal Banach  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ - $\mathcal{U}$ -module and  $D : \mathcal{A}^{**} \rightarrow E$  be a  $w_{k^*}$ -continuous module  $\varphi^{**}$ -derivation. Let  $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{**}$  be the canonical map. It is known that  $\theta$  is  $w_{k^*}$ -continuous. Define a module action of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $E$  by letting  $x \bullet a = x \cdot \theta(a)$ ,  $a \bullet x = \theta(a) \cdot x$  ( $a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in E$ ). It can be shown that this module action is well defined and turns  $E$  into a normal Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{U}$ -module. We define a derivation  $\tilde{D} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$  by letting  $\tilde{D} = D \circ \theta$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}(ab) = D \circ \theta(ab) &= D \circ \theta(a) \cdot \varphi^{**}(\theta(b)) + \varphi^{**}(\theta(a)) \cdot D \circ \theta(b) \\ &= D \circ \theta(a) \cdot \theta(\varphi(b)) + \theta(\varphi(a)) \cdot D \circ \theta(b) \\ &= D \circ \theta(a) \bullet \varphi(b) + \varphi(a) \bullet D \circ \theta(b) \\ &= \tilde{D}(a) \bullet \varphi(b) + \varphi(a) \bullet \tilde{D}(b). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\tilde{D}$  is a module  $\varphi$ -derivation that is  $w_{k^*}$ -continuous. Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\varphi$ -Connes module amenable, then there exists  $x \in E$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}(a) &= D \circ \theta(a) = x \bullet \varphi(a) - \varphi(a) \bullet x \\ &= x \cdot \theta(\varphi(a)) - \theta(\varphi(a)) \cdot x. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $G \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ . As  $\theta(\mathcal{A})$  is  $w_{k^*}$ -dense in  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ , there exists a net  $\{g_\alpha\}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\theta(g_\alpha) \rightarrow G$  in the  $w_{k^*}$ -topology. Also it is known that  $\varphi^{**}$  is  $w_{k^*}$ -continuous, then  $\varphi^{**}(\theta(g_\alpha)) \rightarrow \varphi^{**}(G)$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} D(G) = \lim_{\alpha} D \circ \theta(g_\alpha) &= \lim_{\alpha} x \cdot \theta \circ \varphi(g_\alpha) - \theta \circ \varphi(g_\alpha) \cdot x \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} x \cdot \varphi \circ \theta(g_\alpha) - \varphi \circ \theta(g_\alpha) \cdot x \\ &= x \cdot \varphi^{**}(G) - \varphi^{**}(G) \cdot x \end{aligned}$$

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let  $E$  be a commutative normal Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{U}$ -module and  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$  be a  $w_{k^*}$ -continuous module  $\varphi$ -derivation. Let  $\pi : (\mathcal{A}_*)^{***} \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}_*)^*$  by  $\pi(F) = F |_{\theta(\mathcal{A}_*)}$  be the Dixmier projection. It is well known that the Dixmier projection from  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  onto  $\mathcal{A}$  is a module homomorphism [14]. Then  $E$  is a Banach  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ - $\mathcal{U}$ -module with the bimodule multiplications

$$F \bullet x = \pi(F) \cdot x, \quad x \bullet F = x \cdot \pi(F) \quad (x \in E, F \in \mathcal{A}^{**}).$$

It is routinely checked that  $E$  is a commutative normal Banach  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ - $\mathcal{U}$ -module. Now set  $D \circ \pi : \mathcal{A}^{**} \rightarrow E$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} D \circ \pi(FG) &= D(\pi(F)\pi(G)) = D \circ \pi(F) \cdot \varphi \circ \pi(G) + \varphi \circ \pi(F) \cdot D \circ \pi(G) \\ &= D \circ \pi(F) \cdot \varphi^{**} \circ \pi(G) + \varphi^{**} \circ \pi(F) \cdot D \circ \pi(G) \\ &= D \circ \pi(F) \cdot \pi(\varphi^{**}(G)) + \pi(\varphi^{**}(F)) \cdot D \circ \pi(G) \\ &= D \circ \pi(F) \bullet \varphi^{**}(G) + \varphi^{**}(F) \bullet D \circ \pi(G). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  is  $\varphi^{**}$ -Connes module amenable, then there exists  $x \in E$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} D \circ \pi(F) = \varphi^{**}(F) \bullet x - x \bullet \varphi^{**}(F) &= \pi(\varphi^{**}(F)) \cdot x - x \cdot \pi(\varphi^{**}(F)) \\ &= \varphi^{**}(\pi(F)) \cdot x - x \cdot \varphi^{**}(\pi(F)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $D(a) = \varphi(a) \cdot x - x \cdot \varphi(a)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , and hence  $D$  is inner.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a commutative dual Banach algebra and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{HOM}_{w_{k^*}}(\mathcal{A})$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\varphi$ -Connes module amenable, then  $\mathcal{A}$  has a bounded approximate identity for  $\varphi(\mathcal{A})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a commutative Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{U}$ -module whose underlying space is  $\mathcal{A}$ , but on which  $\mathcal{A}$  acts via

$$a \cdot x := ax, \quad x \cdot a := 0 \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Let  $I : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be the identity map. It is easy to see that  $I \circ \varphi$  is a module  $\varphi$ -derivation. Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\varphi$ -Connes module amenable, there exists  $e \in \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} I \circ \varphi(a) &= \varphi(a) \cdot e - e \cdot \varphi(a) \\ \varphi(a) &= \varphi(a) \cdot e. \end{aligned}$$

The element  $e$  has the desired properties.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a dual Banach algebra and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{HOM}_{w_{k^*}}(\mathcal{A})$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\varphi$ -Connes module amenable, then  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\lambda \circ \varphi$ -Connes module amenable for any  $\lambda \in \mathcal{HOM}_{w_{k^*}}(\mathcal{A})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be a commutative normal Banach  $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{U}$ -module and  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$  be a module  $\lambda \circ \varphi$ -derivation that is  $w_{k^*}$ -continuous. If  $E$  is equipped with the module operation by

$$a \bullet x = \lambda(a) \cdot x, \quad x \bullet a = x \cdot \lambda(a), \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in E)$$

then  $E$  becomes a commutative normal Banach  $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{U}$ -module. We have

$$\begin{aligned} D(ab) &= D(a) \cdot \lambda \circ \varphi(b) + \lambda \circ \varphi(a) \cdot D(b) \\ &= D(a) \bullet \varphi(b) + \varphi(a) \bullet D(b). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, there exists  $f \in E$  such that

$$D(a) = f \bullet \varphi(a) - \varphi(a) \bullet f = f. \lambda \circ \varphi(a) - \lambda \circ \varphi(a). f \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

This shows that  $D$  is inner.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital dual Banach algebra and also  $\varphi \in \mathcal{HOM}_{w_k^*}(\mathcal{A})$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\varphi$ -Connes module amenable if and only if for any unital commutative Banach  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{U}$ -module  $E$ , each module  $\varphi$ -derivation  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$  is inner.*

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be a commutative normal Banach  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{U}$ -bimodule with predual  $E_*$ , and consider  $l : E \rightarrow E$  and  $r : E \rightarrow E$  by  $l(x) = e_{\mathcal{A}}x$  and  $r(x) = xe_{\mathcal{A}}$ . put  $E_1 = (id - l) \circ r(E)$ ,  $E_2 = (id - r) \circ l(E)$ ,  $E_3 = (id - l) \circ (id - r)(E)$  and  $E_4 = l \circ r(E)$ . The verification that  $E = E_1 \oplus E_2 \oplus E_3 \oplus E_4$  is routine. It is the direct sum of  $E_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . Then  $E_1$  is equipped with the module operation by

$$(x - e_{\mathcal{A}}x). a = x. a - e_{\mathcal{A}}x. a, a(x - e_{\mathcal{A}}x) = a. x - a. e_{\mathcal{A}}.x = 0$$

It is easy to see that  $E_1$  is a commutative normal Banach  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{U}$ -bimodule by predual  $(1 - e_{\mathcal{A}}).E_*.e_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Let  $\pi_1 : E \rightarrow E_1$  be the projection map. Then  $\pi_1 \circ D$  is a module  $\varphi$ -derivation from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $E_1$  that is  $w_k^*$ -continuous. Since  $\mathcal{A}$  has a left zero action on  $E_1$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1 \circ D(a) &= \pi_1 \circ D(e_{\mathcal{A}}.a) = \pi_1 \circ D(e_{\mathcal{A}}).\varphi(a) + \varphi(e_{\mathcal{A}}).\pi_1 \circ D(a) \\ &= \pi_1 \circ D(e_{\mathcal{A}}).\varphi(a) = \pi_1 \circ D(e_{\mathcal{A}}).\varphi(a) - \varphi(a).\pi_1 \circ D(e_{\mathcal{A}}) \end{aligned}$$

Also, a routine verification shows that  $\pi_2 \circ D = ad_{\pi_2 \circ D(e_{\mathcal{A}})}$  and  $\pi_3 \circ D = 0$ .

Now, let  $\pi_4 \circ D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E_4$ . It is obvious that  $\pi_4 \circ D$  is a module  $\varphi$ -derivation. We can show that  $E_4$  is a commutative normal Banach  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{U}$ -bimodule with predual  $e_{\mathcal{A}}.E_*.e_{\mathcal{A}}$ . By our assumption,  $\pi_4 \circ D$  is inner.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  are dual Banach algebras. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a dual Banach  $\mathcal{U}$ -module and  $\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$  denote the projective tensor product of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_* \otimes_w \mathcal{A}_*$  be the injective tensor product of  $\mathcal{A}_*$  with itself. Then we have a canonical map from  $\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$  into  $(\mathcal{A}_* \otimes_w \mathcal{A}_*)^*$  which has closed range if  $\mathcal{A}$  has the bounded approximation property. For more details, see [18]. Let  $I$  be the closed ideal of  $\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$  generated by elements of the form  $\alpha.(a \otimes b) - (a \otimes b).\alpha$ , for  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$ .  $\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}$  is defined to be the quotient Banach space  $\frac{\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}}{I}$  [15]. Let  $J$  be the closed ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by elements of the form  $(\alpha.a).b - a.(b.\alpha)$ . Since  $J$  is weak\*-closed, then the quotient algebra  $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J}$  is again dual with predual

${}^\perp J = \{\phi \in \mathcal{A}_* : \langle \phi, a \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } a \in J\}$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A} \cong \frac{\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}}{I}$  and  $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J}$  could be regarded as a Banach  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{U}$ -module. Let  $\mathcal{L}_{w^*}^2(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J}, \mathbb{C})$  denote the separately  $w_{k^*}$ -continuous 2-linear maps from  $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J} \times \frac{\mathcal{A}}{J}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ . Note that the dual Banach  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{U}$ -module  $\mathcal{L}_{w^*}^2(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J}, \mathbb{C})$  need not be normal. Let  $\tilde{w} : \mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{A}}{J}$  be the multiplication operator,  $\tilde{w}(a \otimes b + I) = ab + J$ . Since the quotient map is continuous and open, then it is immediate that  $\tilde{w}^*$  maps  ${}^\perp J$  into  $\mathcal{L}_{w^*}^2(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J}, \mathbb{C})$ . It follows that  $\tilde{w}^{**}$  drops to an  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{U}$ -module homomorphism  $\tilde{w}^{**} : \mathcal{L}_{w^*}^2(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J}, \mathbb{C})^* \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{A}}{J}$ . Recall a few definitions from [10](with a different notation, however). Given  $F \in \mathcal{L}_{w^*}^2(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J}, \mathbb{C})$  and  $M \in \mathcal{L}_{w^*}^2(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J}, \mathbb{C})^*$ , we put

$$\langle M, F \rangle = \int F dM =: \int_{\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}} F(a + J, b + J) dM(a + J, b + J).$$

More generally, let  $E$  be a dual Banach space and let  $F : \frac{\mathcal{A}}{J} \times \frac{\mathcal{A}}{J} \rightarrow E$  be a bilinear map such that  $a + J \rightarrow F(a + J, b + J)$  and  $b + J \rightarrow F(a + J, b + J)$  are  $w_{k^*}$ -continuous. We define  $\int F dM \in E$  by

$$\langle \int F dM, x \rangle = \int \langle F(a + J, b + J), x \rangle dM(a + J, b + J),$$

where  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}, x \in E_*$ . Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{HOM}_{w_{k^*}}(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $\varphi(J) \subseteq J$ . Then the map  $\tilde{\varphi} : \frac{\mathcal{A}}{J} \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{A}}{J}$  by  $\tilde{\varphi}(a + J) = \varphi(a) + J$  could be considered as an element of  $\mathcal{HOM}_{w_{k^*}}(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J})$ .

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a dual Banach algebra. An element  $M \in \mathcal{L}_{w^*}^2(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J}, \mathbb{C})^*$  is called a  $\varphi$ -module normal virtual diagonal for  $\mathcal{A}$  if  $\tilde{w}^{**}(M)$  is an identity for  $\frac{\varphi(\mathcal{A})}{J}$  and

$$M. \tilde{\varphi}(c + J) = \tilde{\varphi}(c + J). M \quad (c \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Note that with the above notation  $M. (c + J) = (c + J). M$  is equivalent to

$$\int F(ca + J, b + J) dM(a + J, b + J) = \int F(a + J, bc + J) dM(a + J, b + J).$$

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  be dual Banach algebras, let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital dual Banach  $\mathcal{U}$ -module and let  $\mathcal{A}$  has an id-module normal virtual diagonal. Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is id-Connes module amenable.*

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be a commutative normal Banach  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{U}$ -module. We first note that  $\mathcal{A}$  has an identity. From Theorem 5, it is therefore sufficient for  $\mathcal{A}$  to be id-Connes module amenable that we suppose that  $E$  is unital. Let  $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$  be a module derivation that is  $w_{k^*}$ -continuous. It is straightforward to see that  $E$  is a normal Banach  $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J}\mathcal{U}$ -module. Let  $E = (E_*)^*$ . Since  $E$  is commutative, then  $D = 0$  on  $J$ . Thus we have  $\tilde{D} : \frac{\mathcal{A}}{J} \rightarrow E$ ,  $\tilde{D}(a + J) := D(a)$  ( $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ). To each  $x \in E_*$ , there corresponds  $V_x : \frac{\mathcal{A}}{J} \times \frac{\mathcal{A}}{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  via  $V_x(a + J, b + J) = \langle x, (a + J)\tilde{D}(b + J) \rangle$  ( $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ ). It is routinely checked that  $V_x \in \mathcal{L}_{w^*}^2(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J}, \mathbb{C})$ . For each  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $a_* \in \mathcal{A}_*$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \int ab + JdM, a_* + J^\perp \right\rangle &= \left\langle \int \tilde{w}(a \otimes b + I)dM, a_* + J^\perp \right\rangle \\ &= \int \langle \tilde{w}(a \otimes b + I), a_* + J^\perp \rangle dM \\ &= \int \langle a \otimes b + I, \tilde{w}^*(a_* + J^\perp) \rangle dM \\ &= \left\langle \int a \otimes b + IdM, \tilde{w}^*(a_* + J^\perp) \right\rangle \\ &= \langle M, \tilde{w}^*(a_* + J^\perp) \rangle = \langle \tilde{w}^{**}(M), a_* + J^\perp \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

Now, put  $f(x) = \langle M, v_x \rangle$  ( $x \in E_*$ ). Let  $c \in \mathcal{A}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle (c + J). f - f. (c + J), x \rangle \\ &= \langle f, x. (c + J) - (c + J). x \rangle \\ &= \langle M, V_{x. (c+J)-(c+J). x} \rangle \\ &= \int V_{x. (c+J)-(c+J). x}(a + J, b + J)dM \\ &= \int \langle x. (c + J) - (c + J). x, (a + J)\tilde{D}(b + J) \rangle dM \\ &= \int \langle x, (c + J)(a + J)\tilde{D}(b + J) - (a + J)\tilde{D}(b + J)(c + J) \rangle dM \\ &= \int \langle x, (ca + J)\tilde{D}(b + J) - (a + J)\tilde{D}(b + J)(c + J) \rangle dM, \end{aligned}$$



and so

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle (c + J). f - f. (c + J), x \rangle \\
 &= \int \langle x, (a + J)\tilde{D}(bc + J) - (a + J)\tilde{D}(b + J)(c + J) \rangle dM \\
 &= \int \langle x, (a + J)\tilde{D}(b + J)(c + J) + (a + J)(b + J)\tilde{D}(c + J) \\
 &\quad - (a + J)\tilde{D}(b + J)(c + J) \rangle dM \\
 &= \int \langle (a + J)(b + J)\tilde{D}(c + J), x \rangle dM \\
 &= \int \langle (ab + J)\tilde{D}(c + J), x \rangle dM \\
 &= \int \langle (ab + J), x \rangle dM. \tilde{D}(c + J) \\
 &= \langle \tilde{w}^{**}(M). \tilde{D}(c + J), x \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

All in all,  $D(c) = c. f - f. c$  holds.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a commutative Banach  $\mathcal{U}$ -bimodule. Consider  $\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}$  with the product specified by  $(a \otimes b)(c \otimes d) = ac \otimes bd$ . Let  $\varphi \otimes \varphi$  denote the element of  $\mathcal{HOM}_{w_{k^*}}(\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A})$  satisfying  $\varphi \otimes \varphi(a \otimes b) = \varphi(a) \otimes \varphi(b)$  for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ .  $\varphi \otimes \varphi$  induces a map  $\varphi \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} \varphi \in \mathcal{HOM}_{w_{k^*}}(\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A})$  with  $\varphi \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} \varphi(a \otimes b) = \varphi(a) \otimes \varphi(b) + I$  [7].

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  be dual Banach algebras, let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital dual Banach  $\mathcal{U}$ -module and let  $\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}$  be a dual Banach algebra and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{HOM}_{w_{k^*}}(\mathcal{A})$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\varphi$ -Connes module amenable, then  $\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}$  is  $\varphi \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} \varphi$ -Connes module amenable.*

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be a commutative normal Banach  $\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{U}$ -module and  $\hat{D} : \mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$  be a module  $\varphi \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} \varphi$ -derivation that is  $w_{k^*}$ -continuous. Consider the quotient map  $\pi : \mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}$ . Define

$$(a \otimes b). x = \pi(a \otimes b) \ominus x, \quad x. (a \otimes b) = x \ominus \pi(a \otimes b) \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}, x \in E)$$

Since  $\pi$  is  $w_{k^*}$ -continuous, then  $E$  is a normal Banach  $\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{U}$ -module. Put  $\hat{D} \circ \pi : \mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$ . It is easy to see that  $\hat{D} \circ \pi$  is a module  $\varphi \otimes \varphi$ -derivation that is  $w_{k^*}$ -continuous. If  $\hat{D} \circ \pi$  is inner, then  $\hat{D}$  is inner. Therefore in the following we prove that  $D = \hat{D} \circ \pi$  is inner. For with  $e_{\mathcal{A}}$  an identity for  $\mathcal{A}$  we define

$$a \Delta x = (a \otimes e_{\mathcal{A}}). x, \quad x \Delta a = x. (a \otimes e_{\mathcal{A}}) \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in E).$$

For  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $x \in E$  and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
a \Delta (\alpha \cdot x) - (a \cdot \alpha) \Delta x &= (a \otimes e_{\mathcal{A}}) \cdot (\alpha \cdot x) - (a \cdot \alpha \otimes e_{\mathcal{A}}) \cdot x \\
&= (a \otimes e_{\mathcal{A}}) \cdot (\alpha \cdot x) - (\alpha \cdot a \otimes e_{\mathcal{A}}) \cdot x \\
&= (a \otimes e_{\mathcal{A}}) \cdot (\alpha \cdot x) - (\alpha \cdot (a \otimes e_{\mathcal{A}})) \cdot x \\
&= (a \otimes e_{\mathcal{A}}) \cdot (\alpha \cdot x) - ((a \otimes e_{\mathcal{A}}) \cdot \alpha) \cdot x \\
&= (a \otimes e_{\mathcal{A}}) \cdot (\alpha \cdot x) - (a \otimes e_{\mathcal{A}}) \cdot (\alpha \cdot x) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

and the same for the right or two-sided actions. So  $E$  is a commutative normal Banach  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{U}$ -bimodule. Put  $D_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow E$ ,  $D_{\mathcal{A}}(a) = D(a \otimes e_{\mathcal{A}})$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{\mathcal{A}}(ab) &= D(ab \otimes e_{\mathcal{A}}) \\
&= D(a \otimes e_{\mathcal{A}}) \cdot \varphi \otimes \varphi(b \otimes e_{\mathcal{A}}) + \varphi \otimes \varphi(a \otimes e_{\mathcal{A}}) \cdot D(b \otimes e_{\mathcal{A}}) \\
&= D_{\mathcal{A}}(a) \Delta \varphi(b) + \varphi(a) \Delta D_{\mathcal{A}}(b).
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\varphi$ -Connes module amenable, there is  $u \in E$  such that  $D_{\mathcal{A}} = ad_u$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{D} = D - ad_u$  vanishes on  $\mathcal{A} \otimes e_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Setting

$$a \nabla x = (e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes a) \cdot x, \quad x \nabla a = x \cdot (e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes a) \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in E)$$

makes  $E$  into an  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{U}$ -bimodule. Let us now,  $D'_{\mathcal{A}}(a) = \tilde{D}(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes a)$  ( $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ). Set  $K = \{e \in E_* : \langle \tilde{D}(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes a), e \rangle = 0\}$ . Since  $\tilde{D}$  is  $w_{k^*}$ -continuous, by a similar argument of [17, Theorem 4.9] we have  $(\frac{E_*}{K})^* = \overline{\tilde{D}(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes a)}^{w_k^*}$ . Further,  $\overline{\tilde{D}(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes a)}^{w_k^*}$  is a  $w_{k^*}$ -closed submodule of  $E$ . All in all  $\overline{\tilde{D}(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes a)}^{w_k^*}$  is a commutative normal Banach  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{U}$ -module. Then there is  $v \in \overline{\tilde{D}(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes a)}^{w_k^*}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{D}(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes a) &= D'_{\mathcal{A}}(a) = \varphi(a) \nabla v - v \nabla \varphi(a) = \varphi \otimes \varphi(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes a) \cdot v - v \cdot \varphi \otimes \varphi(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes a) \\
&\text{and } \tilde{D} - ad_v|_{(e_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{A})} = \{0\}. \text{ Consequently } \tilde{D} - ad_v = D - ad_u - ad_v \\
&\text{vanishes on } \mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}. \text{ This complete the proof. } \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

### 3. $\chi$ -CONNES MODULE AMENABILITY OF SEMIGROUP ALGEBRAS

A discrete semigroup  $S$  is called an inverse semigroup if for each  $x \in S$  there is a unique element  $x^* \in S$  such that  $xx^*x = x$  and  $x^*xx^* = x^*$ . An element  $e \in S$  is called an idempotent if  $e = e^* = e^2$ . The set of idempotent elements of  $S$  is denoted by  $E$ . For  $s \in S$ , we define  $L_s, R_s : S \rightarrow S$  by  $L_s(t) = st, R_s(t) = ts, (t \in S)$ . If for each  $s \in S$ ,  $L_s$  and  $R_s$  are finite-to-one maps, then we say that  $S$  is weakly cancellative.

Before turning our result, we note that if  $S$  is a weakly cancellative semigroup, then  $l^1(S)$  is a dual Banach algebra with predual  $c_0(S)$ [8].

In Theorem 2.8 it is shown that if a unital Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  has an  $id$ -module normal virtual diagonal, then  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $id$ -Connes module amenable. It would be interesting to know that the converse holds for inverse semigroup algebra  $l^1(S)$ .

For an inverse semigroup  $S$ , we consider an equivalence relation on  $S$  where  $s \sim t$  if and only if there is  $e \in E$  such that  $se = te$ . The quotient semigroup  $S_G = \frac{S}{\sim}$  is a group [13]. It is easy to see that  $E$  is a commutative subsemigroup of  $S$ . Therefore,  $l^1(S)$  is a Banach  $l^1(E)$ -module with compatible canonical actions. Let  $l^1(E)$  acts on  $l^1(S)$  by the multiplication from right and trivially from left, that is

$$\delta_e \cdot \delta_s = \delta_s, \delta_s \cdot \delta_e = \delta_{se} = \delta_s * \delta_e \quad (s \in S, e \in E).$$

With above notation,  $l^1(S_G)$  is a quotient of  $l^1(S)$  and so the above action of  $l^1(E)$  on  $l^1(S)$  lifts to an action of  $l^1(E)$  on  $l^1(S_G)$ , making it a Banach  $l^1(E)$ -module [1].

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $S$  be a weakly cancellative semigroup. Let  $S$  be an inverse semigroup with idempotents  $E$ , let  $l^1(S)$  be a Banach  $l^1(E)$ -module and let  $\chi \in \mathcal{HOM}_{w_k^*}(l^1(S))$ . If  $l^1(S)$  is  $\chi$ -Connes module amenable, then  $l^1(S)$  has a  $\chi$ -module normal virtual diagonal.*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi : S \rightarrow S_G$  be the quotient map. By [1, Lemma 3.2], we define a bimodule action of  $l^1(S)$  on  $l^\infty(S_G)$  by

$$\delta_s \cdot x = \delta_{\pi(s)} * x, \quad x \cdot \delta_s = x * \delta_{\pi(s)} \quad (s \in S, x \in l^\infty(S_G)).$$

Since  $c_0(S_G)$  is an introverted subspace of  $l^\infty(S_G)$  [9], then  $l^\infty(S_G)^*$  is a normal Banach  $l^1(S)$ - $l^1(E)$ -module. Choose  $n \in l^\infty(S_G)^*$  with  $\langle n, 1 \rangle = 1$ , and define  $D : l^1(S) \rightarrow l^\infty(S_G)^*$  by  $D(\delta_s) = \chi(\delta_s) \cdot n - n \cdot \chi(\delta_s)$ . Moreover,  $D$  attains its values in the weak\*-closed submodule  $(\frac{l^\infty(S_G)}{\mathbb{C}})^*$ . Since  $l^1(S)$  is  $\chi$ -Connes module amenable, then  $D$  is inner.

Consequently, there exists  $\tilde{n} \in (\frac{l^\infty(S_G)}{\mathbb{C}})^*$  such that  $D(\delta_s) = ad_{\tilde{n}}$ , so

$$\tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(s)}) \cdot n - n \cdot \tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(s)}) = \tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(s)}) \cdot \tilde{n} - \tilde{n} \cdot \tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(s)}).$$

For each  $f \in l^\infty(S_G)$ ,

$$\langle \tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(s)}) \cdot (n - \tilde{n}) - (n - \tilde{n}) \cdot \tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(s)}), f \rangle = 0.$$

Now put  $m := n - \tilde{n} \in l^\infty(S_G)^*$ , we have

$$\langle \tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(s)}) \cdot m - m \cdot \tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(s)}), f \rangle = 0.$$

By a similar argument as in [18, Lemma 7.1.1], there exists a net  $\{f_\alpha\}$  of  $l^1(S_G)$  such that  $\int f_\alpha = 1$  and  $\| \tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(s)}) * f_\alpha - f_\alpha * \tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(s)}) \| \rightarrow 0$ .

Now let  $f \in c_0(S_G \times S_G)$ . Take  $\epsilon > 0$  and consider a compact set  $K$  such that  $\|f(x)\|_{S_G \setminus K} < \sqrt{\epsilon}$  and

$$\sup_{s \in K} \|\tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(s)}) * f_\alpha - f_\alpha * \tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(s)})\| < \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon}}{\|f\|}.$$

Since the quotient map is continuous and open, then by [20, Proposition 3.1] we have  $\mathcal{L}_{w_k^*}^2(l^1(S_G), \mathbb{C}) = c_0(S_G \times S_G)$ . Then we may define

$$\langle M, f \rangle = \lim_\alpha \int f(\tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(x^*)}), \tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(x)})) f_\alpha(x) dx.$$

By the above argument, for each  $s \in S$  there exists  $\alpha_0$  such that for each  $\alpha > \alpha_0$ ,  $\|\tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(s)}) * f_\alpha - f_\alpha * \tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(s)})\| < \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon}}{2}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(s)}) \cdot M - M \cdot \tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(s)}), f \rangle = \langle M, f \cdot \tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(s)}) - \tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(s)}) \cdot f \rangle \\ & = \lim_\alpha \int \left( f(\tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(s)\pi(x^*)}), \tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(x)})) - f(\tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(x^*)}), \tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(xs)})) \right) f_\alpha(x) dx \\ & \leq \|f\|_{S_G \setminus K} \|\tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(s)}) * f_\alpha - f_\alpha * \tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(s)})\| \\ & + \|f\|_K \|\tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(s)}) * f_\alpha - f_\alpha * \tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(s)})\| < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Also for each  $s$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{w}^{**}(M) \cdot \tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(s)}) & = \langle M, \tilde{w}^*(\tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(s)})) \rangle \\ & = \lim_\alpha \int (\tilde{w}^*(\tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(s)})))(\tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(x^*)}), \tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(x)})) f_\alpha(x) dx \\ & = \lim_\alpha \int \tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(s)}) \tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(x^*)}) \tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(x)}) f_\alpha(x) dx \\ & = \lim_\alpha \int \tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(s)}) \delta_{\pi(x^*)} \delta_{\pi(x)} f_\alpha(x) dx \\ & = \lim_\alpha \tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(s)}) \int f_\alpha(x) dx = \tilde{\chi}(\delta_{\pi(s)}). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $M$  is a  $\chi$ -normal module virtual diagonal for  $l^1(S)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $S$  be a weakly cancellative semigroup, let  $S$  be an inverse semigroup with idempotents  $E$  and let  $l^1(S)$  be a Banach  $l^1(E)$ -module. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $l^1(S)$  is Connes module amenable.
- (ii)  $l^1(S)$  has a module normal virtual diagonal.

*Proof.* This follows immediately from Theorem 2.8 and Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

Example 10. Let  $(\mathbb{N}, \vee)$  be the semigroup of positive integers with maximum operation. Since  $\mathbb{N}$  is weakly cancellative, then  $l^1(\mathbb{N})$  is a dual Banach algebra with predual  $c_0(\mathbb{N})$ . By [8, Theorem 5.13],  $l^1(\mathbb{N})$

is not Connes amenable. Moreover  $l^1(\mathbb{N})$  is module amenable on  $l^1(E_{\mathbb{N}})$ , so it is Connes module amenable (see [2]).

### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her careful reading of the paper and for many valuable suggestions.

### REFERENCES

1. M. Amini, Module amenability for semigroup algebras, *Semigroup Forum*, **69** (2004), 243–254.
2. M. Amini, A. Bodaghi, D. Bagha and D. Ebrahimi, Module amenability of the second dual and module topological center of semigroup algebras, *Semigroup Forum*, **80** (2010), 302–312.
3. M. Amini and R. Rezavand, Module operator amenability of the Fourier algebra of an inverse semigroup, *Semigroup Forum*, **92** (2014), 45–70.
4. M. Amini and R. Rezavand, Module operator virtual diagonals on the Fourier algebra of an inverse semigroup, *Semigroup Forum*, **97** (2018), 562–570.
5. M. Lashkarizadeh Bami, M. Valaei and M. Amini, Super module amenability of inverse semigroup algebras, *Semigroup Forum*, **86** (2013), 279–283.
6. H. G. Dales, A. T. Lau and D. Strauss, Banach algebras on semigroups and their compactifications, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **205** (2010), 1–165.
7. H. G. Dales, *Banach algebras and automatic continuity*, London Math. Soc. Monogr. ser., Clarendon Press (2000)
8. M. Daws, Connes amenability of bidual and weighted semigroup algebras, *Math. Scand.*, **99** (2006), 217–246.
9. M. M. Day, Amenable Semigroups, *Illinois J. Math.*, **1** (1957), 509–544.
10. E. G. Effros, Amenability and virtual diagonals for Von Neumann algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **78** (1988), 137–156.
11. A. Ghaffari and S. Javadi,  $\varphi$ -Connes amenability of dual Banach algebras, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.*, **43** (2017), 25–39.
12. B. E. Johnson, Cohomology in Banach algebras, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **127** (1972).
13. W. D. Munn, A class of irreducible matrix representations of an arbitrary inverse semigroup, *Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc.*, **5** (1961), 41–48.
14. T. W. Palmer, Arens multiplication and a characterization of  $W^*$ -algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **44** (1974), 81–87.
15. M. A. Rieffel, Induced Banach representations of Banach algebras and locally compact groups, *J. Func. Analysis*, **1** (1967), 443–491.
16. R. Rezavand, M. Amini, M. H. Sattari and D. Ebrahimi Bagha, Module Arens regularity for semigroup algebras, *Semigroup Forum*, **77** (2008), 300–305.
17. W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, McGraw Hill, New York, 1991.
18. V. Runde, *Lectures on Amenability*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1774**, Springer Verlag, 2002.
19. V. Runde, Amenability for dual Banach algebras, *Studia Math.*, **148** (2001), 47–66.

20. V. Runde, Connes amenability and normal virtual diagonals for measure algebras I, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **67** (2003), 643–656.
21. V. Runde, Connes amenability and normal virtual diagonals for measure algebras II, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **68** (2003), 325–328.

**Ali Ghaffari**

Department of Mathematics, University of Semnan, P.O. Box 35195-363, Semnan, Iran.

Email: [aghaffari@semnan.ac.ir](mailto:aghaffari@semnan.ac.ir)

**Samaneh Javadi**

Faculty of Engineering- East Guilan, University of Guilan, P.O. Box 44891-63157, Rudsar, Iran.

Email: [s.javadi62@gmail.com](mailto:s.javadi62@gmail.com)

**Ebrahim Tamimi**

Department of Mathematics, University of Semnan, P.O. Box 35195-363, Semnan, Iran.

Email: [tamimi-ebrahim@yahoo.com](mailto:tamimi-ebrahim@yahoo.com)

$\varphi$ -CONNES MODULE AMENABILITY OF DUAL BANACH ALGEBRAS

A. GHAFFARI, S. JAVADI AND E. TAMIMI

$\varphi$ -کنز میانگین‌پذیری مدولی از جبرهای باناخ دوگان

علی غفاری<sup>۱</sup>، سمانه جوادی<sup>۲</sup> و ابراهیم تمیمی<sup>۱</sup>

<sup>۱</sup>گروه ریاضی محض، دانشکده علوم ریاضی، دانشگاه سمنان، سمنان، ایران

<sup>۲</sup>دانشکده مهندسی گیلان-شرق، دانشگاه گیلان، رودسر، ایران

در این مقاله  $\varphi$ -کنز میانگین‌پذیری مدولی از جبرهای باناخ دوگان  $A$  را تعریف می‌کنیم که در آن  $\varphi$  یک هم‌ریختی مدولی کراندار از  $A$  به  $A$  بوده که ضعیف ستاره پیوسته است. بررسی  $\varphi$  مدول نرمال و قطرهای واقعی از اهداف این مقاله است. فرض کنید  $S$  یک نیم‌گروه معکوس و حذفی ضعیف بوده و  $E$  زیر نیم‌گروه از خودتوان‌های  $S$  باشد. اگر  $\chi$  یک هم‌ریختی مدولی کراندار و ضعیف ستاره پیوسته از  $l^1(S)l^1(S)l^1(E)$  کنز میانگین‌پذیر مدولی باشد، آنگاه دارای یک  $\chi$ -مدول نرمال و قطر واقعی است. در حالی که  $\chi = id$  عکس این موضوع درست است.

کلمات کلیدی: جبرهای باناخ، میانگین‌پذیری مدولی، اشتقاق و جبر نیم‌گروهی.