



On the cominimaxness of local cohomology modules

G. Ghasemi* and J. A'zami

To cite this article: G. Ghasemi* and J. A'zami (7 July 2024): On the cominimaxness of local cohomology modules, Journal of Algebraic Systems, DOI: 10.22044/JAS.2023.12166.1635

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.22044/JAS.2023.12166.1635>



Published online: 7 July 2024

ON THE COMINIMAXNESS OF LOCAL COHOMOLOGY MODULES

G. GHASEMI* AND J. A'ZAMI

ABSTRACT. Let I be an ideal of a commutative Noetherian ring R . It is shown that the R -modules $H_I^i(M)$ are I -cominimax, for all finitely generated R -modules M and all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, if the R -modules $H_I^i(R)$ are I -cominimax with dimension not exceeding 1, for all integers $i \geq 2$. This is an analogue result of Bahmanpour in [6].

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, R denotes a commutative Noetherian ring (with non-zero identity) and I will denote an ideal of R . The symbol \mathbb{Z} denotes the set of integers; in addition, \mathbb{N} (respectively \mathbb{N}_0) will denote the set of positive (respectively non-negative) integers. For each R -module L , the set of minimal elements of $\text{Ass}_R L$ with respect to inclusion is denoted by $\text{mAss}_R L$; also, $\text{Assh}_R L$ denotes the set $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R L : \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = \dim L\}$. We denote $\text{Supp } R/I = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R : \mathfrak{p} \supseteq I\}$ by $V(I)$.

For an R -module M , the i th local cohomology module of M with support in $V(I)$ is defined as:

$$H_I^i(M) = \varinjlim_{n \geq 1} \text{Ext}_R^i(R/I^n, M).$$

We refer the reader to [11] or [19] for more details about local cohomology.

Recall that for an R -module M , the notion $\text{cd}(I, M)$, *the cohomological dimension of M with respect to I* , is defined as:

$$\text{cd}(I, M) = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 : H_I^i(M) \neq 0\}$$

and the notion $q(I, M)$, which for the first time was introduced by Hartshorne, is defined as:

$$q(I, M) = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 : H_I^i(M) \text{ is not Artinian}\},$$

Published online: 7 July 2024

MSC(2010): Primary: 13D45, 14B15; Secondary: 13E05.

Keywords: Cofinite module; Cohomological dimension; Ideal transform; Local cohomology; Noetherian ring.

Received: 1 August 2022, Accepted: 30 July 2023.

*Corresponding author.

with the usual convention that the supremum of the empty set of integers is interpreted as $-\infty$. These two notions have been studied by several authors (see [4, 7, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20]).

In the sequel the symbol $\mathcal{C}(R, I)_{com}$ denotes the category of all I -cominimax R -modules and $\mathcal{C}^1(R, I)_{com}$ denotes the category of all R -modules $M \in \mathcal{C}(R, I)_{com}$ such that $\dim M \leq 1$. An R -module M is called \mathfrak{a} -cominimax if the support of M is contained in $V(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, M)$ is minimax for all $i \geq 0$. The concept of the \mathfrak{a} -cominimax modules is introduced in [2]. Also, throughout this paper, let $\mathcal{S}'(R)$ denote the class of all ideals I of R such that $H_I^i(M) \in \mathcal{C}(R, I)_{com}$, for all finitely generated R -modules M and all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Recall that the I -transform functor, denoted by $D_I(-)$ is defined as:

$$D_I(-) = \varinjlim_{n \geq 1} \text{Hom}_R(I^n, -).$$

In general, the R -module $D_I(R)$ has an R -algebra structure (see [11, Exercise 2.2.3]). In fact, with this structure $D_I(R)$ is a commutative ring with identity. Also, it is well known that if $D_I(-)$ is an exact functor then $D_I(R)$ is a finitely generated R -algebra. But, in general we don't know when the ring $D_I(R)$ is Noetherian.

Throughout this paper, for each pair of the sets X and Y , the expression $X \subseteq Y$ means that X is a subset of Y and the expression $X \subset Y$ means that $X \subseteq Y$ and $X \neq Y$. For an Artinian R -module A , the set of attached prime ideals of A is denoted by $\text{Att}_R A$. Also, for any non-nilpotent element x of R and any R -module M , the localization of M at the multiplicatively closed subset $S = \{1_R, x, x^2, x^3, \dots\}$ of R is shown by M_x . For each ideal I of a Noetherian ring R and each R -module M , we denote the submodule $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (0 :_M I^n)$ of M by $\Gamma_I(M)$. Furthermore, for any ideal I of a commutative ring T , we denote the set of minimal prime ideals over I by $\text{Min } I$. Also, we show set of all maximal ideals of a ring T by $\text{Max}(T)$. Finally, for any ideal J of T , the radical of J , denoted by $\text{Rad}(J)$, is defined to be the set $\{x \in T : x^n \in J \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. For any unexplained notation and terminology we refer the reader to [11, 12, 21].

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we establish some technical results which will be used later. We start this section with some auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. For an ideal I of a ring R , the following statements hold:

- (1) $\mathcal{C}^1(R, I)_{\text{cof}}$ is an Abelian category.
- (2) Suppose that M is an R -module with $\text{Supp } M \subseteq \text{Max}(R) \cap V(I)$. If the R -module $(0 :_M I)$ is finitely generated then the R -module M is Artinian and I -cofinite.

Proof. See [10, Theorem 2.7] and [22, Lemma 2.1]. □

Let R be a Noetherian ring and I be an ideal of R . Recall that a subcategory \mathcal{M} of the category of all R -modules is said to be a *Serre category* if in any short exact sequence of R -modules and R -homomorphisms, the middle module is in \mathcal{M} if and only if the two other modules are in \mathcal{M} . Let $\mathcal{C}^1(R, I)$ be the Serre category of all I -torsion R -modules M with $\dim M \leq 1$. We want to emphasize at the outset, that two categories $\mathcal{C}^1(R, I)$ and $\mathcal{C}^1(R, I)_{\text{cof}}$ are different. In fact always $\mathcal{C}^1(R, I)_{\text{com}}$ is a proper subcategory of $\mathcal{C}^1(R, I)$. Now, for any R -module N , we define the notation $c^1(I, N)$ as the greatest integer i such that $H_I^i(N)$ is not in $\mathcal{C}^1(R, I)$ if there exist such i 's and $-\infty$ otherwise. Finally, we recall that in [4] the notion $\tilde{q}(I, N)$ is defined as the greatest integer i such that $H_I^i(N)$ is not an Artinian I -cofinite module if there exist such i 's and $-\infty$ otherwise.

Lemma 2.2. Let I be an ideal of a ring R . Assume that M and N are two finitely generated R -modules such that $\text{Supp } M \subseteq \text{Supp } N$. Then the following statements hold:

- (1) $c^1(I, M) \leq c^1(I, N)$.
- (2) $q(I, M) \leq q(I, N)$.
- (3) $\tilde{q}(I, M) \leq \tilde{q}(I, N)$.
- (4) $\text{cd}(I, M) \leq \text{cd}(I, N)$.

Proof. (1) Considering the fact that $\mathcal{C}^1(R, I)$ is a Serre category, the assertion follows immediately from [4, Theorem 2.3].

- (2) See [14, Theorem 3.2].
- (3) See [4, Theorem 2.6].
- (4) See [15, Theorem 2.2].

□

The following result is needed in the proof of Theorem 3.10.

Lemma 2.3. Let I, J be two ideals of a ring R and M be an R -module with $JM = 0$ and $\text{Supp } M \subseteq V(I)$. Then M is I -cominimax (as an R -module) if and only if M is $(I + J)/J$ -cominimax (as an R/J -module).

Proof. The assertion follows by applying a method similar to the proof of [13, Proposition 2]. □

Lemma 2.4. (See [4, Theorem 4.10]) Let I be an ideal of a ring R with $q(I, R) \leq 1$. Then, $I \in \mathcal{I}(R)$.

3. RESULTS

The main goal of this section is to prove Theorem 3.10. But, first we need some useful lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. Let I be an ideal of a ring R such that $\mathcal{C}(R, I)_{com}$ is Abelian. Then the following statements hold:

(1) Suppose that

$$X^\bullet : \dots \longrightarrow X^i \xrightarrow{f^i} X^{i+1} \xrightarrow{f^{i+1}} X^{i+2} \longrightarrow \dots,$$

is a complex such that $X^i \in \mathcal{C}(R, I)_{com}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ the i^{th} cohomology module $H^i(X^\bullet)$ is in $\mathcal{C}(R, I)_{com}$.

(2) Assume that $M \in \mathcal{C}(R, I)_{com}$ and N is a finitely generated R -module. Then for each $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the R -modules $\text{Tor}_i^R(N, M)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)$ are in $\mathcal{C}(R, I)_{com}$.

Proof. (1) The assertion follows easily from the definition.

(2) Since N is finitely generated it follows that N has a free resolution with finitely generated free R -modules. Now the assertion follows from applying part (i) and computing the R -modules $\text{Tor}_i^R(N, M)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)$ by this free resolution. \square

Lemma 3.2. (See [1, Lemma 2.3]) Let I be an ideal of a ring R and \mathcal{M} be a Serre subcategory of the category of R -modules. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and M be an R -module such that $\text{Ext}_R^j(R/I, H_I^i(M)) \in \mathcal{M}$, for all $0 \leq i < n$ and all $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If the R -modules $\text{Ext}_R^n(R/I, M)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(R/I, M)$ are in \mathcal{M} , then the R -modules $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_I^n(M))$ and $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, H_I^n(M))$ are in \mathcal{M} .

Lemma 3.3. (See [10, Proposition 2.6]) Let I be an ideal of a Noetherian ring R and M be an R -module such that $\dim M \leq 1$ and $\text{Supp } M \subseteq V(I)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(1) M is I -cominimax.

(2) The R -modules $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, M)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, M)$ are cominimax.

Lemma 3.4. (See [3, Corollary 2.10]) Let I be an ideal of a ring R with $q(I, R) \leq 1$. Then $\mathcal{C}(R, I)_{cof}$ is Abelian.

Lemma 3.5. (See [5, Theorem 3.11]) Let I be an ideal of a ring R such that the I -transform functor $D_I(-)$ is exact. Then $D_I(R)$ is a flat R -algebra.

The following lemma is needed in the proof of Proposition 3.9.

Lemma 3.6. (See [6, Lemma 4.6]) Suppose that I is an ideal of a ring R such that $\Gamma_I(R) = 0$ and $q(I, R) \leq 1$. Let N be a finitely generated R -module. Then the R -modules $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(N, D_I(R))$ are Artinian and I -cofinite, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and the R -modules $\mathrm{Ext}_R^j(R/I, N \otimes_R D_I(R))$ are finitely generated, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Lemma 3.7. (See [8, Lemma 2.4]) Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring and A be an Artinian R -module. Suppose that x is an element in \mathfrak{m} such that $V(xR) \cap \mathrm{Att}_R A \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. Then the R -module A/xA has finite length.

Lemma 3.8. (See [8, Lemma 2.5]) Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring and A be an Artinian R -module. Suppose that I is an ideal of R such that the R -module $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R/I, A)$ is finitely generated. Then $V(I) \cap \mathrm{Att}_R A \subseteq V(\mathfrak{m})$.

In [25] H. Zöschinger introduced the interesting class of minimax modules, and in [25, 26] he has given many equivalent conditions for a module to be minimax. The R -module N is said to be a *minimax module*, if there is a finitely generated submodule L of N , such that N/L is Artinian. Hence, the class of minimax modules includes all finitely generated and all Artinian modules. Also, from [9, Lemma 2.1] we know that the category of minimax modules is a Serre category. It was shown by T. Zink [24] and by E. Enochs [16] that a module over a complete local ring is minimax if and only if it is Matlis reflexive. Finally, we recall that the *arithmetic rank* of an ideal J in a commutative Noetherian ring R , denoted by $\mathrm{ara}(J)$, is the least number of elements of J required to generate an ideal which has the same radical as J , i.e.,

$$\mathrm{ara}(J) = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \exists x_1, \dots, x_n \in J \text{ with} \\ \mathrm{Rad}((x_1, \dots, x_n)R) = \mathrm{Rad}(J)\}.$$

The following proposition plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 3.10.

Proposition 3.9. Let I be an ideal of a ring R such that $\Gamma_I(R) = 0$ and $H_I^i(R) \in \mathcal{C}^1(R, I)_{\mathrm{com}}$, for all integers $i \geq 2$. Then, for each finitely generated R -module N and each integer $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the R -module $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(N, D_I(R))$ is I -cominimax and the R -modules $\mathrm{Ext}_R^j(R/I, N \otimes_R D_I(R))$ are minimax, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. If $q(I, R) \leq 1$ then the assertion follows from Lemma 3.6. So, we may assume that $q(I, R) \geq 2$. Then by applying Lemma 2.1, from the hypothesis $H_I^{q(I,R)}(R) \in \mathcal{C}^1(R, I)_{com}$ we can deduce that $\dim H_I^{q(I,R)}(R) = 1$. (If $\dim H_I^{q(I,R)}(R) = 0$, then $\text{Supp } H_I^{q(I,R)}(R) \subseteq \text{Max}(R)$ and since $0 :_{H_I^{q(I,R)}(R)} I$ is minimax, it follows that $0 :_{H_I^{q(I,R)}(R)} I$ is Artinian and so $H_I^{q(I,R)}(R)$ is Artinian which is a contradiction).

Suppose that N is a finitely generated R -module. In order to prove the assertion, we use induction on $t = \text{ara}(I + \text{Ann}_R N / \text{Ann}_R N)$. If $t = 0$, then it follows from the definition that $\text{Supp } N \subseteq V(I)$. By the hypothesis the R -module $H_I^i(R)$ is I -cominimax, for all integers $i \geq 2$. Also, by the assumption we have $H_I^0(R) \simeq \Gamma_I(R) = 0$. Therefore, for each $i \neq 1$ the R -module $H_I^i(R)$ is I -cominimax. Hence, by [23, Proposition 3.11] the R -module $H_I^1(R)$ is I -cominimax too. Therefore, by [23, Corollary 2.5], for each $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the R -module $\text{Tor}_i^R(N, H_I^1(R))$ is minimax. On the other hand, the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow D_I(R) \longrightarrow H_I^1(R) \longrightarrow 0,$$

induces the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots &\longrightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(N, R) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(N, D_I(R)) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(N, H_I^1(R)) \\ &\longrightarrow N \otimes_R R \longrightarrow N \otimes_R D_I(R) \longrightarrow N \otimes_R H_I^1(R) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

As $\text{Tor}_i^R(N, R) = 0$, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows the R -module $\text{Tor}_i^R(N, D_I(R))$ is minimax, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and

$$\text{Supp } \text{Tor}_i^R(N, D_I(R)) \subseteq \text{Supp } \text{Tor}_i^R(N, H_I^1(R)) \subseteq V(I), \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $N \otimes_R D_I(R)$ is minimax, it follows that $\text{Ext}_R^j(R/I, N \otimes_R D_I(R))$ is minimax for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Thus the assertion holds for $t = 0$.

Suppose, inductively, that $t > 0$ and the result has been proved for all smaller values of t . Since $\text{Ann}_R N \subseteq \text{Ann}_R N / \Gamma_I(N)$, it follows that

$$\text{ara}(I + \text{Ann}_R N / \Gamma_I(N) / \text{Ann}_R N / \Gamma_I(N)) \leq \text{ara}(I + \text{Ann}_R N / \text{Ann}_R N).$$

On the other hand, the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_I(N) \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow N / \Gamma_I(N) \longrightarrow 0,$$

induces the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots &\longrightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(\Gamma_I(N), D_I(R)) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(N, D_I(R)) \\ &\longrightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(N / \Gamma_I(N), D_I(R)) \longrightarrow \Gamma_I(N) \otimes_R D_I(R) \longrightarrow N \otimes_R D_I(R) \\ &\longrightarrow (N / \Gamma_I(N)) \otimes_R D_I(R) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, applying the inductive assumption for the I -torsion finitely generated R -module $\Gamma_I(N)$ and replacing N by $N/\Gamma_I(N)$, we can make the additional assumption that $\Gamma_I(N) = 0$. Then, by [11, Lemma 2.1.1] we have $I \not\subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R N} \mathfrak{p}$. Next, let $v \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\Omega_v := \bigcup_{i=1}^{v+1} \text{Supp Tor}_i^R(N, D_I(R)).$$

We claim that $\Omega_v \subseteq \text{Supp } \bigoplus_{i=2}^{\infty} H_I^i(R)$. Assume that the opposite holds. Then there is an integer $1 \leq l \leq v+1$ such that

$$\text{Supp Tor}_l^R(N, D_I(R)) \not\subseteq \text{Supp } \bigoplus_{i=2}^{\infty} H_I^i(R).$$

Choose an element $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp Tor}_l^R(N, D_I(R))$ such that $\mathfrak{p} \notin \text{Supp } \bigoplus_{i=2}^{\infty} H_I^i(R)$. Then, $H_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \simeq (H_I^i(R))_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$, for all integers $i \geq 2$. Thus, by [11, Lemma 6.3.1] the $IR_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -transform functor $D_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}(-)$ is exact and by Lemma 3.5, $D_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is a flat $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -algebra. Hence

$$(\text{Tor}_l^R(N, D_I(R)))_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq \text{Tor}_l^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(N_{\mathfrak{p}}, D_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}})) = 0,$$

which is a contradiction.

By [11, Corollary 3.3.3], we know that $\text{cd}(I, R) \leq \text{ara}(I) < \infty$. Since by the assumption $H_I^i(R) \in \mathcal{C}^1(R, I)_{\text{com}}$, for each integer $i \geq 2$, it follows that the set

$$\Psi := \bigcup_{i=2}^{\infty} \text{Ass}_R H_I^i(R),$$

is finite, because for all $i > \text{ara}(I)$, $H_I^i(R) = 0$ and also for all $i \geq 2$, $H_I^i(R)$ is I -cominimax and therefore $\text{Ass}_R H_I^i(R)$ is finite. Set

$$\Delta := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega_v : \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = 1\}.$$

Then it is clear that $\Delta \subseteq \text{Assh}_R \bigoplus_{i=2}^{\infty} H_I^i(R) \subseteq \Psi$ and Δ is a finite set. Furthermore, by using the assumption $H_I^i(R) \in \mathcal{C}^1(R, I)_{\text{com}}$, for each integer $i \geq 2$, and applying Lemma 2.1, it is easy to see that $q(IR_{\mathfrak{p}}, R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq 1$, for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \Delta$ (for this we show that for all $i \geq 2$, and $\mathfrak{p} \in \Delta$ the $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module $(H_I^i(R))_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Artinian. Let $H := H_I^i(R)$ for all $i \geq 2$ and $E := \text{Hom}_R(R/I, H)$. Since H is I -cominimax, it follows that there exists a finitely generated submodule T of E such that E/T is Artinian. Now from the fact that $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = 1$, we conclude $T_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq E_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and so the $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module $E_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is of finite length and $H_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Artinian for all $i \geq 2$. This shows that $q(IR_{\mathfrak{p}}, R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq 1$). Thus by Lemma 3.6, the $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module $\text{Tor}_i^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(N_{\mathfrak{p}}, D_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}})) = (\text{Tor}_i^R(N, D_I(R)))_{\mathfrak{p}}$, is Artinian and $IR_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -cofinite, for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \Delta$ and all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $\Delta = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$ and set

$$\Lambda := \bigcup_{i=1}^{v+1} \bigcup_{j=1}^n \{ \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } R \mid \mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}_j} \in \text{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}}(\text{Tor}_i^R(N, D_I(R)))_{\mathfrak{p}_j} \}.$$

By Lemma 3.8 we have $V(IR_{\mathfrak{p}_j}) \cap \text{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}}(\text{Tor}_i^R(N, D_I(R)))_{\mathfrak{p}_j} \subseteq V(\mathfrak{p}_jR_{\mathfrak{p}_j})$, for all $1 \leq i \leq v+1$ and all $1 \leq j \leq n$. Hence, $\Lambda \cap V(I) \subseteq \Delta$. Also, since for each $\mathfrak{q} \in \Lambda$ we have $\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}_j} \in \text{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}}(\text{Tor}_i^R(N, D_I(R)))_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$, for some integers $1 \leq i \leq v+1$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, it follows that

$$(\text{Ann}_R N)R_{\mathfrak{p}_j} \subseteq \text{Ann}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}}(\text{Tor}_i^R(N, D_I(R)))_{\mathfrak{p}_j} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}_j},$$

which implies $\text{Ann}_R N \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$. Therefore, $\Lambda \subseteq \text{Supp } N$.

On the other hand, by the definition there exist elements $y_1, \dots, y_t \in I$, such that

$$\text{Rad}(I + \text{Ann}_R N / \text{Ann}_R N) = \text{Rad}((y_1, \dots, y_t)R + \text{Ann}_R N / \text{Ann}_R N).$$

By the *Prime Avoidance Theorem*,

$$I \not\subseteq \left(\bigcup_{\mathfrak{q} \in \Lambda \setminus V(I)} \mathfrak{q} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R N} \mathfrak{p} \right),$$

which shows that

$$(y_1, \dots, y_t)R + \text{Ann}_R N \not\subseteq \left(\bigcup_{\mathfrak{q} \in \Lambda \setminus V(I)} \mathfrak{q} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R N} \mathfrak{p} \right).$$

But, $\text{Ann}_R N \subseteq \left(\bigcap_{\mathfrak{q} \in \Lambda \setminus V(I)} \mathfrak{q} \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R N} \mathfrak{p} \right)$, and consequently

$$(y_1, \dots, y_t)R \not\subseteq \left(\bigcup_{\mathfrak{q} \in \Lambda \setminus V(I)} \mathfrak{q} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R N} \mathfrak{p} \right).$$

Therefore, by [21, Exercise 16.8] there is $a \in (y_2, \dots, y_t)R$ such that

$$y_1 + a \notin \left(\bigcup_{\mathfrak{q} \in \Lambda \setminus V(I)} \mathfrak{q} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R N} \mathfrak{p} \right).$$

Let $x := y_1 + a$. Then $x \in I$ and

$$\text{Rad}(I + \text{Ann}_R N / \text{Ann}_R N) = \text{Rad}((x, y_2, \dots, y_t)R + \text{Ann}_R N / \text{Ann}_R N).$$

Now it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Rad}(I + \text{Ann}_R N / xN / \text{Ann}_R N / xN) \\ &= \text{Rad}((y_2, \dots, y_t)R + \text{Ann}_R N / xN / \text{Ann}_R N / xN), \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\text{ara}(I + \text{Ann}_R N / xN / \text{Ann}_R N / xN) \leq t - 1$. The short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{x} N \longrightarrow N/xN \longrightarrow 0,$$

induces an exact sequence

$$\text{Tor}_{i+1}^R(N, D_I(R)) \xrightarrow{x} \text{Tor}_{i+1}^R(N, D_I(R)) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}_{i+1}^R(N/xN, D_I(R))$$

$$\longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(N, D_I(R)) \xrightarrow{x} \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(N, D_I(R)),$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Consequently, for each $0 \leq i \leq v$, we have the short exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow U_{i+1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_{i+1}^R(N/xN, D_I(R)) \longrightarrow (0 :_{\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(N, D_I(R))} x) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $U_{i+1} := \mathrm{Tor}_{i+1}^R(N, D_I(R))/x \mathrm{Tor}_{i+1}^R(N, D_I(R))$.

By the inductive assumption, the R -modules $\mathrm{Tor}_{i+1}^R(N/xN, D_I(R))$ are I -cominimax, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Also, by Lemma 3.7, obviously the $R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$ -module $(U_{i+1})_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$ is of finite length, for all integers $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $0 \leq i \leq v$, because if $qR_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathrm{Att} \mathrm{Tor}_{i+1}^R(N, D_I(R))_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap V(xR_{\mathfrak{p}})$ then $x \in q$, $q \in \Lambda$ and $I \subseteq q$. Also the $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module $\mathrm{Tor}_i^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(N_{\mathfrak{p}}, D_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}})) = (\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(N, D_I(R)))_{\mathfrak{p}}$, is Artinian and $IR_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -cofinite. As $I \subseteq q$, so

$$\mathrm{Tor}_{i+1}^R(N, D_I(R))_{\mathfrak{p}}/qR_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathrm{Tor}_{i+1}^R(N, D_I(R))_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

is of finite length which shows that $qR_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}\}$ and so there exists a finitely generated submodule $U_{i+1,j}$ of U_{i+1} such that $(U_{i+1})_{\mathfrak{p}_j} = (U_{i+1,j})_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$. Set $U'_{i+1} := U_{i+1,1} + \cdots + U_{i+1,n}$, for all $0 \leq i \leq v$. Then for each $0 \leq i \leq v$, U'_{i+1} is a finitely generated submodule of U_{i+1} such that

$$\mathrm{Supp}_R U_{i+1}/U'_{i+1} \subseteq \Omega_v \setminus \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\} \subseteq \mathrm{Max} R.$$

For each $0 \leq i \leq v$, set $W_{i+1} := \mathrm{Tor}_{i+1}^R(N/xN, D_I(R))$. Then, for each $0 \leq i \leq v$, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow U_{i+1}/U'_{i+1} \longrightarrow W_{i+1}/W'_{i+1} \longrightarrow (0 :_{\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(N, D_I(R))} x) \longrightarrow 0,$$

for some finitely generated submodule W'_{i+1} of W_{i+1} .

We will show that U_{i+1} is a minimax R -module, for all $0 \leq i \leq v$. To do this, we notice that for each $0 \leq i \leq v$, W_{i+1}/W'_{i+1} is I -cominimax and hence $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R/I, U_{i+1}/U'_{i+1})$ is a minimax R -module. But

$$\mathrm{Supp} U_{i+1}/U'_{i+1} \subseteq \mathrm{Max} R$$

and U_{i+1}/U'_{i+1} is I -torsion, and therefore the R -module U_{i+1}/U'_{i+1} is Artinian. That is U_{i+1} is a minimax R -module. Consequently, for each $0 \leq i \leq v$, the R -module $(0 :_{\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(N, D_I(R))} x)$ is also I -cominimax. Moreover, from the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (N \otimes_R D_I(R))/x(N \otimes_R D_I(R)) \longrightarrow (N/xN) \otimes D_I(R) \longrightarrow 0,$$

and inductive assumption, it follows that the following R -module

$$\mathrm{Ext}_R^j(R/I, (N \otimes_R D_I(R))/x(N \otimes_R D_I(R)))$$

is minimax, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Now, since $x \in I$ and the R -modules

$$(0 :_{\text{Tor}_i^R(N, D_I(R))} x), \text{Tor}_i^R(N, D_I(R))/x \text{Tor}_i^R(N, D_I(R))$$

are I -cominimax, for all $1 \leq i \leq v$, from [23, Corollary 3.4] it follows that $\text{Tor}_i^R(N, D_I(R))$ is I -cominimax, for all $1 \leq i \leq v$. Furthermore, since the R -module $(0 :_{N \otimes_R D_I(R)} x)$ is I -cominimax and the R -module $\text{Ext}_R^j(R/I, (N \otimes_R D_I(R))/x(N \otimes_R D_I(R)))$ is minimax, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, by applying the method which is used already in the proof of [23, Corollary 3.4], it can be seen that the R -module $\text{Ext}_R^j(R/I, N \otimes_R D_I(R))$ is finitely generated, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Finally, as $v \in \mathbb{N}$ is an arbitrary integer, it is concluded that the R -module $\text{Tor}_i^R(N, D_I(R))$ is I -cominimax, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. This completes the inductive step. \square

Now, we are ready to establish the second main result of this paper.

Theorem 3.10. Let I be an ideal of a ring R such that $H_I^i(R) \in \mathcal{C}^1(R, I)_{com}$ for each integer $i \geq 2$. Then $I \in \mathcal{S}'(R)$.

Proof. Let $\bar{R} := R/\Gamma_I(R)$ and $\bar{I} = I\bar{R}$. We know that if $I \in \mathcal{S}'(R)$, then $\bar{I} \in \mathcal{S}'(\bar{R})$. Conversely, if $\bar{I} \in \mathcal{S}'(\bar{R})$ then for each finitely generated R -module M we have $JM \subseteq \Gamma_I(M)$, where $J := \Gamma_I(R)$ and hence for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $H_I^i(M) \simeq H_I^i(M/JM) \simeq H_{\bar{I}}^i(M/JM)$. Thus, from Lemma 2.3 we get $I \in \mathcal{S}'(R)$. On the other hand, for each $i \geq 1$ we have

$$H_I^i(R) \simeq H_I^i(\bar{R}) \simeq H_{\bar{I}}^i(\bar{R}).$$

Hence, by using the Lemma 2.3 we can see that $H_I^i(R) \in \mathcal{C}^1(R, I)_{com}$, for all $i \geq 2$, if and only if $H_{\bar{I}}^i(\bar{R}) \in \mathcal{C}^1(\bar{R}, \bar{I})_{com}$, for all $i \geq 2$. So, by passing to the quotient ring \bar{R} , we can make the additional assumption that $\Gamma_I(R) = 0$.

Now, let N be a finitely generated R -module and set $W := N \otimes_R D_I(R)$. From the assumption, $H_I^i(R) \in \mathcal{C}^1(R, I)_{com}$ for all $i \geq 2$, by Proposition 3.9 it follows that the R -module $\text{Ext}_R^j(R/I, W)$ is minimax, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

By the assumption $c^1(I, R) \leq 1$ and Lemma 2.2, for each finitely generated R -module U we have $c^1(I, U) \leq c^1(I, R) \leq 1$. Since by [11, Theorem 3.4.10], for each $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the local cohomology functor $H_I^i(-)$ commutes with direct limits, and W can be viewed as the direct limit of its finitely generated submodules, we have $c^1(I, W) \leq 1$ and $\dim H_I^i(W) \leq 1$, for all integers $i \geq 2$.

Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of R with $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} \geq 2$. As by the assumption the R -module $H_I^i(R)$ is in $\mathcal{C}^1(R, I)$, for all $i \geq 2$, we see that

$$H_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \simeq (H_I^i(R))_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0, \text{ for all } i \geq 2.$$

Thus, by [11, Lemma 6.3.1] the $IR_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -transform functor $D_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}(-)$ is exact. Hence, by applying [11, Exercise 6.1.8] we conclude that

$$W_{\mathfrak{p}} = (D_I(R) \otimes_R N)_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq D_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} N_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq D_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}(N_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Thus, by using [11, Corollary 2.2.8] we achieve the isomorphisms

$$(H_I^i(W))_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq H_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(W_{\mathfrak{p}}) \simeq H_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(D_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}(N_{\mathfrak{p}})) = 0, \text{ for } i = 0, 1.$$

Therefore, $\dim H_I^i(W) \leq 1$, for $i = 0, 1$. Consequently, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have $\dim H_I^i(W) \leq 1$ and the R -module $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, W)$ is minimax. Now, by induction on n we prove that the R -module $H_I^n(W)$ is I -cominimax for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

For $n = 0$, by Lemma 3.2, the R -modules $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, \Gamma_I(W))$ and $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, \Gamma_I(W))$ are minimax. Therefore, by Lemma 3.3, the R -module $\Gamma_I(W)$ is I -cominimax.

Suppose, inductively, that $n > 0$ and the result has been proved for smaller values of n . Then by Lemma 3.2, the R -modules $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_I^n(W))$ and $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, H_I^n(W))$ are minimax and so by Lemma 4.3 the R -module $H_I^n(W)$ is I -cominimax. This completes the inductive step.

According to [11, Remark 2.2.7], there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow D_I(R) \longrightarrow H_I^1(R) \longrightarrow 0,$$

which induces the exact sequence

$$\text{Tor}_1^R(N, H_I^1(R)) \xrightarrow{f} N \xrightarrow{g} N \otimes_R D_I(R) \longrightarrow N \otimes_R H_I^1(R) \longrightarrow 0,$$

whence, we get the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{im } g \longrightarrow N \otimes_R D_I(R) \longrightarrow N \otimes_R H_I^1(R) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (4.10.1)$$

Since

$$\text{Supp im } f \subseteq \text{Supp Tor}_1^R(N, H_I^1(R)) \subseteq \text{Supp } H_I^1(R) \subseteq V(I),$$

it follows that $\ker g = \text{im } f \subseteq \Gamma_I(N)$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{im } g / \Gamma_I(\text{im } g) &\simeq (N / \ker g) / \Gamma_I(N / \ker g) \\ &= (N / \ker g) / (\Gamma_I(N) / \ker g) \\ &\simeq N / \Gamma_I(N). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$H_I^i(\text{im } g) \simeq H_I^i(\text{im } g / \Gamma_I(\text{im } g)) \simeq H_I^i(N / \Gamma_I(N)) \simeq H_I^i(N), \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Moreover, for each integer $i \geq 2$, from the exact sequence (4.10.1) we get an exact sequence

$$H_I^{i-1}(N \otimes_R H_I^1(R)) \longrightarrow H_I^i(\operatorname{im} g) \longrightarrow H_I^i(W) \longrightarrow H_I^i(N \otimes_R H_I^1(R)),$$

which yields the isomorphism $H_I^i(\operatorname{im} g) \simeq H_I^i(W)$, for each $i \geq 2$. (Note that for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $H_I^j(N \otimes_R H_I^1(R)) = 0$, because the R -module $N \otimes_R H_I^1(R)$ is I -torsion). So, we have $H_I^i(W) \simeq H_I^i(\operatorname{im} g) \simeq H_I^i(N)$, for all $i \geq 2$. Now, we are in a position to deduce that for all $i \geq 2$, the R -module $H_I^i(N)$ is I -cominimax. Because the R -module $H_I^0(N)$ is finitely generated with support in $V(I)$, it follows that $H_I^0(N)$ is I -cominimax. Therefore, for each integer $i \neq 1$ the R -module $H_I^i(N)$ is I -cominimax. Hence, by [23, Proposition 3.11] the R -module $H_I^1(N)$ is I -cominimax too. This means that $I \in \mathcal{S}'(R)$. \square

Acknowledgments

The authors are deeply grateful to the referee for a very careful reading of the manuscript and many valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. N. Abazari and K. Bahmanpour, Extension functors of local cohomology modules and Serre categories of modules, *Taiwan. J. Math.*, **19** (2015), 211–220.
2. J. A'zami, R. Naghipour and B. Vakili, Finiteness properties of local cohomology modules for \mathfrak{a} -minimax modules, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **137** (2009), 439–448.
3. K. Bahmanpour, A note on Abelian categories of cofinite modules, *Comm. Algebra*, **48** (2020), 254–262.
4. K. Bahmanpour, Cohomological dimension, cofiniteness and Abelian categories of cofinite modules, *J. Algebra*, **484** (2017), 168–197.
5. K. Bahmanpour, Exactness of ideal transforms and annihilators of top local cohomology modules, *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, **52** (2015), 1253–1270.
6. K. Bahmanpour, On a question of Hartshorne, *Collect. Math.*, **72** (2021), 527–568.
7. K. Bahmanpour, J. A'zami and G. Ghasemi, A short note on cohomological dimension, *Moscow Math. J.*, **18** (2018), 205–210.
8. K. Bahmanpour and R. Naghipour, Cofiniteness of local cohomology modules for ideals of small dimension, *J. Algebra*, **321** (2009), 1997–2011.
9. K. Bahmanpour and R. Naghipour, On the cofiniteness of local cohomology modules, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **136** (2008), 2359–2363.
10. K. Bahmanpour, R. Naghipour and M. Sedghi, On the category of cofinite modules which is Abelian, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **142** (2014), 1101–1107.
11. M. P. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology; an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1998.

12. W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen Macaulay Rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol. **39**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1993.
13. D. Delfino and T. Marley, Cofinite modules and local cohomology, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **121** (1997), 45–52.
14. M. T. Dibaei and S. Yassemi, Associated primes and cofiniteness of local cohomology modules, *Manuscripta Math.*, **117** (2005), 199–205.
15. K. Divaani-Aazar, R. Naghipour and M. Tousi, Cohomological dimension of certain algebraic varieties, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **130** (2002), 3537–3544.
16. E. Enochs, Flat covers and flat cotorsion modules, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **92** (1984), 179–184.
17. G. Faltings, Über lokale Kohomologiegruppen höher Ordnung, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **313** (1980), 43–51.
18. A. Grothendieck, Cohomological dimension of algebraic varieties, *Annals of Math.*, **88** (1968), 403–450.
19. A. Grothendieck, *Local cohomology*, Notes by R. Hartshorne, Lecture Notes in Math. 862, Springer, New York, 1966.
20. C. Huneke and G. Lyubezink, On the vanishing of local cohomology modules, *Invent. Math.*, **102** (1990), 73–93.
21. H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1986.
22. L. Melkersson, Cofiniteness with respect to ideals of dimension one, *J. Algebra*, **372** (2012), 459–462.
23. L. Melkersson, Modules cofinite with respect to an ideal, *J. Algebra*, **285** (2005), 649–668.
24. T. Zink, Endlichkeitsbedingungen für moduln über einem Notherschen ring, *Math. Nachr.*, **164**, (1974), 239–252.
25. H. Zöschinger, Minimax modules, *J. Algebra*, **102** (1986), 1–32.
26. H. Zöschinger, Über die maximalbedingung für radikalvolle untermoduln, *Hokkaido Math. J.*, **17** (1988), 101–116.

Ghader Ghasemi

Department of Mathematics, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Ardabil, Iran.

Email: gghader790@gmail.com, ghghasemi@uma.ac.ir

Jafar A'zami

Department of Mathematics, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Ardabil, Iran.

Email: jafar.azami@gmail.com, azami@uma.ac.ir