

FUZZY NEUTROSOPHIC PRIME IDEALS OF BCK-ALGEBRAS

B. Satyanarayana, Sh. Baji* and D. Devanandam

ABSTRACT. In this research paper, we introduce and analyse the notion of fuzzy neutrosophic prime ideals (FNPIs) in a commutative BCK-algebra \mathcal{K} . It represents a further extension of prime ideals in the context of fuzzy neutrosophic sets. We provide an example that shows that not every fuzzy neutrosophic ideal of a commutative BCK-algebra \mathcal{K} is a FNPI of \mathcal{K} . We also prove that a fuzzy neutrosophic set of \mathcal{K} is a FNPI of \mathcal{K} if, for all $a, b, c \in [0, 1]$, the upper (a,b)-level cut and lower c-level cut are prime ideals of \mathcal{K} .

1. INTRODUCTION

L. A. Zadeh [14], a professor of computer science at the University of California introduced the concept of fuzzy sets (FSs) in 1965. Fuzzy sets analyzed the degree of membership of members of the set, and Xi [13] applied this concept to the ideals of *BCK/BCI* algebras. In 1986 Atanassove [3] generalized a fuzzy set to an intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) by including another function called a non-membership function, and Jun and Kim [8] introduced intuitionistic fuzzy ideals of *BCK*-algebras. In 1995, Smarandache ([11], [12]) introduced the neutrosophic set (NS), which discusses the degree of uncertainty. In [2], Arockiarani et al. introduced the concept of fuzzy neutrosophic sets (FNSs). In [9] Y. B. Jun et al. introduced fuzzy prime ideals in commutative *BCK*-algebras. Later in 2014, Saleem Abdullah [1] had given the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy prime ideals of commutative *BCK*-algebras. In this paper, we give a notion of FNPIs of commutative *BCK*-algebras and investigate some of their properties.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1. ([5], [6]) Let \mathcal{K} be a non-empty set with a binary operation “ \diamond ” and a constant “0”. Then, $(\mathcal{K}, \diamond, 0)$ is called a *BCK*-algebra if it follows the following axioms for all $p_0, r_0, u_0 \in \mathcal{K}$:

Published online: 1 April 2024

MSC(2020): Primary: 06F35; Secondary: 08A72.

Keywords: Intuitionistic fuzzy prime ideal (IFPI); Fuzzy neutrosophic set (FNS); Fuzzy neutrosophic ideal (FNI); Fuzzy neutrosophic prime ideal (FNPI).

Received: 31 March 2023, Accepted: 23 September 2023.

*Corresponding author.

$$((p_0 \diamond r_0) \diamond (p_0 \diamond u_0)) \diamond (u_0 \diamond r_0) = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

$$(p_0 \diamond (p_0 \diamond r_0)) \diamond r_0 = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

$$p_0 \diamond p_0 = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

$$0 \diamond p_0 = 0 \quad (2.4)$$

$$p_0 \diamond r_0 = 0 \text{ and } r_0 \diamond p_0 = 0 \Rightarrow p_0 = r_0 \quad (2.5)$$

Definition 2.2. [6] A non-empty subset \mathcal{I} of a BCK/BCI -algebra \mathcal{K} is called an ideal, if it satisfies

$$(I-1) 0 \in \mathcal{I}.$$

$$(I-2) p_0 \diamond r_0 \in \mathcal{I} \text{ and } r_0 \in \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow p_0 \in \mathcal{I} \text{ for all } p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Definition 2.3. [6] An ideal \mathcal{I} of a BCI -algebra \mathcal{K} is called a closed ideal, if it satisfies $0 \diamond p_0 \in \mathcal{I}$, for all $p_0 \in \mathcal{I}$.

Definition 2.4. [4] An ideal of a commutative BCK -algebra \mathcal{K} is said to be prime, if it satisfies $p_0 \wedge r_0 \in \mathcal{I}$ implies $p_0 \in \mathcal{I}$ or $r_0 \in \mathcal{I}$.

Proposition 2.5. [4] *Every prime ideal of a BCK -algebra \mathcal{K} is an ideal of \mathcal{K} .*

Definition 2.6. [14] Let \mathcal{K} be a non-empty set. A fuzzy set in the set \mathcal{K} is a mapping $\mathcal{N}_T : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.7. [14] The complement of a fuzzy set \mathcal{N}_T is denoted by $(\mathcal{N}_T)^c$ and is also a fuzzy set defined as $(\mathcal{N}_T)^c = 1 - \mathcal{N}_T$. Also, $(\mathcal{N}_T^c)^c = \mathcal{N}_T$.

Definition 2.8. [9] A fuzzy ideal \mathcal{N}_T of a commutative BCK -algebra is said to be a fuzzy prime ideal if $\mathcal{N}_T(p_0 \wedge r_0) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_T(p_0), \mathcal{N}_T(r_0)\}$ for all $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$.

Definition 2.9. [7] A fuzzy ideal \mathcal{N}_T of a commutative BCK -algebra \mathcal{K} is called an anti-fuzzy prime ideal of \mathcal{K} if $\mathcal{N}_T(p_0 \wedge r_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(p_0), \mathcal{N}_T(r_0)\}$ for all $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$.

Definition 2.10. [3] An intuitionistic fuzzy set \mathcal{N} in a non-empty set \mathcal{K} is an object having the form $\mathcal{N} = \{(p_0, \mathcal{N}_T(p_0), \mathcal{N}_F(p_0)) / p_0 \in \mathcal{K}\}$ where the functions $\mathcal{N}_T : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\mathcal{N}_F : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ denote the grade of membership and non-membership of each element $p_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ to the set \mathcal{N} respectively, and $0 \leq \mathcal{N}_T(p_0) + \mathcal{N}_F(p_0) \leq 1$ for all $p_0 \in \mathcal{K}$.

Definition 2.11. [8] An IFS $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_F)$ of a *BCK*-algebra \mathcal{K} is an IFI of \mathcal{K} if it follows the conditions:

- (IFI-1) $\mathcal{N}_T(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_T(p_0)$ and $\mathcal{N}_F(0) \leq \mathcal{N}_F(p_0)$.
- (IFI-2) $\mathcal{N}_T(p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(p_0 \diamond r_0), \mathcal{N}_T(r_0)\}$.
- (IFI-3) $\mathcal{N}_F(p_0) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_F(p_0 \diamond r_0), \mathcal{N}_F(r_0)\}$ for all $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$.

Definition 2.12. [1] An intuitionistic fuzzy ideal $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_F)$ of a commutative *BCK*-algebra \mathcal{K} is an intuitionistic fuzzy prime ideal of \mathcal{K} if it follows the conditions:

- (IFPI-1) $\mathcal{N}_T(p_0 \wedge r_0) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_T(p_0), \mathcal{N}_T(r_0)\}$.
- (IFPI-2) $\mathcal{N}_F(p_0 \wedge r_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(p_0), \mathcal{N}_F(r_0)\}$ for all $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$.

Definition 2.13. [2] A Fuzzy Neutrosophic Set (FNS) in a non-empty set \mathcal{K} is a structure of the form

$$\mathcal{N} = \{\langle p_0, \mathcal{N}_T(p_0), \mathcal{N}_I(p_0), \mathcal{N}_F(p_0) \rangle / p_0 \in \mathcal{K}\}.$$

Where $\mathcal{N}_T : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $\mathcal{N}_I : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\mathcal{N}_F : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ represents grade of belongingness, grade of indeterminacy and grade of non- belongingness of each element $p_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ to the set \mathcal{N} respectively and

$$0 \leq \mathcal{N}_T(p_0) + \mathcal{N}_I(p_0) + \mathcal{N}_F(p_0) \leq 3.$$

We shall use the symbol $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ for the FNS

$$\mathcal{N} = \{\langle p_0, \mathcal{N}_T(p_0), \mathcal{N}_I(p_0), \mathcal{N}_F(p_0) \rangle / p_0 \in \mathcal{K}\}.$$

Definition 2.14. [10] A FNS $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ in \mathcal{K} is a fuzzy neutrosophic sub-algebra (FNSA) of \mathcal{K} if it follows the conditions:

- (FNSA-1) $\mathcal{N}_T(p_0 \diamond r_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(p_0), \mathcal{N}_T(r_0)\}$.
- (FNSA-2) $\mathcal{N}_I(p_0 \diamond r_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_I(p_0), \mathcal{N}_I(r_0)\}$.
- (FNSA-3) $\mathcal{N}_F(p_0 \diamond r_0) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_F(p_0), \mathcal{N}_F(r_0)\}$ for all $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$.

Definition 2.15. [10] A FNS $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F) \in \mathcal{K}$ is a fuzzy neutrosophic ideal (FNI) of \mathcal{K} if it follows the conditions:

- (FNI-1) $\mathcal{N}_T(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_T(p_0)$, $\mathcal{N}_I(0) \geq \mathcal{N}_I(p_0)$ and $\mathcal{N}_F(0) \leq \mathcal{N}_F(p_0)$.
- (FNI-2) $\mathcal{N}_T(p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_T(p_0 \diamond r_0), \mathcal{N}_T(r_0)\}$.
- (FNI-3) $\mathcal{N}_I(p_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_I(p_0 \diamond r_0), \mathcal{N}_I(r_0)\}$.
- (FNI-4) $\mathcal{N}_F(p_0) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_F(p_0 \diamond r_0), \mathcal{N}_F(r_0)\}$ for all $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$.

Definition 2.16. [10] Every FNI of a *BCK*-algebra \mathcal{K} is a fuzzy neutrosophic subalgebra of a *BCK*-algebra \mathcal{K} .

3. MAIN RESULTS

For the purpose of this article, we will use the notation \mathcal{K} to refer to a commutative *BCK*-algebra.

Definition 3.1. A fuzzy neutrosophic ideal $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ of \mathcal{K} is called a fuzzy neutrosophic prime ideal (FNPI) of \mathcal{K} if it satisfies:

- (FNPI-1) $\mathcal{N}_T(p_0 \wedge r_0) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_T(p_0), \mathcal{N}_T(r_0)\}$.
(FNPI-2) $\mathcal{N}_I(p_0 \wedge r_0) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(p_0), \mathcal{N}_I(r_0)\}$.
(FNPI-3) $\mathcal{N}_F(p_0 \wedge r_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(p_0), \mathcal{N}_F(r_0)\}$ for all $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$.

Example 3.2. Let $\mathcal{K} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ with the Cayley table as defined in Table 1.

TABLE 1. Commutative BCK-algebra

\diamond	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	0
2	2	1	0	0
3	3	2	1	0

It is easy to verify that $(\mathcal{K}, \diamond, 0)$ is a commutative BCK-algebra. Now, let's define a fuzzy neutrosophic set (FNS) $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ as shown in Table 2. By routine calculations, we can conclude that $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ is an

TABLE 2. Fuzzy Neutrosophic Set

\mathcal{K}	\mathcal{N}_T	\mathcal{N}_I	\mathcal{N}_F
0	0.9	0.9	0
1	0.8	0.7	0.2
2	0.4	0.3	0.6
3	0	0	0.9

FNPI of \mathcal{K} .

Theorem 3.3. If $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ is a FNPI of a \mathcal{K} , then the sets

$K_1 = \{p_0 \in \mathcal{K} : \mathcal{N}_T(p_0) = \mathcal{N}_T(0)\}$, $K_2 = \{p_0 \in \mathcal{K} : \mathcal{N}_I(p_0) = \mathcal{N}_I(0)\}$,
and $K_3 = \{p_0 \in \mathcal{K} : \mathcal{N}_F(p_0) = \mathcal{N}_F(0)\}$ are prime ideals of \mathcal{K} .

Proof. Straightforward. □

Corollary 3.4. If $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ is a FNPI of a \mathcal{K} , then the sets $M_1 = \{p_0 \in \mathcal{K} : \mathcal{N}_T(p_0) = 0\}$, $M_2 = \{p_0 \in \mathcal{K} : \mathcal{N}_I(p_0) = 0\}$, and $M_3 = \{p_0 \in \mathcal{K} : \mathcal{N}_F(p_0) = 0\}$ are either empty or prime ideals of \mathcal{K} .

Proposition 3.5. Every FNPI of a \mathcal{K} is a FNI of a \mathcal{K} .

Remark 3.6. A FNI of a commutative BCK-algebra \mathcal{K} need not be a FNPI of \mathcal{K} as shown in the following Example 3.7.

TABLE 3. Commutative BCK-algebra

\diamond	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	1
2	2	1	0	2
3	3	3	3	0

Example 3.7. Let $\mathcal{K} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a BCK-algebra with the Cayley table as following Table 3. Then the BCK-algebra \mathcal{K} is commutative. Define a fuzzy neutrosophic set $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ as shown in Table 4.

TABLE 4. Fuzzy Neutrosophic Set

\mathcal{K}	\mathcal{N}_T	\mathcal{N}_I	\mathcal{N}_F
0	0.97	1	0
1	0.45	0.67	0.53
2	0.45	0.67	0.53
3	0	0	0.93

$\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ is a FNI of \mathcal{K} but is not a FNPI of \mathcal{K} because

$$\mathcal{N}_T(2 \wedge 3) = \mathcal{N}_T(0) = 0.97 > 0.45 = \max\{\mathcal{N}_T(2), \mathcal{N}_T(3)\},$$

$$\mathcal{N}_I(2 \wedge 3) = \mathcal{N}_I(0) = 1 > 0.67 = \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(2), \mathcal{N}_I(3)\},$$

$$\mathcal{N}_F(2 \wedge 3) = \mathcal{N}_F(0) = 0 < 0.53 = \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(2), \mathcal{N}_F(3)\}.$$

Proposition 3.8. [10] A fuzzy neutrosophic set $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ in a \mathcal{K} is a FNI of \mathcal{K} iff \mathcal{N}_T , \mathcal{N}_I and \mathcal{N}_F^c are fuzzy ideals of \mathcal{K} .

Proposition 3.9. Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ is a fuzzy neutrosophic set of a \mathcal{K} . Then $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ is a FNPI of \mathcal{K} iff \mathcal{N}_T , \mathcal{N}_I , and \mathcal{N}_F^c are fuzzy prime ideals of \mathcal{K} .

Proof. Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ be a FNPI of \mathcal{K} . Then, by Proposition 3.5, $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ is an FNI. So, by Proposition 3.8, \mathcal{N}_T , \mathcal{N}_I , and \mathcal{N}_F^c are fuzzy ideals of \mathcal{K} . Therefore, for any $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$, from Definition 3.1, we have

$$\mathcal{N}_T(p_0 \wedge r_0) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_T(p_0), \mathcal{N}_T(r_0)\}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_I(p_0 \wedge r_0) \leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(p_0), \mathcal{N}_I(r_0)\}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_F(p_0 \wedge r_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(p_0), \mathcal{N}_F(r_0)\}$$

Clearly \mathcal{N}_T and \mathcal{N}_I are fuzzy prime ideals of \mathcal{K} . Now

$$1 - \mathcal{N}_F(p_0 \wedge r_0) \leq 1 - \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(p_0), \mathcal{N}_F(r_0)\}$$

$$[\mathcal{N}_F(p_0 \wedge r_0)]^c \leq \max\{1 - \mathcal{N}_F(p_0), 1 - \mathcal{N}_F(r_0)\}$$

$$= \max\{[\mathcal{N}_F(p_0)]^c, [\mathcal{N}_F(r_0)]^c\}.$$

Hence \mathcal{N}_F^c is a fuzzy prime ideal of \mathcal{K} .

Conversely, assume that \mathcal{N}_T , \mathcal{N}_I , and \mathcal{N}_F^c are fuzzy prime ideals of \mathcal{K} . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}_T(p_0 \wedge r_0) &\leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_T(p_0), \mathcal{N}_T(r_0)\} \\ \mathcal{N}_I(p_0 \wedge r_0) &\leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(p_0), \mathcal{N}_I(r_0)\} \\ [\mathcal{N}_F(p_0 \wedge r_0)]^c &\leq \max\{[\mathcal{N}_F(p_0)]^c, [\mathcal{N}_F(r_0)]^c\}.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Now } 1 - [\mathcal{N}_F(p_0 \wedge r_0)]^c &\geq 1 - \max\{[\mathcal{N}_F(p_0)]^c, [\mathcal{N}_F(r_0)]^c\} \\ \{[\mathcal{N}_F(p_0 \wedge r_0)]^c\}^c &\geq \min\{1 - [\mathcal{N}_F(p_0)]^c, 1 - [\mathcal{N}_F(r_0)]^c\} \\ &= \min\{\{[\mathcal{N}_F(p_0)]^c\}^c, \{[\mathcal{N}_F(r_0)]^c\}^c\} \\ &\Rightarrow \mathcal{N}_F(p_0 \wedge r_0) \geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(p_0), \mathcal{N}_F(r_0)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ is a FNPI of \mathcal{K} . □

Proposition 3.10. *Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ be a FNI of \mathcal{K} . Then $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ is a FNPI of \mathcal{K} iff \mathcal{N}_T^c , \mathcal{N}_I^c , and \mathcal{N}_F are anti-fuzzy prime ideals of \mathcal{K} .*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ be a FNPI of a \mathcal{K} . Since, by Proposition 3.5, $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ be a FNI. Then \mathcal{N}_T^c , \mathcal{N}_I^c , and \mathcal{N}_F are anti-fuzzy ideals of \mathcal{K} . Therefore, for any $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$, from Definition 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}_T(p_0 \wedge r_0) &\leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_T(p_0), \mathcal{N}_T(r_0)\} \\ \mathcal{N}_I(p_0 \wedge r_0) &\leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(p_0), \mathcal{N}_I(r_0)\} \\ \mathcal{N}_F(p_0 \wedge r_0) &\geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(p_0), \mathcal{N}_F(r_0)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, \mathcal{N}_F is an anti-fuzzy prime ideal of \mathcal{K} . Now

$$\begin{aligned}1 - \mathcal{N}_T(p_0 \wedge r_0) &\geq 1 - \max\{\mathcal{N}_T(p_0), \mathcal{N}_T(r_0)\} \\ [\mathcal{N}_T(p_0 \wedge r_0)]^c &\geq \min\{1 - \mathcal{N}_T(p_0), 1 - \mathcal{N}_T(r_0)\} \\ [\mathcal{N}_T(p_0 \wedge r_0)]^c &\geq \min\{[\mathcal{N}_T(p_0)]^c, [\mathcal{N}_T(r_0)]^c\}\end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}1 - \mathcal{N}_I(p_0 \wedge r_0) &\geq 1 - \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(p_0), \mathcal{N}_I(r_0)\} \\ [\mathcal{N}_I(p_0 \wedge r_0)]^c &\geq \min\{1 - \mathcal{N}_I(p_0), 1 - \mathcal{N}_I(r_0)\} \\ [\mathcal{N}_I(p_0 \wedge r_0)]^c &\geq \min\{[\mathcal{N}_I(p_0)]^c, [\mathcal{N}_I(r_0)]^c\}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, \mathcal{N}_T^c and \mathcal{N}_I^c are anti-fuzzy prime ideals of \mathcal{K} . The proof of converse part is easy and we omit it. □

Theorem 3.11. [10] *A fuzzy neutrosophic set $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ in \mathcal{K} is a FNI of a \mathcal{K} if and only if $\square\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_T^c)$ and $\odot\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_F^c, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ are FNIs of \mathcal{K} .*

Proposition 3.12. *Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ be a FNPI of \mathcal{K} . Then*

$$\square\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_T^c)$$

is a FNPI of \mathcal{K} .

Proof. Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ be a FNPI of \mathcal{K} . Then by Proposition 3.5 $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ be a FNI. So, by Theorem 3.11 $\square\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_T^c)$ is a FNI of \mathcal{K} . Therefore, for any $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$, from Definition 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}_T(p_0 \wedge r_0) &\leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_T(p_0), \mathcal{N}_T(r_0)\} \\ \mathcal{N}_I(p_0 \wedge r_0) &\leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(p_0), \mathcal{N}_I(r_0)\} \\ \mathcal{N}_F(p_0 \wedge r_0) &\geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(p_0), \mathcal{N}_F(r_0)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}1 - \mathcal{N}_T(p_0 \wedge r_0) &\geq 1 - \max\{\mathcal{N}_T(p_0), \mathcal{N}_T(r_0)\} \\ [\mathcal{N}_T(p_0 \wedge r_0)]^c &\geq \min\{1 - \mathcal{N}_T(p_0), 1 - \mathcal{N}_T(r_0)\} \\ [\mathcal{N}_T(p_0 \wedge r_0)]^c &\geq \min\{[\mathcal{N}_T(p_0)]^c, [\mathcal{N}_T(r_0)]^c\}\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\square\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_T^c)$ is a FNPI of \mathcal{K} . □

Proposition 3.13. *Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ be a FNPI of \mathcal{K} . Then $\odot\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_F^c, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ is a FNPI of \mathcal{K} .*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ be a FNPI of \mathcal{K} . Then by Proposition 3.5 $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ be a FNI. So, by Theorem 3.11 $\odot\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_F^c, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ is a FNI of \mathcal{K} . Therefore, for any $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$, from Definition 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}_T(p_0 \wedge r_0) &\leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_T(p_0), \mathcal{N}_T(r_0)\} \\ \mathcal{N}_I(p_0 \wedge r_0) &\leq \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(p_0), \mathcal{N}_I(r_0)\} \\ \mathcal{N}_F(p_0 \wedge r_0) &\geq \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(p_0), \mathcal{N}_F(r_0)\}\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}1 - \mathcal{N}_F(p_0 \wedge r_0) &\leq 1 - \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(p_0), \mathcal{N}_F(r_0)\} \\ [\mathcal{N}_F(p_0 \wedge r_0)]^c &\leq \max\{1 - \mathcal{N}_F(p_0), 1 - \mathcal{N}_F(r_0)\} \\ [\mathcal{N}_F(p_0 \wedge r_0)]^c &\leq \max\{[\mathcal{N}_F(p_0)]^c, [\mathcal{N}_F(r_0)]^c\}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\odot\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_F^c, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ is a FNPI of \mathcal{K} . □

Theorem 3.14. *Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ be a fuzzy neutrosophic set in a commutative BCK-algebra \mathcal{K} . Then $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ is a FNPI of a \mathcal{K} if and only if $\square\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_T^c)$ and $\odot\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_F^c, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ are FNPI of \mathcal{K} .*

Definition 3.15. Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ be a fuzzy neutrosophic set in a \mathcal{K} . Then for $a, b, c \in [0, 1]$, the set $U(\mathcal{N}; \langle a, b \rangle)$ is defined as

$$U(\mathcal{N}; \langle a, b \rangle) = \{p_0 \in \mathcal{K} : \mathcal{N}_T(p_0) \geq a, \mathcal{N}_I(p_0) \geq b\},$$

and it is called the upper (a, b) -level cut of \mathcal{N} . Similarly, the set $L(\mathcal{N}; c)$ is defined as $L(\mathcal{N}; c) = \{p_0 \in \mathcal{K} : \mathcal{N}_F(p_0) \leq c\}$, and it is called the lower c -level cut of \mathcal{N} .

Theorem 3.16. *Let $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ be a fuzzy neutrosophic set in a \mathcal{K} . Then $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ is a FNPI of \mathcal{K} if, for all $a, b, c \in [0, 1]$, the sets $U(\mathcal{N}; \langle a, b \rangle)$ and $L(\mathcal{N}; c)$ are prime ideals of \mathcal{K} .*

Proof. Suppose the sets $U(\mathcal{N}; \langle a, b \rangle)$ and $L(\mathcal{N}; c)$ are prime ideals of \mathcal{K} . Then $U(\mathcal{N}; \langle a, b \rangle)$ and $L(\mathcal{N}; c)$ are ideals of \mathcal{K} (see [4]). On contrary $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ is not a FNPI of \mathcal{K} . Then there exists $p_0, r_0 \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_T(p_0 \wedge r_0) &> \max\{\mathcal{N}_T(p_0), \mathcal{N}_T(r_0)\} \\ \mathcal{N}_I(p_0 \wedge r_0) &> \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(p_0), \mathcal{N}_I(r_0)\} \\ \mathcal{N}_F(p_0 \wedge r_0) &< \min\{\mathcal{N}_F(p_0), \mathcal{N}_F(r_0)\} \end{aligned}$$

Let $a = \frac{1}{2}\{\mathcal{N}_T(p_0 \wedge r_0) + \max\{\mathcal{N}_T(p_0), \mathcal{N}_T(r_0)\}\}$ and

$$b = \frac{1}{2}\{\mathcal{N}_I(p_0 \wedge r_0) + \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(p_0), \mathcal{N}_I(r_0)\}\}$$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{N}_T(p_0 \wedge r_0) > a > \max\{\mathcal{N}_T(p_0), \mathcal{N}_T(r_0)\}$ and

$$\mathcal{N}_I(p_0 \wedge r_0) > b > \max\{\mathcal{N}_I(p_0), \mathcal{N}_I(r_0)\}.$$

$\Rightarrow p_0 \wedge r_0 \in U(\mathcal{N}; \langle a, b \rangle)$ but $p_0 \notin U(\mathcal{N}; \langle a, b \rangle)$ and $r_0 \notin U(\mathcal{N}; \langle a, b \rangle)$. This is a contradiction. Hence $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_T, \mathcal{N}_I, \mathcal{N}_F)$ is a FNPI of \mathcal{K} . \square

4. CONCLUSION

The fuzzy neutrosophic prime ideals concept expands the field of algebraic structures by introducing a new dimension to prime ideals. By extending these ideals within the realm of fuzzy neutrosophic sets, we have also opened up exciting possibilities for additional investigation and study.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the reviewers for their valuable feedback, which played a crucial role in refining this article.

REFERENCES

1. S. Abdullah, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Prime Ideals of BCK-algebras, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.*, **7** (2014), 661–668.
2. I. Arockiarani, I. R. Sumathi and J. M. Jency, Fuzzy Neutrosophic Soft Topological Spaces, *IJMA*, **4** (2013), 225–238.
3. K. T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **20** (1986), 87–96.
4. E. Y. Deeba, A. B. Thaheem and J. Ahsan, On Prime Ideals of BCK-algebras, *Math. Jpn.*, **36** (1991), 875–882.
5. K. Iseki and S. Tanaka, An introduction to the Theory of the BCK-algebras, *Math. Japan*, **23** (1978), 1–26.
6. K. Iseki and S. Tanaka, Ideal theory of BCK-algebras, *Math. Jpn.*, **21** (1976), 351–366.
7. W. K. Jeong, On Anti Fuzzy Prime Ideals in BCK-algebras, *J. Chungcheong Math. Soc.*, **12** (1999), 15–21.
8. Y. B. Jun and K. Kim, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Ideals of BCK-algebras, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **24** (2000), 839–849.
9. Y. B. Jun and X. L. Xin, Fuzzy Prime Ideals and Invertible Fuzzy Ideals in BCK-algebras, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **117** (2001), 471–476.
10. B. Satyanarayana, Sk. Baji and U. Bindu Madhavi, Fuzzy Neutrosophic implicative and positive implicative ideals of BCK-algebras, *Kala Sarovar*, **25** (2022), 24–31.
11. F. Smarandache, *A Unifying Field in logics: Neutrosophic logic. Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Set, Neutrosophic Probability*, American Research Press, Rehoboth, Infinite Study, 2003.
12. F. Smarandache, Neutrosophic Set-a Generalization of the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set, *IEEE Int. Conf. Granul. Comput.*, (2006), 38–42.
13. O. G. Xi, Fuzzy BCK-Algebra, *Math. Jpn.*, **36** (1991), 935–942.
14. L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, *Information and Control*, **8** (1965), 338–353.

Bavanari Satyanarayana

Department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar, Guntur-522 510, Andhra Pradesh, India.

Email: drbsn63@yahoo.co.in

Shake Baji

Department of Mathematics, Sir C R Reddy college of Engineering, Eluru-534 007, Andhra Pradesh, India.

Email: shakebaji6@gmail.com

Dola Devanandam

Department of Mathematics, Government Degree college, Chintalapudi-534 460, Eluru, Andhra Pradesh, India.

Email: ddn1998in@gmail.com

FUZZY NEUTROSOPHIC PRIME IDEALS OF BCK-ALGEBRAS

B. SATYANARAYANA, SH. BAJI AND D. DEVANANDAM

ایده‌آل‌های اول نوتروسوفیک فازی BCK-جبرها

بی. ساتیانارایانا^۱، ش. باجی^۲ و دی. دواناندام^۳

^۱گروه ریاضی، دانشگاه آچاریا ناگارجونا، ناگارجونا ناگار، گونتور، آندرا پرادش، هند

^۲گروه ریاضی، کالج مهندسی سر سی آر ردی، الورو، آندرا پرادش، هند

^۳گروه ریاضی، کالج دولتی، چینتالاپودی، الورو، آندرا پرادش، هند

در این مقاله، مفهوم ایده‌آل‌های اول نوتروسوفیک فازی (FNPIs) در یک BCK-جبر \mathcal{K} را معرفی می‌کنیم و به بررسی آن می‌پردازیم. این تحقیق، توسیع دیگری از ایده‌آل‌های اول در زمینه مجموعه‌های نوتروسوفیک فازی ارائه می‌دهد. با ذکر یک مثال نشان می‌دهیم که هر ایده‌آل نوتروسوفیک فازی از یک BCK-جبر جابه‌جایی \mathcal{K} ، یک FNPI از \mathcal{K} است. همچنین ثابت می‌کنیم که یک مجموعه نوتروسوفیک فازی از \mathcal{K} یک FNPI از \mathcal{K} است هرگاه برای هر $a, b, c \in [0, 1]$ ، برش (a, b) -سطح بالایی و برش c -سطح پایینی، ایده‌آل‌هایی اول از \mathcal{K} باشند.

کلمات کلیدی: ایده‌آل اول فازی شهودی (IFPI)، مجموعه نوتروسوفیک فازی (FNS)، ایده‌آل نوتروسوفیک فازی (FNI)، ایده‌آل اول نوتروسوفیک فازی (FNPI).